



ANNALES
HENRI LEBESGUE

MICHEL BRION

ON THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS OF COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

SUR LES GROUPES FONDAMENTAUX DES
GROUPES ALGÈBRIQUES COMMUTATIFS

ABSTRACT. — Consider the abelian category \mathcal{C} of commutative group schemes of finite type over a field k , its full subcategory \mathcal{F} of finite group schemes, and the associated pro-category $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ (resp. $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$) of pro-algebraic (resp. profinite) group schemes. When k is perfect, we show that the profinite fundamental group $\varpi_1 : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$ is left exact and commutes with base change under algebraic field extensions; as a consequence, the higher profinite homotopy functors ϖ_i vanish for $i \geq 2$. Along the way, we describe the indecomposable projective objects of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ over an arbitrary field k .

RÉSUMÉ. — Considérons la catégorie abélienne \mathcal{C} des schémas en groupes de type fini sur un corps k , la sous-catégorie pleine \mathcal{F} des schémas en groupes finis, et la catégorie correspondante $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ (resp. $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$) des groupes proalgébriques (resp. profinis). Lorsque k est parfait, nous montrons que le groupe fondamental profini $\varpi_1 : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$ est exact à gauche et commute aux extensions algébriques de corps ; il en résulte que les groupes d'homotopie profinis supérieurs ϖ_i sont nuls pour $i \geq 2$. Au passage, nous décrivons les objets projectifs indécomposables de $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ sur un corps k arbitraire.

Keywords: commutative algebraic groups, fundamental groups.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14K05, 14L15, 18E15, 20G07.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5802/ahl.25>

1. Introduction

Every real Lie group G gives rise to two exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow G^0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \pi_0(G) \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow \pi_1(G) \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G^0 \rightarrow 0,$$

where G^0 denotes the identity component, \tilde{G} its universal cover, and $\pi_0(G)$, $\pi_1(G)$ are discrete groups; moreover, the second homotopy group $\pi_2(G)$ vanishes. This classical result has a remarkable analogue for commutative algebraic groups over an algebraically closed field k , as shown by Serre and Oort via a categorical approach (see [Ser60, Oor66]). More specifically, consider the category \mathcal{C} of commutative k -group schemes of finite type, and the full subcategory \mathcal{F} of finite group schemes; then \mathcal{C} is an artinian abelian category, and \mathcal{F} is a Serre subcategory. Let $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ (resp. $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$) denote the associated pro-category, consisting of pro-algebraic (resp. profinite) group schemes; recall that these categories have enough projectives, and \mathcal{C} (resp. \mathcal{F}) is equivalent to the full subcategory of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ (resp. $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$) consisting of artinian objects. Assigning to each object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ its largest profinite quotient yields a right exact functor

$$\varpi_0 : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}).$$

It turns out that the left derived functors,

$$\varpi_i := L^i \varpi_0 : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}),$$

vanish for $i \geq 2$; equivalently, ϖ_1 is left exact. Moreover, ϖ_0, ϖ_1 fit in an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varpi_1(G) \longrightarrow \tilde{G} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \varpi_0(G) \longrightarrow 0$$

for any $G \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ (see [Ser60, 6.2, 10.2] when k has characteristic 0, and [Oor66, II.7, II.14] in positive characteristics).

The construction of the “profinite homotopy functors” ϖ_i makes sense over an arbitrary field k ; it is easy to extend the above exact sequence to this setting. The main result of this paper generalizes those of Serre and Oort as follows:

THEOREM 1.1. — *When k is perfect, the functor $\varpi_1 : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$ is left exact and commutes with base change under algebraic field extensions. As a consequence, the higher profinite homotopy functors ϖ_i vanish for $i \geq 2$.*

Our approach is independent of the general theory of étale homotopy groups of schemes (see e.g. [AM69, Fri82]). We rather develop an ad hoc theory of homotopy groups in the setting of pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, where \mathcal{A} is an artinian abelian category, and \mathcal{B} a Serre subcategory of \mathcal{A} . For this, we build on constructions and results of Gabriel (see [Gab62, Chap. III]) and on further developments in [Bri19], recalled in Subsection 2.1. These may be conveniently formulated in terms of orthogonal or perpendicular categories (see [BR07, II.2] and [GL91] for these two notions). Homotopy groups are introduced in Subsection 2.2, which generalizes results of Demazure and Gabriel on the profinite homotopy groups of affine group schemes (see [DG70, V.3.3]). Subsection 2.4 investigates compatibility properties of homotopy groups in the presence of a Serre subcategory \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{B} .

In Section 3, we first apply this formalism to the category \mathcal{C} of (commutative) algebraic groups, and its full subcategory \mathcal{L} of linear algebraic groups, over an arbitrary field k ; then $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$ is equivalent to the category of affine k -group schemes, in view of [DG70, V.2.2.2]. The resulting homotopy functor $\pi_1^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}}$ turns out to be left exact (Proposition 3.3). We then consider the pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$, and obtain the left exactness of $\varpi_1 = \pi_1^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}}$ when k is perfect; in addition, we show that the profinite universal cover \tilde{G} has homological dimension at most 1 for any $G \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ (Theorem 3.5).

When G is an abelian variety over an arbitrary field k , we construct a minimal projective resolution of \tilde{G} (Theorem 3.10). We also describe the projective objects of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ (Proposition 3.11); for this, we use results of Demazure and Gabriel on the projectives of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$ over a perfect field (see [DG70, V.3.7]), combined with properties of the isogeny category \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F} (see [Bri17]). We then show that the profinite homotopy functors commute with base change under separable algebraic field extensions (Proposition 3.15), thereby completing the proof of the main result.

As an application of the above developments, we obtain a spectral sequence à la Milne (see [Mil70]), which relates the extension groups in \mathcal{C} and in the corresponding category over a Galois extension of k . Further applications, to the structure of homogeneous vector bundles over abelian varieties, are presented in [Bri18].

When the ground field k has characteristic $p > 0$, the prime-to- p part $\varpi_1^{(p')}$ of the profinite fundamental group commutes with arbitrary field extensions, and hence is left exact (Proposition 3.17). But over an imperfect field k , the functors ϖ_0, ϖ_1 do not commute with purely inseparable field extensions, nor does the pro-étale p -primary part of ϖ_1 (see Remarks 3.19, 3.20 and 3.21). In this setting, it seems very likely that ϖ_2 is nontrivial, but we have no explicit example for this; also, the profinite fundamental group scheme ϖ_1 deserves further investigation, already for smooth connected unipotent groups.

Finally, it would be interesting to relate the above (affine, profinite or pro-étale) fundamental groups with further notions of fundamental group schemes considered in the literature. In this direction, note that the profinite fundamental group of any abelian variety A coincides with Nori's fundamental group scheme (defined in [Nor76, Nor82]), as shown by Nori himself in [Nor83]. Also, when k is algebraically closed, the affine fundamental group of A coincides with its S -fundamental group scheme introduced by Langer in [Lan11], as follows from [Lan12, Thm. 6.1].

Acknowledgments. Many thanks to Cyril Demarche, Mathieu Florence, Roy Joshua, Bruno Kahn, Chu Gia Vuong Nguyen and Takeshi Saito for very helpful discussions on the topics of this paper. Special thanks to the referee for a careful reading of the paper and valuable comments.

2. Homotopy groups in pro-artinian categories

2.1. Pro-artinian categories and colocalizing subcategories

Consider an artinian abelian category \mathcal{A} , and the associated pro-category $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ is a *pro-artinian* category in the sense of [DG70, V.2.2]; equivalently,

the opposite category is a Grothendieck category. Moreover, \mathcal{A} is equivalent to the Serre subcategory of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of artinian objects (see [DG70, V.2.3.1]). Let \mathcal{B} be a Serre subcategory of \mathcal{A} ; then we may view $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ as a Serre subcategory of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$, stable under inverse limits (see [Bri19, Lem. 2.11]). We denote by ${}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ the full subcategory of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ with objects those X such that $\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y) = 0$ for all $Y \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ (this is the left orthogonal subcategory to $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ in the sense of [BR07, II.1]).

LEMMA 2.1. — *Let $X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$.*

- (1) *$X \in {}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ if and only if $\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y) = 0$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{B}$.*
- (2) *X has a smallest subobject $X^\mathcal{B}$ in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $X/X^\mathcal{B} \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Moreover, $X^\mathcal{B} \in {}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$.*
- (3) *For any morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$, we have $f(X^\mathcal{B}) \subset Y^\mathcal{B}$ with equality if f is an epimorphism. If in addition f is essential and $Y \in {}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, then $X \in {}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$.*

Proof. —

(1). — Let $Y \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Then $Y = \lim_{\leftarrow} Y_i$, where $Y_i \in \mathcal{B}$. Therefore, we have $\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y) = \lim_{\leftarrow} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y_i) = 0$.

(2). — Let $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of subobjects of X such that $X/X_i \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ for all i . Then $X/(\bigcap_{i \in I} X_i)$ is a subobject of $\prod_{i \in I} X/X_i$, and hence an object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. This shows the existence of $X^\mathcal{B}$.

If there exists a nonzero morphism $f : X^\mathcal{B} \rightarrow Y$ for some $Y \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, then $X' := \text{Ker}(f)$ is a subobject of $X^\mathcal{B}$ such that $X^\mathcal{B}/X'$ is a nonzero object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. It follows that $X/X' \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, contradicting the minimality of $X^\mathcal{B}$. So $X^\mathcal{B} \in {}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$.

(3). — The composition $X^\mathcal{B} \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Y/Y^\mathcal{B}$ is zero, hence $f(X^\mathcal{B}) \subset Y^\mathcal{B}$. If f is an epimorphism, then it induces an epimorphism $X/X^\mathcal{B} \rightarrow Y/f(X^\mathcal{B})$. So $Y/f(X^\mathcal{B}) \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, i.e., $Y^\mathcal{B} \subset f(X^\mathcal{B})$. Hence $Y^\mathcal{B} = f(X^\mathcal{B})$. If in addition f is essential and $Y \in {}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, then $Y = f(X^\mathcal{B})$ and hence $X^\mathcal{B} = X$. \square

In view of Lemma 2.1, every $X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ lies in a unique exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow X^\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow X_\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $X^\mathcal{B} \in {}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ and $X_\mathcal{B} \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Moreover, every $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y)$ induces compatible morphisms

$$f^\mathcal{B} : X^\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow Y^\mathcal{B}, \quad f_\mathcal{B} : X_\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow Y_\mathcal{B}.$$

This defines a functor

$$\pi_0 = \pi_0^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}} : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B}), \quad X \longmapsto X_\mathcal{B}.$$

Since $\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(X^\mathcal{B}, Y) = 0$ for any $Y \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, the natural map

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})}(X_\mathcal{B}, Y) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y)$$

is an isomorphism. In other words, π_0 is left adjoint to the inclusion of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$. As a consequence, π_0 is right exact and sends any projective object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ to a projective object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$.

LEMMA 2.2. — *The functor π_0 commutes with filtered inverse limits.*

Proof. — Consider a filtered inverse system (X_i) of objects of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$. This yields a filtered inverse system $(X_i^{\mathcal{B}})$ of objects of ${}^{\perp}\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$; moreover, we have an isomorphism

$$\lim_{\rightarrow} \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(X_i^{\mathcal{B}}, Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(\lim_{\leftarrow} X_i^{\mathcal{B}}, Y)$$

for any $Y \in \mathcal{A}$ (see [DG70, V.2.3.3]). Thus, $\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(\lim_{\leftarrow} X_i^{\mathcal{B}}, Y) = 0$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{B}$. In view of Lemma 2.1, it follows that $\lim_{\leftarrow} X_i^{\mathcal{B}} \in {}^{\perp}\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Also, we have an isomorphism

$$(\lim_{\leftarrow} X_i)/(\lim_{\leftarrow} X_i^{\mathcal{B}}) \cong \lim_{\leftarrow} (X_i)_{\mathcal{B}}$$

by exactness of inverse limits (see [DG70, V.2.2]). So $(\lim_{\leftarrow} X_i)/(\lim_{\leftarrow} X_i^{\mathcal{B}})$ is an object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$; this yields the assertion. \square

We denote by

$$Q = Q^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}} : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})/\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$$

the quotient functor. Then Q is exact, and commutes with inverse limits in view of [Gab62, III.4.Prop. 9]. Also, recall from [Gab62, III.4.Prop. 8, Cor. 1] that Q has a left adjoint: the *cosection*,

$$C = C^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}} : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})/\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}),$$

which also commutes with inverse limits and sends projectives to projectives. In other words, $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ is a *colocalizing* subcategory of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$, in the dual sense of [Gab62, III.2]. Conversely, every colocalizing subcategory of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ is equivalent to $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ for a unique Serre subcategory \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} , in view of [Gab62, III.4.Prop. 10] and [Bri19, Rem. 2.13]. Moreover, $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})/\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ is equivalent to $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B})$ by [Bri19, Prop. 2.12].

By [Gab62, III.2.Cor.], the essential image of C consists of those $X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y) = 0 = \text{Ext}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}^1(X, Y) \text{ for all } Y \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$$

(these are the objects of the left perpendicular subcategory to $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$, as defined in [GL91]). Moreover, for any $X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$, the adjunction map $CQ(X) \rightarrow X$ has its kernel and cokernel in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ (see [GL91, III.2.Prop. 3]). This yields an exact sequence in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow Y_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} \widetilde{X} \xrightarrow{\rho} X \xrightarrow{\gamma} Y_0 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where we set $\widetilde{X} = \widetilde{X}^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}} := CQ(X)$ (in particular, $\widetilde{X} \in {}^{\perp}\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$), and we have $Y_0, Y_1 \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Note that the long exact sequence (2.3) depends functorially on X . Also, note the natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(\widetilde{X}, Y) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})/\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})}(Q(X), Q(Y))$$

for any $Y \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$. In particular, if $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ then

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(\widetilde{X}, Y) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}}(Q(X), Q(Y)).$$

LEMMA 2.3. — *With the above notation, we have $\rho(\widetilde{X}) = X^{\mathcal{B}}$ and the induced epimorphism $\eta : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X^{\mathcal{B}}$ is essential. Also, there are functorial isomorphisms*

$$\pi_0(X^{\mathcal{B}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} Y_0, \quad \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})}(Y_1, Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}^1(X^{\mathcal{B}}, Y) \text{ for all } Y \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B}).$$

Proof. — In view of (2.2) and the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Y_1 \longrightarrow \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow \rho(\widetilde{X}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we obtain the vanishing of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(\rho(\widetilde{X}), Y)$ and an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{B})}(Y_1, Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}^1(\rho(\widetilde{X}), Y)$$

for all $Y \in \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Thus, $\rho(\widetilde{X}) \in {}^\perp \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Since $X/\rho(\widetilde{X}) \cong Y_0 \in \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, it follows that $\rho(\widetilde{X}) = X^\mathcal{B}$.

It remains to show that $\eta : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X^\mathcal{B}$ is essential. Let Z be a subobject of \widetilde{X} such that the composition $Z \rightarrow \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X^\mathcal{B}$ is an epimorphism. Then $\widetilde{X} = Y_1 + Z$ and hence $\widetilde{X}/Z \cong Y_1/(Y_1 \cap Z) \in \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. As $\widetilde{X} \in {}^\perp \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, it follows that $Y_1/(Y_1 \cap Z) = 0$, i.e., Y_1 is a subobject of Z . Thus, $Z = \widetilde{X}$. \square

LEMMA 2.4. — *With the notation of the exact sequence (2.3), the following conditions are equivalent for $X \in \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$:*

- (1) $Y_0 = Y_1 = 0$.
- (2) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}^1(X, Y)$ for all $Y \in \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$.
- (3) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}^1(X, Y)$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. — The equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) holds by [Gab62, III.2.Cor.]. As (2) \Rightarrow (3) is obvious, it suffices to show that (3) \Rightarrow (1).

Let $X \in \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfy (3), and $Y \in \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y) = 0$ by Lemma 2.1(1). Consider an essential epimorphism $f : P \rightarrow X$, where $P \in \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ is projective (such a projective cover of X exists in view of [Gab62, II.6.Thm. 2]). Then $P \in {}^\perp \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ by Lemma 2.1(3). So the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X' \longrightarrow P \xrightarrow{f} X \longrightarrow 0$$

yields isomorphisms

$$(2.5) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(X', Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}^1(X, Y)$$

for all $Y \in \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. In particular, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(X', Y) = 0$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{B}$. It follows that $X' \in {}^\perp \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ by using Lemma 2.1(1). Thus, $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}^1(X, Y) = 0$ for all $Y \in \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. \square

2.2. Homotopy groups

We denote by

$$\pi_i = \pi_i^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}} := L^i \pi_0^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}} : \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{B}) \quad (i \geq 0)$$

the left derived functors of the right exact functor π_0 . In view of Lemma 2.2 together with [DG70, V.2.3.8], the i th homotopy functor π_i commutes with filtered inverse limits for any $i \geq 0$. Also, for any exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$, we have an associated homotopy exact sequence

$$(2.6) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \pi_{i+1}(X_2) \rightarrow \pi_i(X_1) \rightarrow \pi_i(X) \rightarrow \pi_i(X_2) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}(X_1) \rightarrow \cdots$$

LEMMA 2.5. — Assume that every projective object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ is projective in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$. Then:

- (1) $\pi_i(Y) = 0$ for all $Y \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ and $i \geq 1$.
- (2) $\pi_i(X^{\mathcal{B}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_i(X)$ for all $X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ and $i \geq 1$.

Proof. —

(1). — Let P_{\bullet} be a projective resolution of Y in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Then $\pi_0(P_{\bullet}) = P_{\bullet}$ is still a projective resolution of Y in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$.

(2). — This follows from (1) in view of the exact sequence (2.1). □

LEMMA 2.6. — With the assumption of Lemma 2.5, there is a functorial isomorphism $\pi_1(X) \cong Y_1$ for any $X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. — The exact sequence (2.1) yields an isomorphism $Q(X^{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow Q(X)$ in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})/\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, and hence an isomorphism $CQ(X^{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow CQ(X)$ in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$. In turn, this yields an isomorphism $Y_1(X^{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow Y_1(X)$ in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, where $Y_1(X^{\mathcal{B}})$ denotes the kernel of the adjunction map $CQ(X^{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow X^{\mathcal{B}}$, and $Y_1(X)$ is defined similarly. Thus, we may assume that $X \in {}^{\perp}\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. We then have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Y_1 \longrightarrow \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0,$$

which yields an exact sequence

$$\pi_1(\widetilde{X}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X) \longrightarrow Y_1 \longrightarrow \pi_0(\widetilde{X}).$$

Moreover, $\pi_0(\widetilde{X}) = 0$ by Lemma 2.4. So it suffices to show that $\pi_1(\widetilde{X}) = 0$.

As in the proof of Lemma 2.4, consider an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X' \longrightarrow P \xrightarrow{f} \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where P is projective and f is essential. We obtain an exact sequence

$$\pi_1(P) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\widetilde{X}) \longrightarrow \pi_0(X') \longrightarrow \pi_0(P).$$

Moreover, $\pi_0(P) = 0$ by Lemma 2.1 (3), and $\pi_1(P) = 0$ by definition. Thus, we have $\pi_1(\widetilde{X}) \cong \pi_0(X')$. Also, recall from (2.2) that $\text{Ext}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}^1(\widetilde{X}, Y) = 0$ for all $Y \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Using the isomorphism (2.5), this yields $\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(X', Y) = 0$, and hence $\pi_0(X') = 0$. Thus, $\pi_1(\widetilde{X}) = 0$ as desired. □

In view of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6, the exact sequence (2.3) can be rewritten in a more suggestive way. Namely, with the assumption of Lemma 2.5, we have an exact sequence for any $X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$:

$$(2.7) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \pi_1(X) \xrightarrow{\iota_X} \widetilde{X} \xrightarrow{\rho_X} X \xrightarrow{\gamma_X} \pi_0(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In particular, when $X \in {}^{\perp}\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, we obtain an extension

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \pi_1(X) \longrightarrow \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0.$$

Using Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6 again, this yields in turn:

COROLLARY 2.7. — With the assumption of Lemma 2.5, let $X \in {}^{\perp}\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ and $Y \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Then $\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(\pi_1(X), Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}^1(X, Y)$ via pushout by the extension (2.8).

In other words, (2.8) is the universal extension of X by an object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. We now record a similar uniqueness result for the exact sequence (2.7), to be used in Subsection 3.2.

LEMMA 2.8. — *With the assumption of Lemma 2.5, consider an exact sequence*

$$(2.9) \quad 0 \longrightarrow Y_1 \longrightarrow X' \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow Y_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$, where $Y_0, Y_1 \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ and X' is in the essential image of C . Then there is a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{X} & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(X) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & f_1 \downarrow & & f' \downarrow & & f \downarrow & & f_0 \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & Y_0 & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where f_1, f', f, f_0 are isomorphisms.

Proof. — Cut the exact sequence (2.9) in two short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow Y_1 \longrightarrow X' \longrightarrow X'' \longrightarrow 0, \quad 0 \longrightarrow X'' \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow Y_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since X' is an object of ${}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, so is X'' . As $Y_0 \in \mathcal{B}$, we obtain a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & X^{\mathcal{B}} & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(X) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & f'' \downarrow & & f \downarrow & & f_0 \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & X'' & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & Y_0 & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms. As a consequence, we may replace X with $X^{\mathcal{B}}$, and assume that $\pi_0(X) = 0 = Y_0$.

Also, the induced morphism $Q(X') \rightarrow Q(X)$ is an isomorphism, and hence so is $CQ(X') \rightarrow CQ(X) = \widetilde{X}$. Since the adjunction $CQ(X') \rightarrow X'$ is an isomorphism, this yields an isomorphism $\widetilde{X} \cong X'$. Thus, we may further assume that (2.9) is of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow Y_1 \longrightarrow \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then the associated map $\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})}(Y_1, Y) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}^1(X, Y)$ is an isomorphism for all $Y \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, by Lemma 2.4. In view of the uniqueness of the universal extension of X by an object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, this completes the proof. \square

Next, we obtain two reformulations of the left exactness of the functor π_1 :

LEMMA 2.9. — *With the assumption of Lemma 2.5, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The cosection functor $C : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})/\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ is exact.*
- (2) *π_1 is left exact.*
- (3) *$\pi_i = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$.*

Proof. —

(1) \Rightarrow (2). — Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$. Then we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{X}_1 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{X} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{X}_2 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & X_2 \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

In view of the exact sequence (2.7) and its analogues for X_1, X_2 , the snake lemma yields an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_1(X_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_2) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_1) \rightarrow \pi_0(X) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_2) \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, π_1 is left exact.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). — This follows from the dual statement of [Gab62, III.3.Prop. 7].

(2) \Rightarrow (3). — This is obtained by a standard argument that we recall for completeness. Let $X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ and choose a projective cover

$$0 \longrightarrow X' \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0.$$

As $\pi_i(P) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, we obtain isomorphisms $\pi_i(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{i-1}(X')$ for all $i \geq 2$. Since X' is a subobject of P , we have $\pi_1(X') = 0$ by left exactness, hence $\pi_2(X) = 0$. Iterating this argument completes the proof.

(3) \Rightarrow (2). — This follows from the homotopy exact sequence (2.6). □

Finally, we record an easy and useful divisibility property of homotopy groups. For any $X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ and any integer n , we denote by $n_X \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ the multiplication by n , and by $X[n]$ its kernel. We say that X is *divisible* (resp. *uniquely divisible*) if n_X is an epimorphism (resp. an isomorphism) for any $n \geq 1$.

LEMMA 2.10. — *With the assumption of Lemma 2.5, let X be an object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$. Assume that X is divisible and $X[n] \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ for any $n \geq 1$ (in particular, $\pi_i(X[n]) = 0$ for any such n and any $i \geq 1$). Then \widetilde{X} and the $\pi_i(X)$ ($i \geq 2$) are uniquely divisible. Moreover, there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_1(X) \xrightarrow{n} \pi_1(X) \longrightarrow X[n] \longrightarrow \pi_0(X) \xrightarrow{n} \pi_0(X) \longrightarrow 0$$

for any $n \geq 1$.

Proof. — By assumption, we have an exact sequence

$$(2.10) \quad 0 \longrightarrow X[n] \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{n_X} X \longrightarrow 0$$

for any $n \geq 1$. Thus, n_X induces an automorphism of $Q(X)$, and hence of $CQ(X) = \widetilde{X}$. In other words, \widetilde{X} is uniquely divisible. The remaining assertions follow from the homotopy exact sequence associated with (2.10). □

2.3. Structure of projective objects

In this subsection, we consider an artinian abelian category \mathcal{A} and a Serre subcategory \mathcal{B} such that every projective object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ is projective in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$. Our aim is to describe the projectives of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ in terms of those of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})/\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B}) \cong \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B})$. We first obtain a generalization of [DG70, V.3.3.9]:

LEMMA 2.11. — *For any projective object $P \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$, there is an isomorphism $P \cong P^{\mathcal{B}} \oplus \pi_0(P)$ which is compatible with $\gamma_P : P \rightarrow \pi_0(P)$. Moreover, $\tilde{P} \cong P^{\mathcal{B}}$.*

Proof. — Recall that π_0 is left adjoint to the inclusion of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$. It follows that $\pi_0(P)$ is projective in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, and hence in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ as well. This yields a compatible isomorphism $P \cong P^{\mathcal{B}} \oplus \pi_0(P)$. In particular, $P^{\mathcal{B}}$ is projective, and hence in the essential image of C by (2.2). So the adjunction map $CQ(P^{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow P^{\mathcal{B}}$ is an isomorphism. As $CQ(P^{\mathcal{B}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} CQ(P) = \tilde{P}$, this completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 2.12. — *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an epimorphism in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$, where Y is an object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Then there exists a subobject Y' of X such that $Y' \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ and the composition $Y' \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$ is an epimorphism.*

Proof. — We may assume that X is projective. By Lemma 2.11, we may then choose an isomorphism $X \cong \tilde{X} \oplus \pi_0(X)$ compatibly with $\gamma_X : X \rightarrow \pi_0(X)$. Since $\pi_0(f) : \pi_0(X) \rightarrow \pi_0(Y)$ is an epimorphism, and $\gamma_Y : Y \rightarrow \pi_0(Y)$ is an isomorphism, the statement holds with $Y' = \pi_0(X)$. \square

The above corollary asserts that the pair $(\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}), \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B}))$ satisfies the *lifting property* introduced in [Bri19, §2.2]. Thus, this property holds for the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ as well. Conversely, if $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ satisfies the lifting property, then every projective object in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ is projective in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ by [Bri19, Lem. 2.14].

Next, recall from [DG70, V.2.4] that every projective object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ is a product of indecomposable projectives, unique up to reordering; moreover, the indecomposable projectives are projective covers of objects of \mathcal{A} . Also, given $X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $Q(X)$ is projective in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B})$, the adjunction map $\rho : \tilde{X} = CQ(X) \rightarrow X$ is the projective cover of X (indeed, C sends projectives to projectives, and ρ is essential by Lemma 2.3). Together with Lemma 2.11, this yields the following result (see also [Gab62, III.3.Cor. 2]):

COROLLARY 2.13. — *The indecomposable projectives of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ are exactly those of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ and the \tilde{X} , where $X \in {}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ and $Q(X)$ is indecomposable projective in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B})$.*

The latter indecomposable projectives can be constructed as follows:

LEMMA 2.14. — *Let $X \in {}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$.*

(1) *Consider an exact sequence in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$,*

$$0 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{f} X \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then f is essential if and only if $Z \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ and $Y \in {}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$.

- (2) Assume that $Q(X)$ is projective in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})/\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Then the essential epimorphisms $f : Y \rightarrow X$, where $\text{Ker}(f) \in \mathcal{B}$, form a filtered inverse system with limit the projective cover of X in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. —

(1). — Note that f induces an epimorphism $Y/Y^{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow X/f(X^{\mathcal{B}})$. Since we have that $Y/Y^{\mathcal{B}} \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ and $X/f(X^{\mathcal{B}}) \in {}^{\perp}\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, we must have $X/f(X^{\mathcal{B}}) = 0$, i.e., the composition $Y^{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$ is an epimorphism.

Assume that f is essential. Then $Y^{\mathcal{B}} = Y$, i.e., $Y \in {}^{\perp}\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Also, by the lifting property, we have $Z = Z^{\mathcal{B}} + W$ for some subobject W of Z such that $W \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. This yields an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Z/W \longrightarrow Y/W \longrightarrow X/f(W) \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$, and hence in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B})$. As $X/f(W) \cong X$ is projective in the latter category, this sequence is split by some $g \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B})}(X, Y/W)$. In view of the assumption that $X \in {}^{\perp}\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, we may represent g by $h \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y/W')$ for some $W' \subset Y$ such that $W \subset W'$ and $W' \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Denote by p the composition of morphisms in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$

$$X \xrightarrow{h} Y/W' \longrightarrow X/f(W')$$

(where the morphism on the right is induced by f), and by $q : X \rightarrow X/f(W')$ the quotient morphism in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$. Then p represents the identity endomorphism of X in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})/\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$; thus, $p - q$ represents zero there. Using again the assumption that $X \in {}^{\perp}\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, it follows that $p - q$ is zero in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$. In particular, the composition $h(X) \rightarrow Y/W' \rightarrow X$ is an epimorphism. Since f is essential, h must be an epimorphism as well. So g is an isomorphism in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})/\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, hence $Z/W \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. We conclude that $Z \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$.

Conversely, assume that $Z \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ and $Y \in {}^{\perp}\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. Let $Y' \subset Y$ such that the composition $Y' \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$ is an epimorphism. Then $Y = Y' + Z$, hence $Z \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Y/Y'$ is an epimorphism as well. So Y/Y' is an object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, and hence is zero. We conclude that f is essential.

- (2). — Consider two exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow Z_i \longrightarrow Y_i \xrightarrow{f_i} X \longrightarrow 0 \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

where f_1, f_2 are essential and $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{B}$. Then the induced morphism

$$f : Y_1 \times_X Y_2 =: Y \longrightarrow X$$

is an epimorphism with kernel $Z_1 \times Z_2$. In view of (1), it follows that the composition $Y^{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$ is an essential epimorphism. Thus, these essential epimorphisms form a filtered inverse system.

Given such an essential epimorphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$, the map $\rho : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ lifts to a morphism $\varphi_Y : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow Y$. Moreover, φ_Y is unique (since $\text{Ker}(f) \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\widetilde{X} \in {}^{\perp}\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$), and is an epimorphism as well. So we obtain an epimorphism

$$\varphi : \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow \varprojlim Y$$

with an obvious notation. To show that φ is a monomorphism, consider the family (K_i) of subobjects of $\text{Ker}(\rho)$ such that $\text{Ker}(\rho)/K_i \in \mathcal{B}$. Then $\widetilde{X}/K_i \in \mathcal{A}$ and ρ factors through an essential epimorphism $\widetilde{X}/K_i \rightarrow X$; moreover, the corresponding morphism $\varphi_i : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}/K_i$ is just the quotient morphism. Since $\bigcap K_i$ is zero, this completes the proof. \square

2.4. Compatibility properties

Throughout this subsection, we consider an artinian abelian category \mathcal{A} , a Serre subcategory \mathcal{B} such that the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ satisfies the lifting property, and in addition a Serre subcategory \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{B} . We first relate the homotopy functors associated to the three pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ and $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$:

LEMMA 2.15. — *Let $X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$.*

- (1) *There is a natural isomorphism $\pi_0^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(\pi_0^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(X))$.*
- (2) *There is a spectral sequence $\pi_i^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(\pi_j^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(X)) \Rightarrow \pi_{i+j}^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}}(X)$.*

Proof. —

(1). — This follows readily from the definitions.

(2). — Recall that $\pi_0^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}} : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ sends projectives to projectives; also, every projective in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ is obviously acyclic for $\pi_0^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}$. In view of (1), this yields a Grothendieck spectral sequence as stated. \square

Remark 2.16. — When $X \in \mathcal{B}$, the above spectral sequence yields isomorphisms $\pi_i^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_i^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}}(X)$ for all $i \geq 0$, in view of Lemma 2.5. Alternatively, these isomorphisms follow from the obvious equality $\pi_0^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(X) = \pi_0^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}}(X)$, since every projective object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$ is projective in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$.

On the other hand, when $X \in {}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$, the first terms of the spectral sequence yield a natural isomorphism

$$\pi_1^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(\pi_1^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(X)).$$

This can also be seen directly: consider the universal extension of X by an object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$,

$$0 \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $Y := \pi_1^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(X)$. Then one may readily check that the induced exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Y/Y^{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{X}/Y^{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

is the universal extension of X by an object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$; thus, $Y/Y^{\mathcal{C}} \cong \pi_1^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(X)$. But also $Y/Y^{\mathcal{C}} = \pi_0^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(\pi_1^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(X))$.

Next, we investigate the behavior of the homotopy groups $\pi_i^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}$ under the quotient functor

$$Q^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}} : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})/\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}).$$

We will need the following observation:

LEMMA 2.17. — Assume that the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ satisfies the lifting property. Then \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{C} is a Serre subcategory of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} , and the quotient $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C})/(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{C})$ is naturally equivalent to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} . Moreover, the pair $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{C})$ satisfies the lifting property.

Proof. — Let $X \in \mathcal{B}$, $Y \in \mathcal{A}$, and let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be an isomorphism in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} . By [Bri19, Lem. 2.7], there exists a subobject $Y' \subset Y$ in \mathcal{A} such that $Y' \in \mathcal{C}$ and φ is represented by a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y/Y'$ in \mathcal{A} . Then $\text{Ker}(f)$ and $\text{Coker}(f)$ are objects of \mathcal{C} in view of [Gab62, III.1.Lem. 2]. Since \mathcal{B} is a Serre subcategory of \mathcal{A} containing \mathcal{C} , it follows that $Y \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus, \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{C} is a strict subcategory of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} .

Next, let $0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} . Then there exists a commutative diagram in that category

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Y_2 & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms, and the bottom sequence is the image of an exact sequence in \mathcal{A} under the quotient functor $Q^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}}$ (see [Bri19, Lem. 2.9]). As a consequence, $X \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{B}$. So \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{C} is a Serre subcategory of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} .

The equivalence of categories $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C})/(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$ follows from the universal property of quotient functors.

We now check that $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{C})$ satisfies the lifting property. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be an epimorphism in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} . In view of [Bri19, Lem. 2.7] again, replacing Y with an isomorphic object in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} , we may assume that φ is represented by a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{A} ; then $\text{Coker}(f)$ is an object of \mathcal{C} by [Gab62, III.1.Lem. 2] again. Next, we may replace X, Y with $X^{\mathcal{C}}, Y^{\mathcal{C}}$, and hence assume that f is an epimorphism in \mathcal{A} . Then there exists a subobject Y' of X such that $Y' \in \mathcal{B}$ and the composition $Y' \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$ is an epimorphism in \mathcal{A} , hence in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} . \square

LEMMA 2.18. — With the assumption of Lemma 2.17, $\pi_i^{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is naturally isomorphic to the image of $\pi_i^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(X)$ in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{C})$, for any $i \geq 0$ and $X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. — Recall that every projective object in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ is projective in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$. By the dual statement of [Gab62, III.3.Cor. 3], it follows that the quotient functor $Q^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}}$ sends projectives to projectives. Thus, it suffices to check the assertion for $i = 0$.

Let $X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X^{\mathcal{B}} \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow \pi_0^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(X) \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$, where $X^{\mathcal{B}} \in {}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B})$. This sequence is still exact in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C})$; thus, it suffices to show that $X^{\mathcal{B}} \in {}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{C})$. In view of Lemma 2.1, it suffices in turn to show that every morphism $\varphi : X^{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow Y$ in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C})$, where $Y \in \mathcal{B}$, is zero.

In $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$, we have $X^{\mathcal{B}} = \varprojlim X_i$, where $X_i \in \mathcal{A}$ and the projections $X^{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow X_i$ are epimorphisms. Hence this also holds in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C})$. Since

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C})}(\varprojlim X_i, Y) = \varinjlim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}}(X_i, Y),$$

we see that φ is represented by a morphism $\varphi_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} . Using [Bri19, Lem. 2.7], it follows that φ is represented by a morphism $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y/Y'$ in \mathcal{A} , for some $Y' \subset Y$ such that $Y' \in \mathcal{C}$. The composition $X^B \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow Y/Y'$ is zero, since $Y/Y' \in \mathcal{B}$. So $f_i = 0$, and $\varphi = 0$. \square

3. Fundamental groups of commutative algebraic groups

3.1. The affine fundamental group

Let k be a field. As in the introduction, we consider the artinian abelian category \mathcal{C} of commutative k -group schemes of finite type, and the associated pro-category $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ of pro-algebraic groups. We denote by \mathcal{L} the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} with objects the affine (or equivalently, linear) algebraic groups. Then \mathcal{L} is a Serre subcategory of \mathcal{C} , as follows from fpqc descent (see e.g. [Sta18, 34.20.18]). Also, recall that $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$ is equivalent to the category of commutative affine k -group schemes.

By the results of Subsection 2.1, every object of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ has a largest affine quotient; this yields a right exact functor

$$\pi_0^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}} : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{L}),$$

which commutes with filtered inverse limits and extends the affinization functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ considered for example in [DG70, III.3.8]. The results of Subsection 2.2 also apply to this setting, in view of the following observation:

LEMMA 3.1. — *The pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$ satisfies the lifting property.*

Proof. — Let $G \in \mathcal{C}$. By a variant of Chevalley's structure theorem for algebraic groups (see [Bri17, Thm. 2.3]) that we will use repeatedly, there is an exact sequence

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0,$$

where L is a linear algebraic group and A is an abelian variety. Let $f : G \rightarrow H$ be an epimorphism, where H is linear. Then $G' := G/(\text{Ker}(f) + L)$ is linear (as a quotient of $G/\text{Ker}(f) \cong H$) and is an abelian variety (as a quotient of $G/L \cong A$). Thus, $G' = 0$, i.e., $G = \text{Ker}(f) + L$. So the composition $L \rightarrow G \rightarrow H$ is an epimorphism. \square

We now describe the quotient categories \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{L} and $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})/\text{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$. Consider the full subcategory \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{C} with objects the abelian varieties; then \mathcal{A} is an additive subcategory, but not a Serre subcategory. Denote by $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ the corresponding isogeny category: the objects of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ are those of \mathcal{A} , and the morphisms are defined by $\text{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(G, H) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(G, H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Then $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ is a semi-simple artinian abelian category; its simple objects are exactly the simple abelian varieties, i.e., those having no non-trivial abelian subvariety.

LEMMA 3.2. — *With the above notation, the composite functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{L}$ induces equivalences of categories*

$$\underline{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{L}, \quad \text{Pro}(\underline{\mathcal{A}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})/\text{Pro}(\mathcal{L}).$$

Moreover, $\text{Pro}(\underline{\mathcal{A}})$ is semi-simple.

Proof. — Denote by $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{L}$ the composite functor. Then F is essentially surjective by Chevalley’s theorem again. Also, recall from [Gab62, III.1] that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{L}}(G, H) = \varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(G', H/H')$$

for all $G, H \in \mathcal{C}$, where G' (resp. H') runs over the subgroup schemes of G such that G/G' is linear (resp. the linear subgroup schemes of H). When G and H are abelian varieties, we must have $G' = G$; moreover, H' is finite, or equivalently, contained in the n -torsion subgroup scheme $H[n]$ for some $n \geq 1$. As a consequence,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{L}}(G, H) = \varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, H/H[n]),$$

where the direct limit is over the positive integers ordered by divisibility. This yields a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{L}}(G, H) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

(see e.g. [Bri17, Prop. 3.6] for details), and hence the first equivalence of categories, $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{L}$. Since $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})/\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$, this yields the second equivalence of categories $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})/\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$.

To show that $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ is semi-simple, it suffices to check that every object is projective. In view of [DG70, V.2.3.5], it suffices in turn to check that for any object G of $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{A})$ and any epimorphism $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ in \mathcal{A} , the induced map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(G, G_1) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(G, G_2)$ is surjective. But this follows from the existence of a section of f . \square

Before stating our next result, we introduce some notation. We denote by

$$Q = Q^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}} : \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})/\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$$

the quotient functor, and by

$$C = C^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}} : \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})/\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$$

the associated cosection functor. For any abelian variety A , we set

$$P(A) := CQ(A).$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. —

- (1) *The functor C is exact.*
- (2) *The projective objects of $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ are exactly the products of those of $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$ with the $P(A)$, where A is an abelian variety. Moreover, $P(A)$ is a projective cover of A in $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$, and is uniquely divisible.*

Proof. —

(1). — Recall that C commutes with inverse limits, and hence with products. Since the category $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})/\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$ is semi-simple (Lemma 3.2), this yields the assertion.

(2). — By Lemma 3.1 and [Bri19, Lem. 2.14], every projective object of $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$ is projective in $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$. In view of the dual statement of [Gab62, III.3.Cor. 2], it follows that the projective objects of $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ are exactly the products of those of $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$ with the images under C of projective objects of $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})/\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$. Using again the fact that this quotient category is semi-simple, this yields the first assertion.

Let A be an abelian variety. Since every affine quotient of A is trivial, the adjunction map $\rho : P(A) \rightarrow A$ is an epimorphism. Also, ρ is essential by Lemma 2.3; thus, $P(A)$ is a projective cover of A in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$. The unique divisibility assertion follows from Lemma 2.10, since A is divisible and its n -torsion subgroup schemes are finite for all $n \geq 1$. \square

3.2. The profinite fundamental group

We now consider the Serre subcategory \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{L} with objects the finite group schemes. As in the introduction, we denote by

$$\varpi_i := \pi_i^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}} : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$$

the profinite homotopy functors. For any $G \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$, the exact sequence (2.7) may be rewritten as

$$0 \longrightarrow \varpi_1(G) \longrightarrow \tilde{G} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \varpi_0(G) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where \tilde{G} denotes the profinite universal cover of $G^{\mathcal{F}} := \text{Ker}(G \rightarrow \varpi_0(G))$.

The pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ satisfies the lifting property in view of [Bri15, Thm. 1.1]; thus, we may again use the constructions and results of Section 2.

LEMMA 3.4. — *Let $G \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ be divisible.*

- (1) $G[n]$ is profinite for any $n \geq 1$.
- (2) $\varpi_0(G) = 0$.
- (3) \tilde{G} is the limit of the filtered inverse system $(G, n_G)_{n \geq 1}$, where the positive integers are ordered by divisibility. Also, \tilde{G} is uniquely divisible.
- (4) $\varpi_1(G) = \lim_{\leftarrow} G[n]$, where the limit is over the above system. Moreover, we have $\varpi_1(G)/n\varpi_1(G) \cong G[n]$ for any $n \geq 1$.
- (5) $\varpi_i(G) = 0$ for any $i \geq 2$.

Proof. —

(1). — Let $G = \lim_{\leftarrow} G_i$, where the G_i are algebraic groups and the projections $G \rightarrow G_i$ are epimorphisms. Then the induced map $G[n] \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow} G_i[n]$ is a monomorphism. Moreover, each G_i is divisible (as a quotient of G); thus, $G_i[n]$ is finite for dimension reasons. So $\lim_{\leftarrow} G_i[n]$ is profinite.

(2). — Consider an epimorphism $G \rightarrow H$, where $H \in \mathcal{F}$. Then H is divisible (as a quotient of G) and torsion (as a finite group scheme), hence zero. This yields the assertion.

(3). — Let $G' := \lim_{\leftarrow} G$ (limit over the above system). For any $H \in \mathcal{C}$ and $i \geq 0$, we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})}^i(G', H) \cong \lim_{\rightarrow} \text{Ext}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})}^i(G, H)$$

in view of [DG70, V.2.3.9]. Assume that $H \in \mathcal{F}$; then we may choose an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $n_H = 0$. Thus, $\text{Ext}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})}^i(G, H)$ is killed by n ; as a consequence, we have that $\text{Ext}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})}^i(G', H) = 0$. Using Lemma 2.4, it follows that the adjunction map $CQ(G') \rightarrow G'$ is an isomorphism.

The projection $\pi : G' \rightarrow G$ associated with $n = 1$, lies in an exact sequence

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow} G[n] \longrightarrow G' \xrightarrow{\pi} G \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\lim_{\leftarrow} G[n]$ is profinite. Thus, π induces an isomorphism $CQ(G') \rightarrow CQ(G) = \tilde{G}$. So we may identify G' with \tilde{G} . Then (3.2) is identified with the universal profinite extension of G , in view of Lemma 2.8.

(4). — The first assertion has just been proved; the second one follows from Lemma 2.10 in view of the vanishing of $\varpi_0(G)$.

(5). — By Lemma 2.10 again, the profinite group scheme $\varpi_i(G)$ is uniquely divisible for any $i \geq 2$. As a consequence, every finite quotient of $\varpi_i(G)$ is divisible, hence zero. This yields the assertion. \square

We may now prove a large part of our main result:

THEOREM 3.5. — *Assume that k is perfect.*

- (1) *We have $\varpi_i = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$; equivalently, ϖ_1 is left exact.*
- (2) *The cosection functor $C : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})/\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ is exact.*
- (3) *The profinite universal cover \tilde{G} has projective dimension at most 1, for any $G \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. —

(1). — In view of the homotopy exact sequence and the fact that ϖ_i commutes with filtered inverse limits, it suffices to show that $\varpi_i(G) = 0$ for any $G \in \mathcal{C}$ and any $i \geq 2$. This follows from Lemma 3.4 when G is an abelian variety. On the other hand, when $G \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $\varpi_i(G) = \pi_i^{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F}}(G)$ in view of Remark 2.16 and Lemma 3.1. So the assertion follows from [DG70, V.3.6.8] in that case. In the general case, just recall that every $G \in \mathcal{C}$ is an extension of an abelian variety by a linear algebraic group.

(2). — This is just a reformulation of (1) (see Lemma 2.9).

(3). — By the main result of [Bri17], the category \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F} has homological dimension 1; hence the same holds for the category $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})/\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F})$ (see e.g. [Bri19, Prop. 2.12, Lem. 2.15]). As C sends projectives to projectives, this yields the assertion. \square

Remark 3.6. — Returning to an arbitrary ground field k , consider the full subcategory \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} with objects the finite étale group schemes. Then \mathcal{E} is a Serre subcategory of \mathcal{F} ; moreover, the pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ satisfies the lifting property if and only if k is perfect (see e.g. [Bri15, Thm. 1.1, Rem. 3.3]). The functors

$$\pi_i := \pi_i^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}} : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{E})$$

are the “pro-étale homotopy functors”, considered in [DG70, V.3.4.1] for affine group schemes over perfect fields; note that $\pi_0(G) = G/G^0$ for any $G \in \mathcal{C}$, where G^0 denotes the neutral component (see e.g. [DG70, II.5.1]). The functor

$$\pi_0^{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}} : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{E})$$

is exact in view of [DG70, V.3.1.5]; using Lemma 2.15, this yields natural isomorphisms

$$\pi_i(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0^{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}}(\pi_i^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}}(G))$$

for all $G \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ and all $i \geq 0$. As a consequence, the pro-étale fundamental group π_1 is left exact when k is perfect.

3.3. Projective covers of abelian varieties

Consider an abelian variety A , and its projective cover $P(A)$ in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$. By Proposition 3.3, we have an exact sequence in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow L(A) \longrightarrow P(A) \xrightarrow{\rho} A \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $L(A)$ is affine. Also, recall that (3.3) is the universal affine extension of A , that is, the pushout by this extension yields an isomorphism

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}(L(A), G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})}^1(A, G)$$

for any $G \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$.

Next, note that an algebraic group G is an object of ${}^\perp \text{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$ if and only if G is anti-affine, i.e., $\mathcal{O}(G) = k$ (as follows from the affinization theorem, see [DG70, III.3.8.2]). In view of Lemma 2.14, it follows that $P(A)$ is the inverse limit of all anti-affine extensions of A . Using the affinization theorem again, one can deduce that the exact sequence (3.3) is the universal affine extension of A by a (not necessarily commutative) affine k -group scheme. One can also obtain a structure result for $P(A)$ by using the classification of anti-affine groups (see [Bri09, Thm. 2.7]). We will rather obtain such a result (Theorem 3.10) via an alternative approach, which relates $P(A)$ to the universal profinite cover of A .

Consider the exact sequence as in (2.1),

$$0 \longrightarrow L(A)^{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow L(A) \longrightarrow \varpi_0(L(A)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then the induced exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varpi_0(L(A)) \longrightarrow P(A)/L(A)^{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

is the universal profinite extension of A , as observed in Remark 2.16. We thus identify $\varpi_0(L(A))$ with $\varpi_1(A)$, and $P(A)/L(A)^{\mathcal{F}}$ with the profinite universal cover \tilde{A} . This yields an exact sequence

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow L(A)^{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow P(A) \longrightarrow \tilde{A} \longrightarrow 0.$$

LEMMA 3.7. — *With the above notation, $\varpi_i(\tilde{A}) = 0 = \varpi_i(L(A)^{\mathcal{F}})$ for any $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. — Since A is divisible, we have $\varpi_i(A) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$ in view of Lemma 3.4. Using the homotopy exact sequence associated with the universal profinite extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \varpi_1(A) \longrightarrow \tilde{A} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

together with Lemma 2.5, it follows that $\varpi_i(\tilde{A}) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$ as well. Also, we have by construction $\varpi_0(\tilde{A}) = 0 = \varpi_1(\tilde{A})$.

The assertion on the $\varpi_i(L(A)^{\mathcal{F}})$ follows by using the exact sequence (3.5). \square

By [DG70, IV.3.1.1], there is a unique exact sequence in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow M(A) \longrightarrow L(A) \longrightarrow U(A) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $M(A)$ is of multiplicative type and $U(A)$ is unipotent; if k is perfect, then (3.6) has a unique splitting. We now investigate the unipotent part $U(A)$:

LEMMA 3.8. —

(1) *There is an isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}(U(A), \mathbb{G}_a) \cong H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$$

which is compatible with the action of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a)$.

(2) *If $\text{char}(k) = 0$, then $U(A)$ is the unipotent group with Lie algebra dual of $H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$. In particular, $\dim(U(A)) = \dim(A)$.*

(3) *If $\text{char}(k) > 0$, then $U(A)$ is profinite.*

Proof. —

(1). — Since $\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}(M(A), \mathbb{G}_a) = 0$, the exact sequence (3.6) yields an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}(U(A), \mathbb{G}_a) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}(L(A), \mathbb{G}_a).$$

The latter is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Ext}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})}^1(A, \mathbb{G}_a)$ in view of the isomorphism (3.4). Moreover, we have natural isomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})}^1(A, \mathbb{G}_a) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(A, \mathbb{G}_a) \cong H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$$

(see e.g. [Oor66, III.17]).

(2). — This follows from (1) combined with [DG70, IV.2.4.2].

(3). — Assume that $U(A)$ is not profinite. By [DG70, V.3.2.5], there exists an epimorphism $U(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$. This yields a monomorphism $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a) \rightarrow H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$, a contradiction since the right-hand side is a finite-dimensional k -vector space. \square

Next, we describe the part of multiplicative type, $M(A)$. By Cartier duality (see [DG70, IV.1.3.6]), this amounts to determining the character group $X(M(A))$ as a module under the absolute Galois group, $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(k_s/k)$, where k_s denotes a separable closure of k .

LEMMA 3.9. —

(1) *If k is perfect, then $X(M(A)) \cong X(L(A)) \cong \widehat{A}(k_s)$ as Galois modules, where \widehat{A} denotes the dual abelian variety of A .*

(2) *For an arbitrary field k , we have*

$$X(L(A)^{\mathcal{F}}) \cong X(M(A)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong X(L(A)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \widehat{A}(k_s) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

as Galois modules.

Proof. —

(1). — Recall that $L(A) \cong M(A) \times U(A)$. In view of the isomorphism (3.4), this yields a natural isomorphism for any torus T

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}(M(A), T) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(A, T).$$

By the Weil–Barsotti formula (see e.g. [Oor66, III.17,III.18]), there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(A, T) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^\Gamma(X(T), \widehat{A}(k_s)).$$

Combining these isomorphisms yields the statement by using Cartier duality.

(2). — If k is perfect, then $L(A)^\mathcal{F} \cong M(A)^\mathcal{F} \times U(A)^\mathcal{F}$ with an obvious notation. Thus, $X(L(A)^\mathcal{F}) \cong X(M(A)^\mathcal{F})$. In view of (1) combined with [DG70, V.3.5.2], the Galois module $X(M(A)^\mathcal{F})$ is the quotient of $\widehat{A}(k_s)$ by its torsion subgroup. As $\widehat{A}(k_s)$ is divisible, this yields the statement.

Next, if k is imperfect (in particular, of characteristic $p > 0$), then $L(A)^\mathcal{F} \subset M(A)$ by Lemma 3.8(3); in particular, $L(A)^\mathcal{F}$ is of multiplicative type. Also, we obtain that $\varpi_0(L(A)^\mathcal{F}) = 0 = \varpi_1(L(A)^\mathcal{F})$ by using Lemma 3.7. In view of [DG70, V.3.5.2] again, it follows that $X(L(A)^\mathcal{F})$ is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space. Thus, so is $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}(L(A)^\mathcal{F}, T)$ for any torus T . Since $M(A)/L(A)^\mathcal{F}$ is profinite, this yields a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}(M(A), T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}(L(A)^\mathcal{F}, T).$$

Also, the exact sequence (3.6) yields an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}(L(A), T) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}(M(A), T) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}^1(U(A), T).$$

Recall that $U(A)$ is a filtered inverse limit of unipotent algebraic groups U_i . Then

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}^1(U(A), T) \cong \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}^1(U_i, T)$$

in view of [DG70, V.2.3.9]. Moreover, since each U_i is killed by a power of p , so is each $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}^1(U_i, T)$. As a consequence, we obtain a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}(M(A), T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}(L(A), T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q},$$

and hence a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}(L(A)^\mathcal{F}, T) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})}(L(A), T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

Arguing as in (1) completes the proof. \square

We may summarize the main results of this subsection in the following:

THEOREM 3.10. — *Let A be an abelian variety over a field k with characteristic $p \geq 0$ and separable closure k_s .*

- (1) *The universal profinite cover \tilde{A} is the limit of the filtered inverse system of multiplication maps $(A, n_A)_{n \geq 1}$.*
- (2) *The exact sequence (3.5), $0 \rightarrow L(A)^\mathcal{F} \rightarrow P(A) \rightarrow \tilde{A} \rightarrow 0$, is a projective resolution of \tilde{A} .*
- (3) *If $p = 0$ then $L(A)^\mathcal{F} = M(A)^\mathcal{F} \times U(A)$, where $M(A)^\mathcal{F}$ is the group of multiplicative type with character group $\widehat{A}(k_s) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, and $U(A)$ is the unipotent group with Lie algebra dual of $H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$.*
- (4) *If $p > 0$ then $L(A)^\mathcal{F} = M(A)^\mathcal{F}$, where the latter is defined as above.*

Proof. — All the assertions follow from Lemmas 3.4, 3.8 and 3.9, except for the projectivity of $L(A)^\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$, or equivalently in $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$. If $p > 0$, then the group $L(A)^\mathcal{F}$ is of multiplicative type and its character group is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space, hence the desired assertion by [DG70, V.3.5.2]. If $p = 0$, then we use in addition the fact that every unipotent group is projective in $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$ (see e.g. [DG70, V.3.6.5]). \square

3.4. Structure of indecomposable projectives

We still consider an arbitrary ground field k , of characteristic $p \geq 0$.

PROPOSITION 3.11. — *The indecomposable projectives of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ are exactly:*

- (1) *the $P(A)$, where A is a simple abelian variety,*
- (2) *the universal profinite covers of the simple tori,*
- (3) *the additive group \mathbb{G}_a if $p = 0$, resp. the universal profinite cover of the Witt group scheme $W := \lim_{\leftarrow} W_n$ if $p > 0$,*
- (4) *the indecomposable projectives of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. — Applying Corollary 2.13 to the pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$, we see that the indecomposable projectives of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ are exactly those of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$ and the universal profinite covers \tilde{P} , where P is an indecomposable projective of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F})$. Also, every object of \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F} has finite length (see [Bri17, Prop. 3.2]). In view of [DG70, V.2.4.6], it follows that every indecomposable projective of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F})$ is the projective cover of a simple object of \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F} , unique up to isomorphism.

Next, the simple objects of \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F} are exactly \mathbb{G}_a , the simple tori and the simple abelian varieties (see [Bri17, Prop. 3.2] again). Moreover, every torus is projective in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F} , and hence in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F})$; also, \mathbb{G}_a is projective if and only if $p = 0$ (see [Bri17, Thm. 5.14]). The universal profinite cover of a torus T is the group of multiplicative type with character group $X(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, in view of [DG70, V.3.5.2]. Also, $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}_a = \mathbb{G}_a$ if $p = 0$, as follows e.g. from Lemma 3.4. If $p > 0$ and k is perfect, then the projective cover of \mathbb{G}_a in \mathcal{L} (or equivalently, in \mathcal{C}) is the universal profinite cover \tilde{W} (see [DG70, V.3.7.5]); equivalently, W is the projective cover of \mathbb{G}_a in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F})$. But the category \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F} is invariant under base change by purely inseparable field extensions (see [Bri17, Thm. 3.11]); moreover, W is obtained by base change of a group scheme of finite type over \mathbb{Z} , and hence makes sense over an arbitrary field k . Thus, \tilde{W} is the projective cover of \mathbb{G}_a in that setting, too. \square

Remark 3.12. — We now describe the indecomposable projectives of the profinite category $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$ in terms of those of the pro-étale category $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{E})$. For this, we may assume that $p > 0$, since $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}$ if $p = 0$.

We will adapt the arguments in the proof of Proposition 3.11 twice. First, consider the pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{I})$, where \mathcal{I} denotes the full subcategory of \mathcal{F} consisting of the infinitesimal algebraic groups; then \mathcal{I} is a Serre subcategory of \mathcal{F} , and the pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{I})$ satisfies the lifting property in view of [Bri17, Lem. 2.2]. Also, the quotient category \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I} is equivalent to the category \mathcal{E} of étale algebraic groups, by assigning to any finite algebraic group its largest étale quotient. It follows that the functor

$$\pi_0^{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}} : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{E})$$

yields an equivalence of categories $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I}) \cong \text{Pro}(\mathcal{E})$. Thus, *the indecomposable projectives of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$ are exactly those of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{I})$ and the universal pro-infinitesimal covers \tilde{P} , where P is an indecomposable projective of \mathcal{E} .*

Next, consider the pair $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_m)$, where \mathcal{I}_m denotes the full subcategory of \mathcal{I} consisting of (infinitesimal algebraic) groups of multiplicative type. Then again, \mathcal{I}_m is a Serre subcategory; moreover, $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}_m \cong \mathcal{I}_u$, the full subcategory of \mathcal{I} consisting of

unipotent groups (see [DG70, IV.3.1.1]). Also, \mathcal{I}_u has a unique simple object α_p , the kernel of the Frobenius endomorphism of \mathbb{G}_a (see [DG70, IV.2.2.5]).

We now show that the pair $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_m)$ satisfies the lifting property. Consider an epimorphism $f : G \rightarrow H$ in \mathcal{I} , where H is multiplicative. Denote by M the largest multiplicative subgroup of G ; then G/M is unipotent, hence so is $H/f(M)$. It follows that $H/f(M) = 0$, i.e., the composition $M \rightarrow G \rightarrow H$ is an epimorphism as well.

As a consequence, we see that *the indecomposable projectives of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{I})$ are exactly those of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{I}_m)$ and the universal multiplicative cover \tilde{P} , where P is the projective cover of α_p in \mathcal{I}_u .*

The above results take a much simpler form when k is perfect: then we have an equivalence of categories

$$\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{I}_m \times \mathcal{I}_u \times \mathcal{E}$$

in view of [DG70, IV.3.5.9]. Thus, the indecomposable projectives of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$ are exactly those of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{I}_m)$, $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{I}_u)$ and $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{E})$.

3.5. Field extensions

For any field extension k'/k , we denote by

$$\otimes_k k' : \mathcal{C}_k \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{k'}, \quad G \longmapsto G_{k'}$$

the associated base change functor. Then $\otimes_k k'$ is exact and faithful; hence it extends uniquely to an exact functor $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_k) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_{k'})$ which commutes with filtered inverse limits (see e.g. [KS06, Prop. 6.1.9, Cor. 8.6.8]). We still denote this extension by $\otimes_k k'$.

LEMMA 3.13. — *The functor $\otimes_k k' : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_k) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_{k'})$ is faithful. If k'/k is separable algebraic, then $\otimes_k k'$ sends projectives to projectives.*

Proof. — Let $X, Y \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_k)$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_k)}(X, Y)$ such that $f_{k'} = 0$. Then $\text{Im}(f_{k'}) = 0$. Since $\otimes_k k'$ is exact, this means that $\text{Im}(f)_{k'} = 0$. Let $Z := \text{Im}(f)$, then $Z = \lim_{\leftarrow} Z_i$ (filtered inverse limit), where $Z_i \in \mathcal{C}_k$ and $Z \rightarrow Z_i$ is an epimorphism for all i . Thus, $Z_{k'}$ is the filtered inverse limit of the $(Z_i)_{k'}$, and $Z_{k'} \rightarrow (Z_i)_{k'}$ is an epimorphism for all i as well. As $Z_{k'} = 0$, it follows that $(Z_i)_{k'} = 0$ for all i . So $Z_i = 0$ and $Z = 0$, that is, $f = 0$. This proves that $\otimes_k k'$ is faithful.

Next, assume that k'/k is separable algebraic and let $P \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_k)$ be projective. To show that $P_{k'}$ is projective in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_{k'})$, it suffices to check that given an epimorphism $f : G \rightarrow H$ and a morphism $g : P_{k'} \rightarrow H$, where $G, H \in \mathcal{C}_{k'}$, there exists a morphism $h : P_{k'} \rightarrow G$ in $\mathcal{C}_{k'}$ such that $g = f \circ h$ (see [DG70, V.2.3.5]). As above, we have $P = \lim_{\leftarrow} P_i$ (filtered inverse limit), where $P_i \in \mathcal{C}_k$ and $P \rightarrow P_i$ is an epimorphism for all i . So g lies in

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_{k'})}(P_{k'}, H) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_{k'})}(\lim_{\leftarrow} (P_i)_{k'}, H) = \lim_{\rightarrow} \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_{k'})}((P_i)_{k'}, H).$$

Thus, g is represented by a morphism $g_i : (P_i)_{k'} \rightarrow H$ for some i . Since the schemes $G, H, (P_i)_{k'}$ are of finite type over k' , the morphisms $f : G \rightarrow H$ and $g_i : (P_i)_{k'} \rightarrow H$ are “defined over some finite subextension K/k ”, i.e., there exist such a subextension

and morphisms $f_K : G_K \rightarrow H_K$, $(g_i)_K : (P_i)_K \rightarrow H_K$ in \mathcal{C}_K such that $f = f_K \otimes_K k'$ and $g_i = (g_i)_K \otimes_K k'$. Then

$$(g_i)_K \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_K}((P_i)_K, H_K) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_k}(P_i, \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(H_K)),$$

where $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}$ denotes the Weil restriction (see e.g. [DG70, I.1.6.6] or [CGP15, App. B]). As K/k is finite and separable and $f_K : G_K \rightarrow H_K$ is an epimorphism, the map $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(f_K) : \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G_K) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(H_K)$ is an epimorphism as well (see [DG70, III.5.7.9]). Since P is projective, it follows that $(g_i)_K$ lifts to a morphism

$$(f_j)_K \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_k}(P_j, \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G_K)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_K}((P_j)_K, G_K)$$

for some j . This yields a lift $f_j \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{k'}}((P_j)_{k'}, G_{k'})$ of g_i , and in turn the desired lift $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}'_k}(P_{k'}, G_{k'})$ of g . \square

Remark 3.14. — In the setting of affine group schemes, the fact that the base change functor $\otimes_k k'$ preserves projectives for any separable algebraic extension k' of k is due to Demazure and Gabriel (see [DG70, V.3.2.1]). For arbitrary group schemes, this fact is stated and used in [Mil70, p. 437], but the argument sketched there is flawed.

We may now complete the proof of the main theorem:

PROPOSITION 3.15. — *For any $i \geq 0$, the functors $\pi_i^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}}$ and ϖ_i commute with base change under separable algebraic field extensions. Moreover, the same holds for the universal affine and profinite covers.*

Proof. — The restriction of $\pi_0^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}}$ to \mathcal{C} is the affinization functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, which commutes with base change under arbitrary field extensions (see e.g. [DG70, III.3.8.1]). Thus, so does $\pi_0^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}}$, since it commutes with filtered inverse limits. By Lemma 3.13, it follows that $\pi_i^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}}$ commutes with base change under separable algebraic field extensions for any $i \geq 1$. In view of Lemma 2.8, the universal affine cover satisfies the same property.

We now show that ϖ_0 (the largest profinite quotient) commutes with $\otimes_k k'$, where k'/k is any separable algebraic field extension; this will imply the assertions on the profinite homotopy groups and profinite universal cover by arguing as above. For any $X \in {}^\perp \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{F}_k)$, we have to check that $X_{k'} \in {}^\perp \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{F}_{k'})$, i.e., $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{k'}}(X_{k'}, Y) = 0$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{F}_{k'}$. But this follows by a Weil restriction argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.13.

More specifically, let $X = \lim_{\leftarrow} X_i$ (filtered inverse limit), where $X_i \in \mathcal{C}_k$ and the natural map $X \rightarrow X_i$ is an epimorphism for all i . Then $X_{k'} = \lim_{\leftarrow} (X_i)_{k'}$ (filtered inverse limit), where $(X_i)_{k'} \in \mathcal{C}_{k'}$ and the natural map $X_{k'} \rightarrow (X_i)_{k'}$ is an epimorphism for all i as well. Thus, for any morphism $f : X_{k'} \rightarrow Y$, where $Y \in \mathcal{F}_{k'}$, there exists i such that f is the composition $X_{k'} \rightarrow (X_i)_{k'} \rightarrow Y$ for some morphism $f_i : (X_i)_{k'} \rightarrow Y$. In turn, there exist a finite subextension K/k and a morphism $(f_i)_K : (X_i)_K \rightarrow Y_K$ in \mathcal{C}_K , such that $f_i = (f_i)_K \otimes_K k'$. We now have

$$(f_i)_K \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_K}((X_i)_K, Y_K) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_k}(X_i, \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(Y_K)).$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(Y_K) \in \mathcal{F}_k$, since Y is a finite k' -group scheme and hence Y_K is a finite K -group scheme. It follows that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_k}(X_i, \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(Y_K)) = 0$, as $X \in {}^\perp \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{F}_k)$. Thus, $(f_i)_K = 0$, so that $f_i = 0$ and $f = 0$. \square

Remark 3.16. — One may check similarly that the functors $\pi_i^{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{I}}$ and the universal pro-infinitesimal cover (considered in Remark 3.12) also commute with base change under separable algebraic field extensions. Indeed, being infinitesimal is preserved under Weil restriction associated with finite separable field extensions.

Likewise, the functors $\pi_i^{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^m}$ and the universal multiplicative cover commute with such base change, since being of multiplicative type is preserved under Weil restriction as above.

By Proposition 3.15, the profinite fundamental group ϖ_1 commutes with base change under algebraic field extensions in characteristic 0. Yet this does not extend to an imperfect ground field, see Remark 3.21 below. To remedy this, we now recall the definition of the prime-to- p part of ϖ_1 , and show that it satisfies the assertions of the main theorem.

Every finite group scheme G decomposes into a product $G_p \times G_{p'}$, where G_p is a p -group, and $G_{p'}$ has order prime to p ; moreover, $G_{p'}$ is étale. This decomposition is clearly functorial, and yields an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}_p \times \mathcal{F}_{p'}$ with an obvious notation. In turn, we obtain an equivalence of categories

$$\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{F}_p) \times \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{F}_{p'}),$$

where every object of $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{F}_{p'})$ is pro-étale. Composing the resulting exact functor $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{F}_{p'})$ (the prime-to- p part) with the profinite homotopy functors ϖ_i , we obtain functors

$$\varpi_i^{(p')} : \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{F}_{p'}).$$

PROPOSITION 3.17. — *With the above notation and assumptions, the functor $\varpi_1^{(p')}$ is left exact and commutes with base change under algebraic field extensions. If k is algebraically closed and G is a smooth connected algebraic group, then $\varpi_1^{(p')}(G)$ is the prime-to- p part of the étale fundamental group of the scheme G .*

Proof. — To show the first assertion, it suffices to check that $\varpi_1^{(p')}$ commutes with purely inseparable field extensions, in view of Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.15. We may identify the prime-to- p functor $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{F}_{p'})$ with the quotient functor $Q^{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_p} : \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{F})/\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{F}_p)$; moreover, the pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ satisfies the lifting property (see [Bri19, Lem. 3.1]). Thus, $\varpi_i^{(p')}(G)$ is identified with the image of $\varpi_i(G)$ in $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F}_p$ for any $G \in \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ (Lemma 2.18). Moreover, the category $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F}_p$ is invariant under base change by purely inseparable extensions, in view of [Bri19, Thm. 3.17]; thus so is its torsion subcategory, $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_p$. This implies the desired statement.

The second assertion follows from the fact that every étale Galois cover of the scheme G has the structure of a smooth commutative algebraic group, unique up to the choice of the neutral element (see e.g. [BS13, Prop. 1.1]). \square

Remark 3.18. — To obtain a version of the profinite fundamental group which commutes with all algebraic field extensions, one may also consider the quotient category of \mathcal{C} by the Serre subcategory \mathcal{I} of infinitesimal algebraic groups. We may view \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I} as the category of algebraic groups up to purely inseparable isogeny, or alternatively as that of quasi-algebraic groups in the sense of [Ser60] (see also [DG70, V.3.4.5]). The pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{I})$ satisfies the lifting property (see e.g. [Bri17, Lem. 2.2]); in

view of Lemma 2.18, it follows that $\pi_i^{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I}}(G)$ is the image of $\varpi_i(G)$ in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I})$, for any $G \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ and any $i \geq 0$. But $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I}) \cong \text{Pro}(\mathcal{E})$ via the largest pro-étale quotient functor $\pi_0^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}}$; also, \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I} and its subcategory \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I} are invariant under base change by purely inseparable field extensions (see [Bri19, Thm. 3.17]). As a consequence, we obtain functors

$$\pi_i^{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E}} : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I}) \longrightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{E})$$

which commute indeed with algebraic field extensions.

If k is perfect, then $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{E}$; thus, $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Pro}(\mathcal{I}) \times \text{Pro}(\mathcal{E})$ and this identifies the quotient functor

$$Q^{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{I}} : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I})$$

with the corresponding projection $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{E})$. It follows that the composite functor $\pi_i^{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E}} \circ Q^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{I}}$ is identified with the pro-étale homotopy functor π_i discussed in Remark 3.6. Thus, $\pi_1^{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E}}$ is left exact and its prime-to- p part is $\varpi_1^{(p')}$.

The latter assertion extends to an imperfect field k , since $\pi_1^{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E}}$ may be identified with the pro-étale fundamental group over its perfect closure.

Remark 3.19. — The functor ϖ_0 does not commute with base change under purely inseparable field extensions. Consider indeed an imperfect field k , and choose $t \in k \setminus k^p$. Let G denote the kernel of the morphism

$$\mathbb{G}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_a, \quad (x, y) \longmapsto x^p - ty^p.$$

Then G is connected and reduced; thus, $\varpi_0(G)$ is connected and reduced as well, hence zero. Let $k' := k(t^{1/p})$, then the map $(x, y) \mapsto (x, x - t^{1/p}y)$ yields an isomorphism $G_{k'} \cong \mathbb{G}_{a, k'} \times \alpha_{p, k'}$, where $\alpha_{p, k'}$ denotes the kernel of the Frobenius endomorphism

$$F : \mathbb{G}_{a, k'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a, k'}, \quad x \longmapsto x^p.$$

Thus, $\varpi_0(G_{k'}) \cong \alpha_{p, k'}$.

Remark 3.20. — The functor ϖ_1 does not commute with base change under purely inseparable field extensions either. Consider indeed a smooth connected algebraic group G and a finite group scheme H . Then $\varpi_0(G) = 0$, hence we obtain canonical isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})}(\varpi_1(G), H) \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})}^1(G, H) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(G, H).$$

If ϖ_1 commutes with base change under a field extension k'/k , then the map

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(G, H) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{k'}}^1(G_{k'}, H_{k'})$$

is injective in view of the above isomorphisms and the faithfulness of $\otimes_k k'$ (obtained in Lemma 3.13).

Now assume that k is separably closed, but not algebraically closed; then there exist nontrivial k -forms of \mathbb{G}_a , and $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(G, \mathbb{G}_m) \neq 0$ for any such form G (see [Tot13, Lem. 9.4]). As G is killed by p , so is $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$. It follows that the natural map

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(G, \mu_p) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

is surjective, where μ_p denotes the kernel of the p th power map of \mathbb{G}_m . Thus, $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(G, \mu_p) \neq 0$. On the other hand, $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\bar{k}}}^1(G_{\bar{k}}, \mu_{p, \bar{k}}) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\bar{k}}}^1(\mathbb{G}_{a, \bar{k}}, \mu_{p, \bar{k}})$ vanishes

in view of the structure of commutative linear algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields (see e.g. [DG70, IV.3.1.1]). So ϖ_1 does not commute with the (purely inseparable) extension \bar{k}/k .

Remark 3.21. — The above examples show that the “pro-infinitesimal part” of ϖ_i (the largest pro-infinitesimal subobject) does not commute with base change under purely inseparable field extensions for $i = 0, 1$. One may wonder whether the “pro-étale part” (the largest pro-étale quotient of ϖ_i) is better behaved. The answer is affirmative for ϖ_0 , which commutes with arbitrary field extensions (see [DG70, II.5.1]). Also, the answer is affirmative for the prime-to- p part of ϖ_1 by Proposition 3.17. But the answer is negative for its pro-étale p -primary part, as we now show in the case of the additive group \mathbb{G}_a .

Since \mathbb{G}_a is killed by p , so are $\varpi_1(\mathbb{G}_a)$ and its largest pro-étale quotient Q . Denoting by ν_p the constant k -group scheme associated with $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, it follows that the natural map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})}(Q, \nu_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})}(\varpi_1(\mathbb{G}_a), \nu_p)$ is an isomorphism. So it suffices to show that the formation of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})}(\varpi_1(\mathbb{G}_a), \nu_p)$ does not commute with purely inseparable field extensions.

As in 3.20 above, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})}(\varpi_1(\mathbb{G}_a), \nu_p) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(\mathbb{G}_a, \nu_p)$$

of modules over $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a)$. Also, recall that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a)$ consists of the additive polynomials (also known as p -polynomials),

$$x \longmapsto a_0 x + a_1 x^p + \cdots + a_n x^{p^n},$$

where $a_0, \dots, a_n \in k$ (see e.g. [DG70, II.3.4.4]). By the proof of [Sai17, Prop. 1.20], we have an “Artin–Schreier” exact sequence

$$(3.7) \quad \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(\mathbb{G}_a, \nu_p) \longrightarrow 0$$

of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a)$ -modules, where $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a)$ acts on its two copies by right multiplication, and $\mathcal{P}(f)(x) := f(x)^p - f(x)$ for any $f \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a)$ and $x \in \mathbb{G}_a$. We claim that the exact sequence (3.7) can also be obtained as follows: consider a nontrivial extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \nu_p \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{x} \mathbb{G}_a \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then G is smooth and unipotent; also, the composition $G^0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ is an epimorphism, where G^0 denotes the neutral component. It follows that G is connected, and hence is a k -form of \mathbb{G}_a . By [Rus70, Lem. 1.3], there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{y} \mathbb{G}_a \longrightarrow 0,$$

where I is infinitesimal; moreover, we have $y = F_G^n$ for $n \gg 0$. Then the morphism $(x, y) : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a$ has a trivial kernel; its cokernel is a quotient of $\mathbb{G}_a \times \{0\}$ for dimension reasons, and hence is isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_a in view of [DG70, IV.2.1.1]. This yields an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{(x,y)} \mathbb{G}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a \xrightarrow{f+g} \mathbb{G}_a \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $f, g \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a)$. So we may view G as the zero scheme $\mathcal{V}(f(x) + g(y))$ in $\mathbb{G}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a$; this identifies $\nu_p = \mathrm{Ker}(x : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a)$ with $\mathrm{Ker}(g)$. We may thus assume

that $g(y) = y^p - y$, so that $G = \mathcal{V}(y^p - y + f(x))$. This defines a map

$$u : \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(\mathbb{G}_a, \nu_p), \quad f \longmapsto \mathcal{V}(y^p - y + f(x)),$$

which is surjective as $f = 0$ gives the trivial extension. One may readily check that u is a morphism of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a)$ -modules; also, $u(f) = 0$ if and only if $f(x) = h(x)^p - h(x)$ for some $h \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a)$, that is, $f = \mathcal{P}(h)$. This completes the proof of the claim.

Clearly, we have $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a, \nu_p) = 0$. To describe $\text{Coker}(\mathcal{P})$, we first consider the case where k is perfect. Then

$$a x^{p^n} = \mathcal{P}(a^{1/p} x^{p^{n-1}}) + a^{1/p} x^{p^{n-1}}$$

for all $a \in k$ and all integers $n \geq 1$. It follows that $\text{Coker}(\mathcal{P}) \cong k$ via the map $k \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a)$ given by scalar multiplication. For an arbitrary field k , we obtain by using a p -basis

$$\text{Coker}(\mathcal{P}) \cong k \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} k x^{p^n} / k^p x^{p^n}.$$

In particular, the natural map $k \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\mathcal{P})$ is not surjective if k is imperfect. This shows that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(\mathbb{G}_a, \nu_p)$ does not commute with purely inseparable field extensions.

The above construction may be interpreted in terms of the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \nu_p \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{G}_a \xrightarrow{F-\text{id}} \mathbb{G}_a \longrightarrow 0,$$

which yields an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a)/(F - \text{id}) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(\mathbb{G}_a, \nu_p) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{G}_a),$$

where the image of ι^* is the kernel of $F - \text{id}$. Since $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a)$ is the noncommutative polynomial ring $k[F]$, we have $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a)/(F - \text{id}) \cong k$.

If k is perfect, then $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{G}_a)$ is a free module over $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a)$ acting on the left (see [DG70, V.1.5.2]). Thus, we obtain an isomorphism of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{G}_a)$ -modules

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(\mathbb{G}_a, \nu_p) \cong k[F]/(F - \text{id}) \cong k.$$

This isomorphism does not extend to an imperfect field k , as the image of ι^* may be identified with $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} k/k^p$.

3.6. The Milne spectral sequence

We first record a variant of a result obtained by Demazure and Gabriel in the setting of affine group schemes (see [DG70, V.3.2.3]):

LEMMA 3.22. — *Let k'/k be a separable field extension. Then there are canonical isomorphisms for any $G \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$, $H \in \mathcal{C}$ and $j \geq 0$:*

$$\text{Ext}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_{k'})}^j(G_{k'}, H_{k'}) \cong \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ K}} \text{Ext}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_K)}^j(G_K, H_K),$$

where K/k runs over the filtered direct system of finite subextensions of k'/k .

Proof. — We follow the argument of [DG70, V.3.2.3] closely. If $G \in \mathcal{C}$ then the natural map

$$\varinjlim_K \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_K}(G_K, H_K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{k'}}(G_{k'}, H_{k'})$$

is an isomorphism by the “principle of the finite extension” (see e.g. [DG70, I.3.2.2]).

For an arbitrary $G \in \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$, consider the family (G_i) of its algebraic group quotients. Then $G_{k'} \cong \varprojlim_{\leftarrow, i} (G_i)_{k'}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_{k'})}(G_{k'}, H_{k'}) &\cong \varinjlim_{\rightarrow, i} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{k'}}((G_i)_{k'}, H_{k'}) \cong \varinjlim_{\rightarrow, i} \varinjlim_{\rightarrow, K} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_K}((G_i)_K, H_K) \\ &\cong \varinjlim_{\rightarrow, K} \varinjlim_{\rightarrow, i} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_K}((G_i)_K, H_K) \cong \varinjlim_{\rightarrow, K} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_K)}(G_K, H_K). \end{aligned}$$

This yields the assertion for $j = 0$. Next, choose a projective resolution P_\bullet of G in $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$; then $(P_{k'})_\bullet$ is a projective resolution of $G_{k'}$ by Lemma 3.13. Since we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_{k'})}((P_{k'})_\bullet, H_{k'}) \cong \varinjlim_{\rightarrow, K} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_K)}((P_K)_\bullet, H_K),$$

this yields the statement by taking cohomology. \square

Next, consider a Galois field extension k'/k . Then the profinite group

$$\Gamma := \mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$$

acts on the group $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_{k'})}^j(G_{k'}, H_{k'})$ for any $G, H \in \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$, and $j \geq 0$. If $H \in \mathcal{C}$, then this Γ -module is discrete as a consequence of Lemma 3.22. We may now state the following result, due to Milne in the case where k is perfect with algebraic closure k' (see [Mil70, Prop., p. 437]):

THEOREM 3.23. — *There is a spectral sequence*

$$H^i(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_{k'})}^j(G_{k'}, H_{k'})) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})}^{i+j}(G, H)$$

for any $G \in \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ and $H \in \mathcal{C}$.

The proof will combine the approach sketched in [Mil70] with the inductive description of indecomposable projectives obtained in Subsection 3.3. To simplify the notation, we set

$$G_{k'} =: G', \quad H_{k'} =: H', \quad \mathcal{C}_{k'} =: \mathcal{C}', \quad \dots$$

By Lemma 3.13, the base change functor $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')$ is exact and sends projectives to projectives. Also, note that

$$H^0(\Gamma, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')} (G', H')) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})} (G, H),$$

since this holds by Galois descent when $G \in \mathcal{C}$, and taking Γ -invariants commutes with direct limits. So Theorem 3.23 will follow from the spectral sequence of composite functors (see [Gro57, Thm. 2.4.1]), once we show:

PROPOSITION 3.24. — *Let G be a projective object of $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$, and $H \in \mathcal{C}$. Then the Γ -module $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')} (G', H')$ is acyclic.*

We start the proof of the above proposition with some observations and reductions. Since being acyclic is preserved under taking direct limits, we may assume that k'/k

is *finite* by combining Lemmas 3.13 and 3.22. Also, recall that $G \cong \prod_{i \in I} P_i$, where the P_i are indecomposable and projective. Thus, $G' \cong \prod_{i \in I} P'_i$ and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')} (G', H') \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')} (P'_i, H').$$

To show the acyclicity of this Γ -module, we may therefore assume that G is *indecomposable*. Thus, G is of one of the types listed in Proposition 3.11.

Assume first that $G = P(A)$, where A is a simple abelian variety. Then $G' = P(A')$ (the universal affine cover of A') in view of Proposition 3.15. So the adjunction isomorphism (2.4) yields an isomorphism of Γ -modules

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')} (G', H') \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}'} (A', Q(H')),$$

where $Q := Q^{\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{L}'}$. The right-hand side is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space, and hence an acyclic Γ -module in view of [DG70, V.3.5.1].

Next, assume that G is the universal profinite cover of a simple torus T . Then G' is the universal profinite cover of T' in view of Proposition 3.15 again. By adjunction, it follows that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')} (G', H') \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{F}'} (T', Q(H')),$$

where $Q := Q^{\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{F}'}$. This is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space, since T' is divisible; so we conclude as above.

The case where $G = \mathbb{G}_a$ in characteristic 0 is handled similarly: then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')} (G', H') \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}'} (\mathbb{G}'_a, H')$$

is again a \mathbb{Q} -vector space, hence Γ -acyclic.

Next, let $G = \widetilde{W}$ in characteristic $p > 0$. We obtain as above

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')} (G', H') \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{F}')} (W', Q(H')),$$

where $Q := Q^{\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{F}'}$; moreover, W' is the projective cover of \mathbb{G}'_a in $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{F}')$ in view of [DG70, V.3.7.5]. To show that the above Γ -module is acyclic, we may assume that H is simple in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F} (since every object in that category has finite length, and the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{F}')} (W', -)$ is exact). So H is either a simple abelian variety, or a simple torus, or \mathbb{G}_a (see [Bri17, Prop. 3.2]). As W is unipotent, we may further assume that $H = \mathbb{G}_a$. We now need the following observation:

LEMMA 3.25. — *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ an essential epimorphism in \mathcal{A} , where Y is simple. For any simple object Z of \mathcal{A} , we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Z) = 0$ unless $Z \cong Y$; moreover, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y)$ via composition with f .*

Proof. — Let $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Z)$. If $g \neq 0$, then the composition $\mathrm{Ker}(g) \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$ is not an epimorphism, since f is essential. As Y is simple, this composition is zero, i.e., $\mathrm{Ker}(g) \subset \mathrm{Ker}(f)$. This yields an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(f)/\mathrm{Ker}(g) \longrightarrow X/\mathrm{Ker}(g) \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow 0.$$

As Z is simple, we have $X/\mathrm{Ker}(g) \cong Z$. So $Z \cong Y$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(f) = \mathrm{Ker}(g)$, i.e., g factors uniquely through f . \square

Applying Lemma 3.25 to the abelian category $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{F}')$ and to the essential epimorphism $W' \rightarrow \mathbb{G}'_a$, we see that $\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{F}')} (W', H') = 0$ unless $H' \cong \mathbb{G}'_a$, and

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{F}')} (W', \mathbb{G}'_a) \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{F}'} (\mathbb{G}'_a)$$

as Γ -modules.

We now make a further reduction to the case where k is *perfect*: indeed, the Galois group Γ is invariant under purely inseparable field extensions of k , and the same holds for the isogeny category \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F} by [Bri17, Thm. 3.11]. Recall that $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}'} (\mathbb{G}'_a)$ is the noncommutative polynomial ring $k'[F]$, and $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{F}'} (\mathbb{G}'_a)$ is its fraction skewfield $k'(F)$, as follows e.g. from [DG70, V.3.6.7]. To show that $k'(F)$ is acyclic, it suffices to check that it is the direct limit of its Γ -submodules $g^{-1}k'[F]$ over all nonzero $g \in k[F]$, since every such submodule is isomorphic to $k'[F] \cong k' \otimes_k k[F]$, hence is acyclic. For this, we adapt a standard argument of commutative algebra.

Let $g^{-1}f \in k'(F)$, where $f, g \in k'[F]$ and $g \neq 0$. Since the left $k[F]$ -module $k'[F]$ is finitely generated and the ring $k[F]$ is left Noetherian, the increasing sequence of submodules $k[F] + k[F]g + \cdots + k[F]g^n$ stops. So there exist an integer $n \geq 1$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in k[F]$ such that $g^n + a_1g^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$. Since $k'[F]$ is a domain and $g \neq 0$, we may further assume that $a_n \neq 0$. Then $g'g = -a_n \in k[F] \setminus \{0\}$, where $g' := g^{n-1} + a_1g^{n-2} + \cdots + a_{n-1}$. Thus, $g^{-1}f = (g'g)^{-1}g'f$ is as desired.

This completes the proof of the proposition for $G = \widetilde{W}$, and leaves us with the case where G is *profinite* (and k is arbitrary). We now prove:

LEMMA 3.26. — *Let $G \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$, $H \in \mathcal{C}$, and $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')} (G', H')$. Then there exists a finite subgroup $F \subset H$ such that f factors through $F' \subset H'$.*

Proof. — Write G as a filtered inverse limit of finite quotients G_i ; then G' is the filtered inverse limit of its finite quotients G'_i . Thus,

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')} (G', H') = \varinjlim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}'} (G'_i, H').$$

We may therefore assume that $G \in \mathcal{F}$; then $\text{Im}(f)$ is a finite k' -subgroup of H' . Let $I \subset \text{Im}(f)$ denote the largest infinitesimal subgroup, then I is contained in some Frobenius kernel $\text{Ker}(F_{H'/k}^n)$. Hence $I \subset \text{Ker}(F_{H'/k}^n)' =: J'$, where $J \subset H$ is infinitesimal. Thus, $I = J' \cap \text{Im}(f)$, and $\text{Im}(f)/I$ is a finite étale k' -subgroup of $H'/J' = (H/J)'$. So we may assume that $\text{Im}(f)$ is étale; then we may view $\text{Im}(f)$ as a finite subgroup of $H(k_s)$, stable under $\text{Gal}(k_s/k')$. In that case, the (finitely many) conjugates of $\text{Im}(f)$ under $\text{Gal}(k_s/k)$ generate the desired finite k -subgroup $F \subset H$. \square

By Lemma 3.26, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')} (G', H') = \varinjlim \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}')} (G', F'),$$

where the limit runs over all the finite subgroups $F \subset H$. Since taking Γ -cohomology commutes with direct limits, it suffices to show that *the Γ -module $\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}')} (G', H')$ is acyclic whenever G is the projective cover of a finite simple group, and H is finite.* We may further assume H simple.

Consider the Serre subcategory \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{F} , and recall that $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathcal{E}$. By Remark 3.12, the indecomposable projective objects of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$ are exactly those of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{I})$ and

the universal pro-infinitesimal covers \tilde{P} , where $P \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{E})$ is indecomposable and projective. Also, the universal pro-infinitesimal cover commutes with base change under separable algebraic field extensions by Remark 3.16. Thus, we obtain

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}')}(\tilde{P}', H') \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{E}')} (P', Q(H')),$$

where $Q := Q^{\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{I}'}$.

To show that the above Γ -module is acyclic, we may assume $H \in \mathcal{E}$. We now adapt the argument in the proof of [Bri19, Lem. 3.10], by using results of Galois cohomology from [Ser97, Chap. II]. Consider the Galois groups $\Gamma_k := \text{Gal}(k_s/k)$ and $\Gamma_{k'} := \text{Gal}(k_s/k')$; these fit in an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{k'} \longrightarrow \Gamma_k \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow 1.$$

By [DG70, II.5.1.7], \mathcal{E} is equivalent to the category $\Gamma_k - \text{mod}$ of finite commutative groups equipped with a discrete action of Γ_k . The latter category has a duality given by $M \mapsto \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, where the right-hand side denotes the group homomorphisms on which Γ_k acts via its given action on M and the trivial action on \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} . This yields an anti-equivalence between \mathcal{E} and $\Gamma_k - \text{mod}$, which extends uniquely to an anti-equivalence between $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{E})$ and the category $\Gamma_k - \text{Mod}$ of all discrete Γ_k -modules (the latter is the ind-category of $\Gamma_k - \text{mod}$). Under this anti-equivalence, the base change functor $\otimes_k k' : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{E}')$ corresponds to the restriction from Γ_k to $\Gamma_{k'}$. So it suffices to check that $\text{Hom}^{\Gamma_{k'}}(M, N)$ is Γ -acyclic for any object M of $\Gamma_k - \text{mod}$ and any injective object N of $\Gamma_k - \text{Mod}$.

We have an injective morphism of discrete Γ_k -modules

$$\iota : N \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\Gamma_k, N), \quad x \longmapsto (\gamma \longmapsto \gamma x),$$

where the right-hand side denotes the group of continuous maps $\Gamma_k \rightarrow N$, equipped with the action Γ_k via right multiplication on itself. Since the Γ_k -module N is injective, it is identified with a summand of $\text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\Gamma_k, N)$ via ι ; thus, the Γ -module $\text{Hom}^{\Gamma_{k'}}(M, N)$ is a summand of

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}^{\Gamma_{k'}}(M, \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\Gamma_k, N)) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}^{\Gamma_{k'}}(M \times \Gamma_k, N) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}^{\Gamma_{k'}}(\Gamma_k, \text{Hom}(M, N)). \end{aligned}$$

So it suffices in turn to show that the latter Γ -module is acyclic. Let $P := \text{Hom}(M, N)$; this is a discrete Γ_k -module, and hence we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}^{\Gamma_{k'}}(\Gamma_k, P) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)$$

that sends f to the $\Gamma_{k'}$ -invariant map

$$\Gamma_k \longrightarrow P, \quad g \longmapsto g^{-1}f(g).$$

The inverse isomorphism sends $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow P$ to the map

$$\Gamma_k \longrightarrow P, \quad g \longmapsto g\varphi(\bar{g}),$$

where \bar{g} denotes the image of g in $\Gamma_k/\Gamma_{k'} = \Gamma$. Moreover, $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)$ is an acyclic Γ -module as desired.

Thus, we may assume $G \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{I})$. Consider the Serre subcategory \mathcal{I}_m of \mathcal{I} ; then $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}_m \cong \mathcal{I}_u$. Using again Remarks 3.12 and 3.16, we are reduced to showing the above acyclicity assertion, with \mathcal{F} replaced by \mathcal{I}_m or \mathcal{I}_u .

By Cartier duality, \mathcal{I}_m is anti-equivalent to \mathcal{E}_p (see [DG70, IV.1.3]); moreover, \mathcal{E}_p is self-dual via $\text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$. So the desired assertion for \mathcal{I}_u follows from the corresponding assertion for \mathcal{E}_p .

Finally, if $G \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{I}_u)$, then G is the projective cover (in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{I}_u)$ or equivalently in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{I})$, $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{F})$, $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{L})$, $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$) of the unique simple object, α_p . Thus, G' is the projective cover of α'_p in view of Lemma 3.27 below. Also, $H = \alpha_p$ and hence $H' = \alpha'_p$. Using Lemma 3.25, it follows that

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{I}_u)}(G', H') = \text{End}_{\mathcal{I}_u}(\alpha'_p) = k'.$$

Since the Γ -module k' is acyclic, this completes the proof of Proposition 3.24, and hence of Theorem 3.23.

LEMMA 3.27. — *Let G be the projective cover of α_p , and k'/k a finite separable field extension. Then G' is the projective cover of α'_p .*

Proof. — By Lemma 3.13, G' is projective in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')$. Also, $G \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{I}_u)$ and hence $G' \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{I}'_u)$ is projective there. Recall that \mathcal{I}'_u has a unique simple object α'_p , and denote by P' its projective cover. Then G' is a direct product of copies of P' in view of [DG70, V.2.4.6b)]. Also, the natural map

$$k' = \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}'}(\alpha'_p) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')} (P', \alpha'_p)$$

is an isomorphism by Lemma 3.25. So it suffices to show that the analogous map

$$\varphi : k' \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')} (G', \alpha'_p)$$

is an isomorphism as well. We have

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')} (G', \alpha'_p) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})} (G, R_{k'/k}(\alpha'_p)),$$

where the Weil restriction $R_{k'/k}(\alpha'_p)$ is an iterated extension of $d := [k' : k]$ copies of α_p . Using Lemma 3.25 again, it follows that $\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}')} (G', \alpha'_p)$ has dimension at most d when viewed as a k -vector space. Since φ is injective and k -linear, and k' has dimension d when viewed as a k -vector space, we conclude that φ is an isomorphism. \square

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [AM69] Michael Artin and Barry Mazur, *Étale homotopy*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 100, Springer, 1969. ↑2
- [BR07] Apostolos Beligiannis and Idun Reiten, *Homological and homotopical aspects of torsion theories*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 883, American Mathematical Society, 2007. ↑2, 4
- [Bri09] Michel Brion, *Anti-affine algebraic groups*, J. Algebra **321** (2009), no. 3, 934–952. ↑18
- [Bri15] ———, *On extensions of algebraic groups with finite quotient*, Pac. J. Math. **279** (2015), no. 1-2, 135–153. ↑16, 17
- [Bri17] ———, *Commutative algebraic groups up to isogeny*, Doc. Math. **22** (2017), 679–725. ↑3, 14, 15, 17, 21, 24, 29, 30

- [Bri18] ———, *Homogeneous vector bundles over abelian varieties via representation theory*, preprint, 2018. ↑3
- [Bri19] ———, *Homological dimension of isogeny categories of commutative algebraic groups*, *Eur. J. Math.* **5** (2019), no. 4, 1107–1138. ↑2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17, 24, 25, 31
- [BS13] Michel Brion and Tamás Szamuely, *Prime-to- p étale covers of algebraic groups*, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **45** (2013), no. 3, 602–612. ↑24
- [CGP15] Brian Conrad, Ofer Gabber, and Gopal Prasad, *Pseudo-reductive groups*, 2nd ed., *New Mathematical Monographs*, vol. 26, Cambridge University Press, 2015. ↑23
- [DG70] Michel Demazure and Pierre Gabriel, *Groupes algébriques*, Masson, 1970. ↑2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32
- [Fri82] Eric Friedlander, *Étale homotopy of simplicial schemes*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. 104, Princeton University Press, 1982. ↑2
- [Gab62] Pierre Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*, *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.* **90** (1962), 323–448. ↑2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 15
- [GL91] Werner Geigle and Helmut Lenzing, *Perpendicular categories with applications to representations and sheaves*, *J. Algebra* **144** (1991), no. 2, 273–343. ↑2, 5
- [Gro57] Alexander Grothendieck, *Sur quelques points d’algèbre homologique*, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **9** (1957), 119–221. ↑28
- [KS06] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira, *Categories and sheaves*, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, vol. 332, Springer, 2006. ↑22
- [Lan11] Adrian Langer, *On the S -fundamental group scheme*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **26** (2011), no. 5, 2077–2119. ↑3
- [Lan12] ———, *On the S -fundamental group scheme. II*, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **11** (2012), no. 4, 835–854. ↑3
- [Mil70] James S. Milne, *The homological dimension of commutative group schemes over a perfect field*, *J. Algebra* **16** (1970), 436–441. ↑3, 23, 28
- [Nor76] Madhav V. Nori, *On the representations of the fundamental group*, *Compos. Math.* **33** (1976), 29–41. ↑3
- [Nor82] ———, *The fundamental group-scheme*, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci.* **91** (1982), 73–122. ↑3
- [Nor83] ———, *The fundamental group-scheme of an Abelian variety*, *Math. Ann.* **263** (1983), 263–266. ↑3
- [Oor66] Frans Oort, *Commutative group schemes*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 15, Springer, 1966. ↑2, 19, 20
- [Rus70] Peter Russell, *Forms of the affine line and its affine group*, *Pac. J. Math.* **32** (1970), no. 2, 527–539. ↑26
- [Sai17] Takeshi Saito, *Wild ramification and the cotangent bundle*, *J. Algebr. Geom.* **26** (2017), 399–473. ↑26
- [Ser60] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Groupes proalgébriques*, *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.* **7** (1960), 341–403. ↑2, 24
- [Ser97] ———, *Galois cohomology*, Springer, 1997. ↑31
- [Sta18] Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*, 2018, <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>. ↑14
- [Tot13] Burt Totaro, *Pseudo-abelian varieties*, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* **46** (2013), no. 5, 693–721. ↑25

Manuscript received on 2nd June 2018,
revised on 29th January 2019,
accepted on 6th May 2019.

Recommended by Editor B. Edixhoven.
Published under license CC BY 4.0.



This journal is a member of Centre Mersenne.



Michel BRION
Institut Fourier
100 rue des Mathématiques
38610 Gières (France)
michel.brion@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr