Annales Henri Lebesgue **4** (2021) 1389-1420



HENRI LEBESGUE

CLIFTON CUNNINGHAM DAVID ROE

## COMMUTATIVE CHARACTER SHEAVES AND GEOMETRIC TYPES FOR SUPERCUSPIDAL REPRESENTATIONS

FAISCEAUX CARACTÈRES COMMUTATIFS ET TYPES GÉOMÉTRIQUES POUR LES REPRÉSENTATIONS SUPERCUSPIDALES

ABSTRACT. — We show that some types for supercuspidal representations of tamely ramified *p*-adic groups that appear in Jiu-Kang Yu's work are geometrizable. To do so, we define a function-sheaf dictionary for one-dimensional characters of arbitrary smooth group schemes over finite fields. In previous work we considered the case of commutative smooth group schemes and found that the standard definition of character sheaves produced a dictionary with a nontrivial kernel. In this paper we give a modification of the category of character sheaves that remedies this defect, and is also extensible to non-commutative groups. We then use these *commutative character sheaves* to geometrize the linear characters that appear in the types introduced by Jiu-Kang Yu, assuming that the character vanishes on a certain derived subgroup. To define *geometric types*, we combine commutative character sheaves with Gurevich and Hadani's geometrization of the Weil representation and Lusztig's character sheaves.

*Keywords:* function-sheaf dictionary, commutative character sheaves, types for supercuspidal representations.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 14F06, 14L15, 22E50.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5802/ahl.105

<sup>(\*)</sup> Roe was supported by Simons Foundation grant 550033.

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous montrons que certains types associés à des représentations supercuspidales de groupes p-adiques modérément ramifiés qui apparaissent dans les travaux de Jiu-Kang Yu sont géométrisables. Pour cela, nous définissons un dictionnaire entre fonctions et faisceaux pour les caractères de dimension un de schémas en groupes arbitraires sur les corps finis. Dans un travail précédent, nous avons considéré le cas des schémas en groupes lisses commutatifs et nous avons montré que la définition standard des faisceaux caractères donne lieu à un dictionnaire avec un noyau non trivial. Dans cet article, nous proposons une modification de la catégorie des faisceaux caractères qui corrige ce défaut et s'étend aux groupes non commutatifs. Nous utilisons ensuite ces *faisceaux caractères commutatifs* pour géométriser les caractères linéaires qui apparaissent dans les types introduits par Jiu-Kang Yu, en supposant que le caractère s'annule sur un certain sous-groupe dérivé. Pour définir les *types géométriques*, nous combinons les faisceaux caractères commutatifs avec la géométrisation par Gurevich et Hadani de la représentation de Weil et les faisceaux caractères de Lusztig.

## Introduction

The combined work of Ju-Lee Kim in [Kim07] and Jessica Fintzen in [Fin18, Fin19] establishes that all irreducible supercuspidal representations of a tamely ramified p-adic group G can be built from "data" introduced by Jiu-Kang Yu [Yu01, § 15], as long as p does not divide the order of the Weyl group of G. While the type, in the sense of Bushnell & Kutzko [BK98], of a supercuspidal representation built from Yu data can be constructed directly from the datum, it is convenient to consider an intermediate object, introduced in [Yu01, Remark 15.4], which we call a Yu type data are studied in [Yu15], which concludes with the following observation.

Therefore, up to some linear characters, all the ingredient representations are on groups of the form  $\underline{H}(\mathcal{O})$ , where  $\underline{H}$  is a smooth group scheme over [a Henselian discrete valuation ring with finite residue field  $\kappa$ ]  $\mathcal{O}$ , and the representations are inflated from  $\underline{H}(\kappa)$ . These results suggest that algebraic geometry and group schemes should play an important role in the representation theory of p-adic groups.

In this paper we follow the suggestion above by showing that certain Yu type data are geometrizable, in the following sense. A Yu type datum determines a sequence of representations  ${}^{\circ}\rho_i$  of compact *p*-adic groups  ${}^{\circ}K^i$ , for  $i = 0, \ldots, d$ , such that  $({}^{\circ}K^d, {}^{\circ}\rho_d)$  is a type for a supercuspidal representation of a *p*-adic group. Let *R* be the ring of integers of a local field with finite residue field *k*. The main result of [Yu15] shows how to find, for each  $i = 0, \ldots, d$ , a smooth group scheme  $\underline{G}^i$  over the ring *R* with  $\underline{G}^i(R) = {}^{\circ}K^i$ . Under certain assumptions on the Yu type datum, we show how each representation  ${}^{\circ}\rho_i$  can be replaced by a pair  $(\underline{G}^i, \mathcal{F}^i)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}^i$  is a formal  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -linear combination of conjugation-equivariant sheaf complexes on the Greenberg transform  $G^i$  of  $\underline{G}^i$ . Writing  $t_{\mathcal{F}^i}$  for the function on  $G^i(k) = \underline{G}^i(R) = {}^{\circ}K^i$  obtained by evaluating the trace of the action of Frobenius on  $\mathcal{F}^i$ , we show in Theorem 5.2 that

(0.1) 
$$t_{\mathcal{F}^i} = \operatorname{Tr}(\,{}^{\circ}\rho_i).$$

ANNALES HENRI LEBESGUE

By this theorem, then, we obtain geometric avatars for each type in a Yu datum:



We refer to the pair  $(\underline{G}^d, \mathcal{F}^d)$  as a geometric type.

To prove Theorem 5.2, we must find a way to geometrize linear characters of groups of the form  $\underline{H}(R)$ , where  $\underline{H}$  is a smooth group scheme over R. In order to do so in a systematic manner, we begin this paper by describing the function-sheaf dictionary for characters of arbitrary smooth group schemes over finite fields. When coupled with the Greenberg transform, this dictionary will allow for the geometrization of certain linear characters of  $\underline{H}(R)$ .

The function-sheaf dictionary over a finite field k [Del77, Sommes trig.] provides a way of encoding functions on the k-rational points of an algebraic group G as  $\ell$ -adic local systems on G. More specifically, if G is a connected, commutative, algebraic group then there is a certain category  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$  of rank-one local systems on G and an explicit isomorphism between isomorphism classes of objects in  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$  and  $G(k)^* := \operatorname{Hom}(G(k), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})$ ; the isomorphism is given by mapping  $\mathcal{L}$  to the function  $\operatorname{Tr}_G : g \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{Fr} | \mathcal{L}_g).$ 

In previous work [CR18], we studied the function-sheaf dictionary for characters smooth commutative group schemes G, allowing for non-connected groups. We gave a description of the category  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$  in this context, as well as an epimorphism  $\operatorname{Tr}_G$ :  $\mathcal{CS}(G)_{\text{iso}} \to G(k)^*$ . In contrast to the connected case,  $\operatorname{Tr}_G$  may have nontrivial kernel; we gave an explicit description of its kernel as  $\operatorname{H}^2(\pi_0(\overline{G}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})^{\operatorname{Fr}}$  [CR18, Theorem 3.6].

In this paper we repair this defect in the function-sheaf dictionary by describing a full subcategory  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$  of  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$  so that  $\operatorname{Tr}_G$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)_{/\mathrm{iso}} \to G(k)^*$ . We refer to objects of  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$  as character sheaves and objects in  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$  as commutative character sheaves, since the passage from  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$  to  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$  involves a condition that exchanges the inputs to the multiplication morphism on G (see Definition 2.1). When G is connected, all character sheaves on Gare commutative.

Category  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$  clarifies several questions about  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$ . Invisible character sheaves [CR18, Definition 2.8] are precisely those  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $\operatorname{Tr}_G(\mathcal{L}) = 1$  that are not commutative. Moreover,  $\operatorname{Tr}_G^{-1} : G(k)^* \to \mathcal{CCS}(G)_{\text{iso}}$  provides a canonical splitting of  $\operatorname{Tr}_G : \mathcal{CS}(G)_{\text{iso}} \to G(k)^*$  [CR18, Remark 3.7].

Next, we broaden our scope further to encompass smooth group schemes G over k that are not necessarily commutative. We assume G is smooth, but not that it is connected, reductive or commutative; we write  $G_{ab} := G/G_{der}$  for the abelianization. The category  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$  has a straightforward generalization to this case. We then define category  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$  for such G and a forgetful functor to  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$  so that  $\operatorname{Tr}_G : \mathcal{CCS}(G)_{iso} \to G_{ab}(k)^*$  is an isomorphism. Since  $G_{ab}(k)^*$  maps onto  $G(k)^*$  with cokernel  $G_{der}(k)^*$ , it follows that for each character  $\chi \in G(k)^*$  trivial on  $G_{der}(k)$  there is a commutative character sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on G with  $\operatorname{Tr}_G(\mathcal{L}) = \chi$ . Moreover, we find

1391

that pullback along the quotient  $q: G \to G_{ab}$  defines an equivalence of categories  $\mathcal{CCS}(G_{ab}) \to \mathcal{CCS}(G)$ . The functor  $\mathcal{CCS}(G) \to \mathcal{CS}(G)$  is not essentially surjective, missing the kinds of linear character sheaves highlighted by Kamgarpour in [Kam09, (1.1)].

In order to provide further justification for referring to objects in  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$  as commutative character sheaves, suppose for the moment that G is a connected, reductive algebraic group over k. Let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be the geometric part of an object in  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$ ; see Section 1. Let T be a maximal torus in the base change  $\overline{G}$  of G to  $\overline{k}$  and let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_T$  be the restriction of  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  to T. Then the perverse sheaf  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}[\dim G]$  appears in the semisimple complex  $\operatorname{ind}_{B,T}^{\overline{G}}(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_T)$  produced by parabolic induction. It follows that every object in  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$  determines a Frobenius-stable character sheaf on G, in the sense of [Lus85, Definition 2.10]. Of course, the sheaves arising in this way represent a small part of Lusztig's geometrization of characters of representations of connected, reductive groups over finite fields, but they are precisely those needed to describe one-dimensional characters of such groups.

Armed with the function-sheaf dictionary for smooth group schemes over finite fields, we return to the task of geometrizing Yu type data. The proof of Theorem 5.2 requires: Yu's work on smooth integral models [Yu15]; the geometrization of the character of the Heisenberg–Weil representation over finite fields by Gurevich & Hadani [GH07]; Lusztig's character sheaves on reductive groups over finite fields; and finally, the function-sheaf dictionary for characters of smooth group schemes over finite fields, now at our disposal in Theorem 3.12.

In order to use these tools we must restrict our attention to Yu type data that satisfy a technical condition, appearing in Section 5.4 as Hypothesis (**H1**). Granting this hypothesis, these pieces are assembled in Section 5.4, where we prove Theorem 5.2. With this theorem, we provide all of the ingredients needed to geometrize a class of supercuspidal representations of arbitrary depth.

Yu's construction has been revisited recently by several authors. Hakim [Hak18, § 2.1] gives an alternate construction beginning with a representation of a compactmod-center subgroup of G. Unfortunately, this ingredient does not lend itself to geometrization using our techniques. In contrast, Kaletha [Kal19] has given a construction in terms of a tame elliptic maximal torus  $S \subset G$  and a character  $\theta$  of S. The pair  $(S, \theta)$  can already be geometrized using [CR18] since S is commutative. However, Kaletha's construction only produces a subset of Yu's supercuspidal representations [Kal19, Proposition 3.7.14], so we phrase our geometrization in terms of Yu's original construction.

We now summarize the sections of the paper in more detail. In Section 1, we recall the category  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$  from [CR18] and note that it still makes sense when Gis not commutative. We focus on the case of commutative G in Section 2, giving the definition of a commutative character sheaf and proving our first main theorem, that  $\operatorname{Tr}_G : \mathcal{CS}(G)_{\text{/iso}} \to G(k)^*$  induces an isomorphism on  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)_{\text{/iso}}$ . Passing to the case that G is non-commutative, we give the definition of and main results about commutative character sheaves in Section 3. We note that we should only consider character sheaves that arise via pullback from  $G_{ab}$  in order to eliminate those that have nontrivial restriction to the derived subgroup. This observation underlies the definition of commutative character sheaves for non-commutative G. We state our second main result, Theorem 3.12, that pullback along the abelianization map defines an equivalence of categories  $CCS(G) \rightarrow CCS(G_{ab})$ . In Section 3.3, we use Galois cohomology to describe the relationship between  $G(k)^*$  and  $G_{ab}(k)^*$ . We also compute the automorphism groups in CCS(G). In Section 5 we use Theorem 3.12 to geometrize types for certain supercuspidal representations of p-adic groups, in a sense made precise in Theorem 5.2. As preparation for the proof, we review some facts about the Heisenberg–Weil representation and its geometrization, in Section 5.2. Then, in Section 5.3, we review Yu's theory of types and his study of smooth integral models. These elements are pulled together in Section 5.4, where the proof Theorem 5.2 is given.

#### Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to Loren Spice for explaining Yu's types for supercuspidal representations and Masoud Kamgarpour for helpful conversations. We also thank Will Sawin and the anonymous referee, who pointed out errors in earlier versions of this paper and helped us clarify several points.

## 1. Recollections and definitions

Let G be a smooth group scheme over a finite field k; that is, let G be a group scheme over k for which the structure morphism  $G \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$  is smooth in the sense of [Gro67, Definition 17.3.1]. This implies  $G \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$  is locally of finite type, but not that it is of finite type. We remark that the identity component  $G^0$  of G is of finite type over k, while the component group scheme  $\pi_0(G)$  of G is an étale group scheme over k, and both are smooth over k.

Let k be a fixed algebraic closure of k. In general, we use overline to denote a separable closure of a field or the base change a geometric object to a scheme over a separable closure of a field. In this paper we use a common formalism for Weil sheaves, writing  $\mathcal{L}$  for the pair  $(\bar{\mathcal{L}}, \phi)$ , where  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$  is an  $\ell$ -adic sheaf on  $\bar{G} = G \otimes_k \bar{k}$  and where  $\phi : \operatorname{Fr}^* \bar{\mathcal{L}} \to \bar{\mathcal{L}}$  is an isomorphism of  $\ell$ -adic sheaves. We also follow convention by referring to  $\mathcal{L}$  as a Weil sheaf on G, as in [Del80, Définition 1.1.10]. If  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}' := (\bar{\mathcal{L}}', \phi')$  are Weil sheaves, we write  $\alpha : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}'$  for a morphism  $\alpha : \bar{\mathcal{L}} \to \bar{\mathcal{L}}$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Fr}^* \bar{\mathcal{L}} & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Fr}^* \alpha} & \operatorname{Fr}^* \bar{\mathcal{L}} \\ & \phi \\ & \phi \\ \bar{\mathcal{L}} & & \phi' \\ & \bar{\mathcal{L}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \bar{\mathcal{L}} \end{array}$$

commutes. These conventions simplify notation considerably, but they were not employed in [CR18].

We write  $m : G \times G \to G$  for the multiplication morphism, and  $G(k)^*$  for  $\operatorname{Hom}(G(k), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})$ . Define  $\theta : G \times G \to G \times G$  by  $\theta(g, h) = (h, g)$ .

When G is commutative, a character sheaf on G is a triple  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \phi)$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is a rank-one  $\ell$ -adic local system on  $\overline{G}$ ,  $\mu : \overline{m}^* \overline{\mathcal{L}} \to \overline{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{L}}$  is an isomorphism of sheaves on  $\overline{G} \times \overline{G}$ , and  $\phi : \operatorname{Fr}_G^* \overline{\mathcal{L}} \to \overline{\mathcal{L}}$  is an isomorphism of sheaves on  $\overline{G}$ ; the triple  $(\overline{\mathcal{L}}, \mu, \phi)$  is required to satisfy certain conditions [CR18, Definition 1.1]. Write  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$  for the category of character sheaves on G.

Even when G is not commutative, the category  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$ , defined as in [CR18, Definition 1.1], still makes sense. In order to distinguish the resulting objects from the character sheaves of Lusztig, we will refer to the former as *linear character sheaves* (to evoke the one-dimensional character sheaves of [Kam09]).

## 2. Commutative character sheaves on commutative groups

We consider first the case that G is commutative, which we will later apply to the case of general smooth G. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a character sheaf on G. Since  $m = m \circ \theta$ in this case, there is a canonical isomorphism  $\xi : m^*\mathcal{L} \to \theta^*m^*\mathcal{L}$ . There is also an isomorphism  $\vartheta : \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L} \to \theta^*(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L})$  given on stalks by the canonical map  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_g \otimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}_h \to \bar{\mathcal{L}}_h \otimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}_g$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. — A character sheaf  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$  on a smooth commutative group scheme G is commutative if the following diagram of Weil sheaves on  $G \times G$  commutes.



We write CCS(G) for the full subcategory of CS(G) consisting of commutative character sheaves.

In [CR18, Theorem 3.6], we showed that  $\operatorname{Tr}_G : \mathcal{CS}(G)_{/\mathrm{iso}} \to G(k)^*$  is surjective and explicitly computed its kernel. In this section, we show that the corresponding map  $\operatorname{Tr}_G : \mathcal{CCS}(G)_{/\mathrm{iso}} \to G(k)^*$  for commutative character sheaves is an isomorphism. We begin by reinterpreting Definition 2.1 in terms of cocycles.

Let G be a commutative étale group scheme over k. For a character sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on G, recall [CR18, § 2.3] that  $S_G : \mathcal{CS}(G)_{/\text{iso}} \to \mathrm{H}^2(E_G^{\bullet})$  is an isomorphism mapping  $[\mathcal{L}]$  to  $[\alpha \oplus \beta]$ , where  $E_G^{\bullet}$  is the total space of the zeroth page of the Hochschild– Serre spectral sequence,  $\alpha \in {}^{\circ}K^0(\mathcal{W}, {}^{\circ}K^2(\bar{G}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}))$  is obtained from  $\mu$  and  $\beta \in {}^{\circ}K^1(\mathcal{W}, {}^{\circ}K^1(\bar{G}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}))$  is obtained from  $\phi$ .

Let  $a \in Z^2(\bar{G}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})$  correspond to  $\alpha$ . We say that  $[\alpha \oplus \beta] \in \mathrm{H}^2(E_G^{\bullet})$  is symmetric if a(x, y) = a(y, x) for all  $x, y \in \bar{G}$ . This condition is well defined, since every coboundary in  $B^2(\bar{G}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})$  is symmetric. The connection between commutative character sheaves and symmetric classes is given in the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. — Suppose G is a smooth commutative group scheme, and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a character sheaf on G. Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is commutative if and only if  $S_G(\mathcal{L})$  is symmetric. *Proof.* — The symmetry of  $S_G(\mathcal{L})$  is a direct consequence of the commutativity of the diagram in Definition 2.1 after choosing bases for each stalk.  $\Box$ 

We may similarly define a symmetric class in  $\mathrm{H}^2(\bar{G}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})$  to be one represented by a symmetric 2-cocycle. The following lemma will allow us to show that there are no invisible commutative character sheaves.

LEMMA 2.3. — Let  $\bar{G}$  be a commutative group. Then the only symmetric class in  $\mathrm{H}^2(\bar{G}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})$  is the trivial class.

Proof. — By the universal coefficient theorem,

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}\left(\operatorname{H}_{n-1}\left(\bar{G}, \mathbb{Z}\right), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}\right) \to \operatorname{H}^{n}\left(\bar{G}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}\right) \to \operatorname{Hom}\left(\operatorname{H}_{n}\left(\bar{G}, \mathbb{Z}\right), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}\right) \to 0$$

is exact for all n > 0. When n = 2, using the fact that G is commutative, we have that  $H_1(\bar{G}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bar{G}$  and that  $H_2(\bar{G}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \wedge^2 \bar{G}$ . We get

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}\left(\bar{G}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}\right) \to \operatorname{H}^{2}\left(\bar{G}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}\right) \to \operatorname{Hom}\left(\wedge^{2}\bar{G}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}\right) \to 0.$$

The map  $\mathrm{H}^2(\bar{G}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^2 \bar{G}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})$  maps a 2-cocycle f to the alternating function

$$(x,y)\mapsto \frac{f(x,y)}{f(y,x)}.$$

Thus the cohomology classes represented by symmetric cocycles are precisely those in the image of  $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}(\bar{G}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})$ . But  $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}(-, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})$  vanishes because  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}$  is divisible.  $\Box$ 

LEMMA 2.4. — If G is a connected commutative algebraic group over k then every character sheaf on G is commutative.

Proof. — Suppose  $S_G(\mathcal{L}) = [\alpha \oplus \beta] \in \mathrm{H}^2(E_G^{\bullet})$ . We can use étale descent to see that pullback by the Lang isogeny defines an equivalence of categories between local systems on G and G(k)-equivariant local systems on G. Thus every character sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$ on G arises through the Lang isogeny, together with a character  $G(k) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}$ . Pushing forward the Lang isogeny along this character defines an extension of  $\overline{G}$  by  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}$  whose class is fixed by Frobenius; let  $a \in Z^2(\overline{G}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})$  be a representative 2-cocycle. Then a corresponds to the  $\alpha \in {}^{\circ}K^0(\mathcal{W}, {}^{\circ}K^2(\overline{G}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}))$ , above. Since the covering group of the Lang isogeny is G(k), which is commutative, the class of this extension satisfies a(x, y) = a(y, x) for all  $x, y \in \overline{G}$ . This shows that  $S_G(\mathcal{L})$  is symmetric. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a commutative character sheaf.  $\Box$ 

THEOREM 2.5. — If G is a smooth commutative group scheme over k then  $\operatorname{Tr}_G : \mathcal{CCS}(G)_{/iso} \to G(k)^*$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* — Suppose first that G is étale. Consider the isomorphism of short exact sequences

from [CR18, Proposition 2.7].

TOME 4 (2021)

Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a commutative character sheaf with  $t_{\mathcal{L}} = 1$ , and set  $[\alpha, \beta] = S_G([\mathcal{L}])$ . Then  $S_G([\mathcal{L}])$  is in the image of  $\mathrm{H}^2(\bar{G}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})^{\mathcal{W}}$ , so is cohomologous to  $[\alpha', 0]$ . Since  $\alpha$  is symmetric and coboundaries are symmetric,  $\alpha'$  is symmetric as well. So by Lemma 2.3,  $\alpha'$  is cohomologically trivial, and thus  $[\mathcal{L}]$  is trivial as well.

To see that  $\operatorname{Tr}_G$  is still surjective on  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)_{\text{iso}}$ , note that the character sheaf constructed in the proof of [CR18, Proposition 2.6] has trivial  $\alpha$ , and is thus commutative.

For general smooth commutative group schemes, we use Lemma 2.4 and the snake lemma, as in the proof of [CR18, Theorem 3.6].  $\Box$ 

Remark 2.6. — Since  $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathcal{W}, \mathrm{H}^{2}(\bar{G}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}))$  is not necessarily trivial [CR18, Example 2.10], the functor  $\mathcal{CCS}(G) \to \mathcal{CS}(G)$  is not necessarily essentially surjective. Indeed, the invisible character sheaves [CR18, Definition 2.8] defined in our previous paper are precisely those non-commutative character sheaves with trivial trace of Frobenius.

# 3. Commutative character sheaves on non-commutative groups

We now consider the case of a smooth group scheme without the commutativity assumption. Our approach is to relate linear character sheaves on G to character sheaves on its abelianization  $G_{ab} = G/G_{der}$ , where  $G_{der}$  is defined by [Ber70, Définition 7.2.2] and the quotient  $G_{ab}$  is an instance of [Gab70, Section 7.2.2]. While every character  $\chi \in G(k)^*$  vanishes on  $G(k)_{der}$ , it may not vanish on  $G_{der}(k)$ . For example, if k has odd characteristic then there are nontrivial characters  $PGL_2(k) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}$ vanishing on  $PGL_2(k)_{der} = PSL_2(k)$  (see Section 4). In passing to  $G_{ab}$ , we may only hope to geometrize characters that vanish on all of  $G_{der}(k)$ .

We begin this section with the main definition in this paper – the category  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$ of commutative character sheaves, Definition 3.2. This definition is delicate and somewhat technical, but it is vindicated in Theorem 3.10 which shows that  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$ is equivalent to the category of commutative character sheaves on the abelianization  $G_{ab}$  of G. To prove Theorem 3.10 we use descent theory in Section 3.2, in the process giving insight into Definition 3.2. Section 3 concludes with Theorem 3.12, showing that the dictionary from  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$  to characters of G(k) in fact encompasses every character vanishing on  $G_{der}(k)$ .

#### 3.1. Main definition

Recall from Section 1 that we refer to objects in category  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$ , defined as in [CR18, Definition 1.1], as linear character sheaves when G is smooth but not necessarily commutative. We define the following category to track the trivialization on the derived subgroup; commutative character sheaves will then be defined as a subcategory. DEFINITION 3.1. — Let  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$  denote the category of triples  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta)$  where  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu) \in \mathcal{CS}(G)$  and  $\beta : \mathcal{L}|_{G_{der}} \to (\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})_{G_{der}}$  is an isomorphism of Weil local systems on  $G_{der}$  with the constant sheaf on  $G_{der}$ . A morphism  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta) \to (\mathcal{L}', \mu', \beta')$  is a morphism  $\alpha : (\mathcal{L}, \mu) \to (\mathcal{L}', \mu')$  in  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$  such that  $\beta = \beta' \circ \alpha|_{G_{der}}$ .

The reason for tracking  $\beta$  is that it determines an isomorphism  $\gamma : m^* \mathcal{L} \to \theta^* m^* \mathcal{L}$ which will replace the  $\xi$  of Definition 2.1, as follows. Let  $i: G \to G$  be inversion and  $c: G \times G \to G_{der}$  be the commutator map, defined by  $c(x, y) = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ . Both are morphisms of k-schemes. Set  $m' = i \circ m \circ \theta$  and let  $j_{der} : G_{der} \to G$  be inclusion; then  $j_{der} \circ c = m \circ (m \times m')$ . Then  $\beta : \mathcal{L}|_{G_{der}} \to (\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})_{G_{der}}$  determines the isomorphism  $\gamma' : m^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \theta^* m^* i^* \mathcal{L} \to (\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})_{G \times G}$  by the diagram of isomorphisms below.



In the diagram above, the arrows labeled with equations come from canonical isomorphisms of functors on Weil sheaves derived from the equations; so, for example, the middle left isomorphism comes from  $(m \times m')^*m^* \cong c^*j^*_{der}$  since  $j_{der} \circ c = m \circ (m \times m')$ . Using the monoidal structure of the category of Weil local systems on  $G \times G$ , the isomorphism  $\gamma' : m^*\mathcal{L} \otimes \theta^*m^*i^*\mathcal{L} \to (\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{G \times G}$  defines an isomorphism

$$m^*\mathcal{L} o \left( \theta^*m^*i^*\mathcal{L} 
ight)^{ee}$$
 .

Applying the canonical isomorphisms  $(\theta^* m^* i^* \mathcal{L})^{\vee} \cong \theta^* m^* i^* (\mathcal{L}^{\vee})$  and  $i^* (\mathcal{L}^{\vee}) \cong \mathcal{L}$ , this map provides the promised isomorphism

$$\gamma: m^* \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \theta^* m^* \mathcal{L}.$$

DEFINITION 3.2. — The category  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$  of commutative character sheaves on G is the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$  consisting of triples  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta)$  such that the following diagram of Weil sheaves on  $G \times G$  commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} m^{*}\mathcal{L} & \stackrel{\mu}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L} \\ \gamma & & \downarrow^{\vartheta} \\ \theta^{*} \left( m^{*}\mathcal{L} \right) & \stackrel{\theta^{*}\mu}{\longrightarrow} \theta^{*} \left( \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L} \right) \end{array}$$

Here  $\gamma : m^* \mathcal{L} \to \theta^* m^* \mathcal{L}$  is the isomorphism built from  $\beta : \mathcal{L}|_{G_{der}} \to (\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{G_{der}}$  as above.

### 3.2. Descent

In this section we give an equivalence of categories between  $\mathcal{CS}(G_{ab})$  and  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$ and use it to describe the pullback functor  $q^* : \mathcal{CS}(G_{ab}) \to \mathcal{CS}(G)$  in terms of the forgetful functor  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G) \to \mathcal{CS}(G)$ , where  $q : G \to G_{ab}$  is the abelianization quotient with kernel  $G_{der}$ . But first, in order to study commutative character sheaves, we need some auxiliary categories.

## 3.2.1. Equivariant Weil local systems

Let  $\operatorname{Loc}(G)$  be the category of Weil local systems on G. Let  $\operatorname{Loc}_{\operatorname{der}}(G)$  be the category of  $G_{\operatorname{der}}$ -equivariant Weil local systems on G, whose definition we now recall. Let  $n : G_{\operatorname{der}} \times G \to G$  be the restriction of  $m : G \times G \to G$  to  $G_{\operatorname{der}} \times G$ , let  $p : G_{\operatorname{der}} \times G \to G$  be projection to the second component, and let  $s : G \to G_{\operatorname{der}} \times G$  be given by s(g) = (1, g). Then the quotient  $q : G \to G_{\operatorname{ab}}$  is a regular epimorphism of smooth group schemes with kernel pair (n, p).

$$G_{\operatorname{der}} \times G \xrightarrow[p]{n} G \xrightarrow{q} G_{\operatorname{ab}}$$

Consider the morphisms

$$G_{\mathrm{der}} \times G_{\mathrm{der}} \times G \xrightarrow{b_1, b_2, b_3} G_{\mathrm{der}} \times G \xrightarrow{n}_p G$$

defined by

$$b_1(h_1, h_2, g) = (h_1h_2, g)$$
  

$$b_2(h_1, h_2, g) = (h_1, h_2g)$$
  

$$b_3(h_1, h_2, g) = (h_2, g).$$

Note that

(3.2)  
$$n \circ b_1 = n \circ b_2$$
$$n \circ b_3 = p \circ b_2$$
$$p \circ b_1 = p \circ b_3.$$

A  $G_{der}$ -equivariant Weil local system on G is a Weil local system  $\mathcal{L}$  on G together with an isomorphism

 $\nu: n^*\mathcal{L} \to p^*\mathcal{L}$ 

of Weil local systems on  $G_{\operatorname{der}}\times G$  such that

$$(3.3) s^*(\nu) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}}$$

and such that the following diagram of isomorphisms of Weil local systems on  $G_{\text{der}} \times G_{\text{der}} \times G$  commutes.



Morphisms of *H*-equivariant Weil local systems  $(\mathcal{L}, \nu) \to (\mathcal{L}', \nu')$  are morphisms of Weil local systems  $\alpha : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}'$  for which the diagram

commutes. This defines  $\text{Loc}_{der}(G)$ , the category of  $G_{der}$ -equivariant Weil local systems on G. The reader will recognize this notion as the Weil local system version of equivariant sheaves for the action n of  $G_{der}$  on G, as can be found, for example, in [BL94, 0.2].

Let  $\operatorname{Loc}(G_{ab})$  be the category of Weil local systems on  $G_{ab}$ . If  $\mathcal{L}_{ab} \in \operatorname{Loc}(G_{ab})$  then  $q^*\mathcal{L}_{ab} \in \operatorname{Loc}(G)$  comes equipped with a canonical isomorphism  $\nu(\mathcal{L}_{ab}) : n^*\mathcal{L} \to p^*\mathcal{L}$  defined by the following diagram of isomorphisms.

$$n^{*}\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\nu(\mathcal{L}_{ab})} p^{*}\mathcal{L}$$

$$\| \qquad \|$$

$$n^{*} (q^{*}\mathcal{L}_{ab}) \xrightarrow{q \circ n = q \circ p} p^{*} (q^{*}\mathcal{L}_{ab})$$

Then  $(q^*\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \nu(\mathcal{L}_{ab}))$  satisfies (3.3) and (3.4), so  $(q^*\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \nu(\mathcal{L}_{ab})) \in \text{Loc}_{der}(G)$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha_{ab} : \mathcal{L}_{ab} \to \mathcal{L}_{ab}$  is a morphism in  $\text{Loc}(G_{ab})$  then  $q^*(\alpha_{ab})$  satisfies the condition in (3.5), so  $q^*(\alpha_{ab})$  is a morphism in  $\text{Loc}_{der}(G)$ . This defines the functor

$$L: \operatorname{Loc}(G_{\operatorname{ab}}) \to \operatorname{Loc}_{\operatorname{der}}(G)$$

LEMMA 3.3. — Suppose G is a smooth group scheme. The functor  $L : Loc(G_{ab}) \rightarrow Loc_{der}(G)$  is an equivalence.

*Proof.* — The quotient  $q : G \to G_{ab}$  is an  $G_{der}$ -torsor in the fppf topology by [Gab70, Theorem 3.2], and thus a  $G_{der}$ -torsor in the fpqc topology. The lemma is now a result from descent theory, arguing as in [FGI+05, Theorem 4.46] for example. □

#### 3.2.2. Equivariant linear character sheaves

With reference to Section 3.2.1, we define a  $G_{der}$ -equivariant linear character sheaf on G to be a triple  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \nu)$ , where  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$  is a linear character sheaf and  $(\mathcal{L}, \nu)$  is an  $G_{\text{der}}$ -equivariant Weil local system. A morphism of  $G_{\text{der}}$ -equivariant linear character sheaves  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \nu) \to (\mathcal{L}', \mu', \nu')$  is a morphism of  $G_{\text{der}}$ -equivariant Weil local systems  $\alpha : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}'$  which is also a morphism of linear character sheaves; Let  $\mathcal{CS}_{\text{der}}(G)$  be the category of  $G_{\text{der}}$ -equivariant linear character sheaves on G.

Consider the functor

$$q^* : \mathcal{CS}(G_{ab}) \to \mathcal{CS}(G)$$

given on objects by  $(\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \mu_{ab}) \mapsto (q^* \mathcal{L}_{ab}, (q^2)^* \mu_{ab})$ ; this is an instance of [CR18, Lemma 1.4]. To see that  $(q^* \mathcal{L}_{ab}, (q^2)^* \mu_{ab})$  is indeed a linear character sheaf on G, verify [CR18, CS.3]. Now set  $L(\mathcal{L}_{ab}) = (\mathcal{L}, \nu)$ , where  $L : \text{Loc}(G_{ab}) \to \text{Loc}_{der}(G)$  is the comparison functor above, so  $\mathcal{L} = q^* \mathcal{L}_{ab}$  and  $\nu = \nu(\mathcal{L}_{ab})$ . Then  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \nu)$  is an object in  $\mathcal{CS}_{der}(G)$ . If  $\alpha_{ab} : (\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \mu_{ab}) \to (\mathcal{L}'_{ab}, \mu'_{ab})$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{CS}(G_{ab})$ , then  $q^*(\alpha_{ab}) : (\mathcal{L}, \mu) \to (\mathcal{L}', \mu')$  satisfies [CR18, CS4], so  $\alpha = q^*(\alpha_{ab})$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$ . These observations define the comparison functor

$$q_{\rm ab}^* : \mathcal{CS}(G_{\rm ab}) \to \mathcal{CS}_{\rm der}(G)$$

and also show that the functor  $q^* : \mathcal{CS}(G_{ab}) \to \mathcal{CS}(G)$  factors according to the following commuting diagram of functors

The definition of  $q_{ab}^* : \mathcal{CS}(G_{ab}) \to \mathcal{CS}_{der}(G)$  will be revisited in the proof of Proposition 3.5.

Set  $G^2 = G \times G$ , so  $G^2_{der} = G_{der} \times G_{der}$  and  $G^2_{ab} = G_{ab} \times G_{ab}$ . Likewise define  $n^2 : G^2_{der} \times G^2 \to G^2$  and  $p^2 : G^2_{der} \times G \to G$ .

LEMMA 3.4. — If  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \nu)$  is a  $G_{der}$ -equivariant linear character sheaf on G then  $\mu : m^*\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}$  is a morphism of  $G_{der}^2$ -equivariant Weil local systems on  $G^2$ , as defined in Section 3.2.1.

*Proof.* — Define

$$d: G_{der} \times G_{der} \times G \times G \to G_{der} \times G \times G_{der} \times G$$

$$(h_1, h_2, g_1, g_2) \mapsto (h_1, g_1, h_2, g_2)$$

$$n_2: G_{der} \times G \times G_{der} \times G \to G \times G$$

$$(h_1, g_1, h_2, g_2) \mapsto (h_1g_1, h_2g_2)$$

$$p_2: G_{der} \times G \times G_{der} \times G \to G \times G$$

$$(h_1, g_1, h_2, g_2) \mapsto (g_1, g_2).$$

1400

The following diagram defines the isomorphisms needed to see that both  $m^*\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}$  are  $G^2_{der}$ -equivariant Weil local systems.



The dashed arrows both satisfy (3.3) and (3.4) as they apply here. This diagram also shows that  $\mu: m^*\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}$  is a morphism of  $G^2_{der}$ -equivariant local systems, since it satisfies (3.5) as it applies here.

PROPOSITION 3.5. — Suppose G is a smooth group scheme. Then pullback along  $q: G \to G_{ab}$  defines an equivalence  $\mathcal{CS}(G_{ab}) \to \mathcal{CS}_{der}(G)$ .

Proof. — Let  $L^2$  : Loc $(G^2_{ab}) \rightarrow \text{Loc}_{der}(G^2)$  be the comparison functor for the quotient  $q^2 : G^2 \rightarrow G^2_{ab}$ . Then  $L^2$  is also an equivalence by Lemma 3.3. Moreover, using Lemma 3.4, we may rewrite the comparison functor  $q^*_{ab}$  on objects by

$$\mathcal{CS}(G_{\rm ab}) \to \mathcal{CS}_{\rm der}(G)$$
$$(\mathcal{L}_{\rm ab}, \mu_{\rm ab}) \mapsto \left( L(\mathcal{L}_{\rm ab}), L^2(\mu_{\rm ab}) \right)$$

and on morphisms by  $\alpha \mapsto L(\alpha)$ . The proposition now follows from the fact that both L and  $L^2$  are equivalences.

#### 3.2.3. Rigidification

We may now relate  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$  to  $\mathcal{CS}(G_{ab})$ .

**PROPOSITION** 3.6. — The categories  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$  and  $\mathcal{CS}(G_{ab})$  are equivalent.

*Proof.* — In light of Proposition 3.5, it suffices to exhibit an equivalence between  $\mathcal{CS}_{der}(G)$  and  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$ .

We begin by defining a functor  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G) \to \mathcal{CS}_{der}(G)$ . Let  $j_{der} : G_{der} \to G$  be the kernel of  $q: G \to G_{ab}$  Define  $k: G_{der} \times G \to G \times G$  by  $k(h, g) = (j_{der}(h), g)$ . Then for  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{CS}_{der}(G)$ , define  $\beta: \mathcal{L}|_{G_{der}} \to (\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})_{G_{der}}$  by the following diagram.



Then  $\beta = r_{der} \circ j^*(\nu)$ , where  $r_{der} : (\mathcal{L}_1)_{G_{der}} \to (\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{G_{der}}$  is the isomorphism of constant local systems determined by the rigidification  $r : \mathcal{L}_1 \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  determined by  $\mu$ (see [CR18, Remark 1.11]) so that

(3.8) 
$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L}_{1} \xrightarrow{r} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \\ \downarrow^{\mu_{(1,1)}} & \parallel \\ \mathcal{L}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{1} \xrightarrow{r \otimes r} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \end{array}$$

commutes where, as usual, = denotes a canonical isomorphism. To show that  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta) \in \mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$ , we must see that  $\beta$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{CS}(G_{der})$  by showing that the following diagram commutes in  $Loc(G_{der}^2)$ .

$$(3.9) \qquad \begin{array}{c} m_{\mathrm{der}}^{*}\mathcal{L}|_{G_{\mathrm{der}}} & \xrightarrow{m_{\mathrm{der}}^{*}\beta} & (\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})_{G_{\mathrm{der}}^{2}} \\ \| & & \| \\ \mathcal{L}|_{G_{\mathrm{der}}} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}|_{G_{\mathrm{der}}} & \xrightarrow{\beta \boxtimes \beta} & (\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})_{G_{\mathrm{der}}} \boxtimes (\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})_{G_{\mathrm{der}}} \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.5,  $\mathcal{L} = q^* \mathcal{L}_{ab}$ , for some  $(\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \mu_{ab}) \in \mathcal{CS}(G_{ab})$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{L}|_{G_{der}} = (\mathcal{L}_1)_{G_{der}}$  and the diagram above becomes a diagram of constant Weil local systems on  $G_{der}^2$ . We can therefore test whether diagram commutes by evaluating all local systems at  $(1,1) \in G_{der}^2$ . Doing this recovers (3.8) from (3.9), so (3.8) commutes. After confirming that morphisms that commute with  $\mu$  and  $\beta$  also commute with  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , this concludes the definition of the functor  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G) \to \mathcal{CS}_{der}(G)$ .

The adjoint functor  $\mathcal{CS}_{der}(G) \to \mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$  is given by a similar strategy: given  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta) \in \mathcal{CS}_{der}(G)$ , define  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \nu)$  again using (3.7). Then verify that  $(\mathcal{L}, \nu)$  satisfies (3.4) as it applies here, so that  $(\mathcal{L}, \nu) \in \text{Loc}_{der}(G)$ . After confirming that morphisms that commute with  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  also commute with  $\mu$  and  $\beta$ , this concludes the definition of the functor  $\mathcal{CS}_{der}(G) \to \mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$ . From these constructions, it is now clear that  $\mathcal{CS}_{der}(G) \to \mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$  is an equivalence.

COROLLARY 3.7. — If G is a smooth group scheme and  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu) \in \mathcal{CS}(G)$ , then the restriction of  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$  to  $G_{der}$  is trivial if and only if  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu) \cong q^*(\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \mu_{ab})$  in  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$ , for some  $(\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \mu_{ab}) \in \mathcal{CS}(G_{ab})$ .

*Proof.* — Notation as in the proof of Proposition 3.5. Consider the following diagram.

Now, suppose  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu) \in \mathcal{CS}(G)$  and there is an isomorphism  $\beta : \mathcal{L}|_{G_{der}} \to (\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})_{G_{der}}$ so that  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta) \in \mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$ . By Proposition 3.5, there is some  $(\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \mu_{ab}) \in \mathcal{CS}(G_{ab})$ with  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta) \cong q_{ab}^*(\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \mu_{ab})$ . Applying the forgetful functor  $\mathcal{CS}_{G_{der}}(G) \to \mathcal{CS}(G)$ to this isomorphism, it follows that  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu) \cong q^*(\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \mu_{ab})$  in  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$ , as desired. Conversely, suppose  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu) \in \mathcal{CS}(G)$  and  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu) \cong q^*(\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \mu_{ab})$  in  $\mathcal{CS}(G)$ . Then  $i^* = (\mathcal{L}, \mu) \cong i^* = q^*(\mathcal{L}, \mu_{ab})$ 

$$j_{\mathrm{der}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{L},\mu
ight)\cong j_{\mathrm{der}}^{*}q^{*}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{ab}},\mu_{\mathrm{ab}}
ight)$$

in  $\mathcal{CS}(G_{der})$ . Since  $q \circ j_{der} = 1$ , it follows that the restriction of  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$  to  $G_{der}$  is trivial.

We may interpret this corollary as measuring how far  $q^*$  is from being essentially surjective. The next result shows that it is also not full. Let C denote the cokernel of the natural map

$$\operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi_{0}(\bar{G})_{\operatorname{Fr}}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}\right) \to \operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi_{0}(\bar{G}_{\operatorname{der}})_{\operatorname{Fr}}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}\right),$$

where  $\pi_0(\bar{G})_{\rm Fr}$  denotes the coinvariants of the action of Frobenius on the component group of  $\bar{G}$ 

COROLLARY 3.8. — If G is a smooth group scheme and  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$  is a linear character sheaf on G with trivial restriction to  $G_{der}$ , then the set of isomorphism classes of objects in  $\mathcal{CS}(G_{ab})$  mapping to  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$  under  $q^*$  is a principal homogeneous space for C.

Proof. — By Proposition 3.5, it suffices to find the set of isomorphism classes in  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$  mapping to  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$  under the forgetful functor. By the previous corollary this set is nonempty. If  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta)$  and  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta')$  both map to  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$ , then  $\beta' \circ \beta^{-1}$  is an automorphism of the constant sheaf on  $G_{der}$ . Conversely, if  $\varphi$  is an automorphism of  $(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})_{G_{der}}$  and  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta) \in \mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$  then  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \varphi \circ \beta) \in \mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$ . As in [CR18, Theorem 3.9], the automorphism group is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Hom}(\pi_0(\bar{G}_{der})_{\operatorname{Fr}}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})$ . Finally, we note that any automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu) \in \mathcal{CS}(G)$  defines an isomorphism  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta \circ \alpha|_{G_{der}}) \to (\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta)$ . Applying the analogue of [CR18, Theorem 3.9] again yields the desired result.

#### 3.3. Objects and maps in commutative character sheaves

We are now in a position to prove that commutative character sheaves on G match perfectly with commutative character sheaves on  $G_{ab}$ . We start with a method that will allow us to situate the diagram in Definition 3.2 within  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G^2)$ .

LEMMA 3.9. — If  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta) \in \mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$  then  $\mu : m^*\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}\boxtimes \mathcal{L}, \gamma : m^*\mathcal{L} \to \theta^*(m^*\mathcal{L})$ and  $\vartheta : \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L} \to \theta^*(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L})$  are morphisms in  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G^2)$ .

Proof. — Define  $m^2: G^2 \times G^2 \to G^2$  by  $m^2(g_1, g_2, g'_1, g'_2) = (g_1g'_1, g_2g'_2)$ . Also define  $p_i^2: G^2 \times G^2 \to G^2$  by  $p_i^2(g_1, g_2, g_1, g'_2) = (g_i, g'_i)$ . First we show that  $m^*\mathcal{L}$  is an object in  $\mathcal{CS}(G^2)$  by equipping it with an isomorphism  $\mu_m^2: (m^2)^*(m^*\mathcal{L}) \to m^*\mathcal{L} \boxtimes m^*\mathcal{L}$  defined by the diagram below.

The pair  $(m^*\mathcal{L}, \mu_m^2)$  satisfies the conditions appearing in [CR18, Definition 1.1]. The restriction of  $m^*\mathcal{L}$  to  $G_{der}^2 = G_{der} \times G_{der}$  is canonically isomorphic to  $(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{G_{der}^2}$  by

$$\begin{array}{c} (m^{*}\mathcal{L}) \mid_{G^{2}_{\mathrm{der}}} & & (\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})_{G^{2}_{\mathrm{der}}} \\ & \downarrow^{\mu} \mid_{G^{2}_{\mathrm{der}}} & & \beta \boxtimes \beta \uparrow \\ (\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}) \mid_{G^{2}_{\mathrm{der}}} & & (\mathcal{L} \mid_{G_{\mathrm{der}}}) \boxtimes (\mathcal{L} \mid_{G_{\mathrm{der}}}) \end{array}$$

This shows that  $(m^*\mathcal{L}, \mu_m^2, \beta_m^2) \in \mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G^2)$ . Similar work defines  $(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}, \mu_{\boxtimes}^2, \beta_{\boxtimes}^2) \in \mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G^2)$ . By construction,  $\mu : m^*\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G^2)$ . Similar work shows that  $\gamma : m^*\mathcal{L} \to \theta^*(m^*\mathcal{L})$  and  $\vartheta : \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L} \to \theta^*(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L})$  are also morphisms in  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G^2)$ .

Suppose G is commutative, so  $G_{der} = 1$ . Suppose  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta)$  is an object in  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$ . Then  $\beta : \mathcal{L}_1 \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{CS}(1)$ , which is unique by [CR18, Theorem 3.9]. Tracing through the construction of  $\gamma : m^*\mathcal{L} \to \theta^*m^*\mathcal{L}$  from  $\beta : \mathcal{L}_1 \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ , we find that  $\gamma : m^*\mathcal{L} \to \theta^*m^*\mathcal{L}$  is the canonical isomorphism coming from the equation  $m = m \circ \theta$ . Thus, when G is commutative, Definition 3.2 agrees with Definition 2.1. The next result generalizes this observation.

THEOREM 3.10. — Pull-back along the abelianization  $q: G \to G_{ab}$  defines an equivalence of categories

$$\mathcal{CCS}(G_{ab}) \to \mathcal{CCS}(G).$$

Proof. — By definition,  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$  is a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$ ; likewise,  $\mathcal{CCS}(G_{ab})$  is a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G_{ab})$ . We have just seen that  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G_{ab})$ is equivalent to  $\mathcal{CS}(G_{ab})$ . By Proposition 3.5, pullback along the abelianization  $q: G \to G_{ab}$  induces an equivalence  $q_{ab}^*: \mathcal{CS}(G_{ab}) \to \mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$ . Thus, the functor  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G_{ab}) \to \mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$  induced by pullback along q is an equivalence. The functor  $\mathcal{CCS}(G_{ab}) \to \mathcal{CCS}(G)$  under consideration is the restriction of  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G_{ab}) \to \mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$ to the subcategory  $\mathcal{CCS}(G_{ab})$ .



To prove the theorem, it is now sufficient to show that  $\mathcal{CCS}(G_{ab}) \to \mathcal{CCS}(G)$  is essentially surjective. Suppose  $(\mathcal{L}, \nu, \beta) \in \mathcal{CCS}(G)$ . Then  $(\mathcal{L}, \nu, \beta) \in \mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$ . Let  $(\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \mu_{ab}) \in \mathcal{CS}(G_{ab})$  be given by the equivalences above. Let  $\xi : m_{ab}^* \mathcal{L}_{ab} \to \theta^* m_{ab}^* \mathcal{L}_{ab}$ be the isomorphism attached to  $(\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \mu_{ab}) \in \mathcal{CS}(G_{ab})$  as in Section 2. Let  $\gamma : m^* \mathcal{L} \to \theta^* m^* \mathcal{L}$  be the isomorphism attached to  $\beta : \mathcal{L}|_{G_{der}} \to (\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})_{G_{der}}$  as in Section 3.1.

ANNALES HENRI LEBESGUE

By Lemma 3.9, the diagrams below are in  $\mathcal{CS}(G_{ab})$  (right) and  $\mathcal{CS}_{ab}(G)$  (left).

The diagram on the left is the result of applying the functor  $(q^2)^*_{ab}$  to the one on the right; in particular  $\gamma = (q^2)^*_{ab}\xi$ . Since  $(q^2)^*_{ab}$  is an equivalence by Proposition 3.5, it follows that the diagram in Definition 3.2 commutes if and only if the diagram in Definition 2.1 commutes. In other words,  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta) \in \mathcal{CCS}(G)$  if and only if  $(\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \mu_{ab}) \in \mathcal{CCS}(G_{ab})$ .

We may use Theorem 3.10 to give a description of the morphisms and the isomorphism classes of objects in  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$ .

COROLLARY 3.11. — The category CCS(G) is monoidal and there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{CCS}(G)_{/iso} \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(G_{ab}(k), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}\right).$$

Every map in  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$  is either trivial or an isomorphism, and the automorphism group of any object in  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Hom}(\pi_0(\bar{G}_{ab})_{\mathrm{Fr}}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})$ .

*Proof.* — The first claim follows from Theorems 2.5 and 3.10. Let us write  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta) \mapsto (\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \mu_{ab})$  to indicate the equivalence appearing in Theorem 3.10; then

$$\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{CCS}(G)}(\mathcal{L},\mu,\beta) = \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{CCS}(G_{ab})}(\mathcal{L}_{ab},\mu_{ab}).$$
8, Theorem 3.9], 
$$\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{CCS}(G_{ab})}(\mathcal{L}_{ab},\mu_{ab}) = \operatorname{Hom}(\pi_0(\bar{G}_{ab})_{\operatorname{Fr}},\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}).$$

#### 3.4. Geometrizing characters trivial on the derived subgroup

Corollary 3.11 shows that commutative character sheaves on G provide a natural geometrization of characters of  $G_{ab}(k)$ . In Theorem 3.12 we take this one small step further by exploring the relation between characters of G(k) and objects in  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$ .

THEOREM 3.12. — The trace of Frobenius  $\operatorname{Tr} : \mathcal{CCS}(G)_{/iso} \to G(k)^*$  fits into the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{CCS}(G_{\mathrm{ab}})_{/iso} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{CCS}(G)_{/iso} \\ & \cong & & & \downarrow^{\mathrm{Tr}} & & \downarrow^{\mathrm{Tr}} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \Delta_{G}^{*} & \longrightarrow & G_{\mathrm{ab}}(k)^{*} & \longrightarrow & G(k)^{*} & \longrightarrow & G_{\mathrm{der}}(k)^{*} & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

where  $\Delta_G$  is the image of the connecting homomorphism  $G_{ab}(k) \to \mathrm{H}^1(k, G_{der})$ . Thus the category  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$  geometrizes characters of G(k) in the following sense: for every group homomorphism  $\chi: G(k) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}$  that vanishes on  $G_{der}(k)$ , there is an object  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \beta)$  in  $\mathcal{CCS}(G)$  such that  $t_{\mathcal{L}} = \chi$ . While the geometrization of  $\chi$  is not unique, the isomorphism classes of possibilities are enumerated by  $\Delta_G^*$ .

By [CR1

*Proof.* — By the definition of  $\Delta_G$ , we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \to G_{\mathrm{der}}(k) \to G(k) \to G_{\mathrm{ab}}(k) \to \Delta_G \to 1.$$

Applying  $\text{Hom}(-, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})$ , which is exact since  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}$  is divisible, yields the bottom row in the statement.

By Theorem 3.10, the map  $\mathcal{CCS}(G_{ab})_{iso} \to \mathcal{CCS}(G)_{iso}$  is an isomorphism. Moreover, since both  $\mathcal{CCS}(G_{ab})_{iso} \to \mathcal{CCS}(G)_{iso}$  and  $G_{ab}(k)^* \to G(k)^*$  are defined by pullback along q, the square in the statement of the theorem commutes. Finally,  $\operatorname{Tr} : \mathcal{CCS}(G_{ab})_{iso} \to G_{ab}(k)^*$  is an isomorphism by Corollary 3.11.  $\Box$ 

## 4. Geometrizing Characters Nontrivial on the Derived Subgroup

If  $\chi$  is a character of G(k) vanishing on  $G_{der}(k)$  then Theorem 3.12 shows that there is a *local system*  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $m^*\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{L}) = \chi$ . In this section, we give methods for geometrizing characters that do not vanish on  $G_{der}(k)$ , though the results may not be local systems or conjugation-equivariant, a notion that we now define.

#### 4.1. Conjugation equivariant Weil sheaves

A Weil sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  is said to be *conjugation-equivariant* if  $a^*\mathcal{L} \cong p_2^*\mathcal{L}$ , where  $a : G \times G \to G$  is conjugation  $a(x, y) = xyx^{-1}$  and  $p_2 : G \times G \to G$  is projection  $p_2(x, y) = y$ . If  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$  is a linear character sheaf on G, then  $\mathcal{L}$  is conjugation-equivariant. Indeed, the isomorphism  $\mu : m^*\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}$  determines an isomorphism  $a^*\mathcal{L} \to p_2^*\mathcal{L}$  of Weil sheaves on  $G \times G$  by the following diagram.



Although every linear character sheaf on G is a conjugation-equivariant Weil local system on G, the converse is certainly not true.

#### 4.2. Lusztig's character sheaves

For connected reductive groups G over k, Lusztig's character sheaves are simple perverse sheaves  $\mathcal{IC}(C,\mathcal{L})$  on G that come equipped with an isomorphism  $a^*\mathcal{IC}(C,\mathcal{L}) \to p_2^*\mathcal{IC}(C,\mathcal{L})$  in the triangulated category  $D_c^b(G \times G; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ . Consequently, when  $\mathcal{IC}(C,\mathcal{L})$  is also a Weil sheaf complex, its trace of Frobenius function  $t_{\mathcal{IC}(C,\mathcal{L})}: G(k) \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  is a class function, called the characteristic function of  $\mathcal{IC}(C,\mathcal{L})$ . By [Lus86, Corollary 25.7], Weil character sheaves on G determine a basis for the vector space of class functions on G(k); in particular, any character of any representation of G(k) can be expressed as a  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -linear combination of characteristic functions of Weil character sheaves on G. Let us use the notation  $\mathsf{D}_{c,G}^b(G; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  for the category of conjugation-equivariant objects in  $\mathsf{D}_c^b(G; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ , as defined in [BL94] for example, and  $\mathsf{KD}_{c,G}^b(G; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  for the Grothendieck group of  $\mathsf{D}_{c,G}^b(G; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ ; we set  $\mathsf{K}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}\mathsf{D}_{c,G}^b(G; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) := \mathsf{KD}_{c,G}^b(G; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ . Thus, Lusztig's result shows that for every representation  $\rho$  of G(k) there is some  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{K}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}\mathsf{D}_{c,G}^b(G; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  such that  $\mathrm{Tr} \, \rho = t_{\mathcal{F}}$ . By [Lus04, Theorem 21.21], this result extends to all reductive groups G over k without the hypothesis that G is connected.

When specialized to the case of characters  $\chi$  of G(k), Lusztig's theory of character sheaves geometrizes  $\chi$  using  $\mathsf{K}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}}\mathsf{D}^b_{c,G}(G;\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ ; of course, the resulting geometrization is generally not a local system. For an example when this method can be used to geometrize a character of G(k) which is not trivial on  $G_{\mathrm{der}}(k)$ , consider  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$  over  $\mathbf{F}_3$ . Then  $G_{\mathrm{der}} = G$ , but  $G(k)_{\mathrm{der}}$  is the subgroup of semisimple elements, isomorphic to the quaternion group  $Q_8$  and of index 3. There is thus a nontrivial character  $\chi : G(k) \to \mu_3 \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}_{\ell}$ . Lusztig's character sheaves are constructed by perverse extension of local systems on a certain stratification of G. In the case of  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ , there are five strata:

$C_3$	regular semisimple elements
$C_2$	regular unipotent elements
$C_2^-$	$\{-u: \text{ regular unipotent } u\}$
$\{\overline{1}\}$	{1}
$\{2\}$	{2}

Each Weil character sheaf on SL<sub>2</sub> over  $\mathbf{F}_3$  is the perverse extension of one of the following local systems: the rank-1 constant sheaf  $\mathbf{1}_{C_3}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{1}_{C_2}$ ,  $\mathbf{1}_{C_2^-}$ ,  $\mathbf{1}_{\{1\}}$ ,  $\mathbf{1}_{\{2\}}$ ) on  $C_3$  (resp.  $C_2$ ,  $C_2^-$ ,  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{2\}$ ), or the non-trivial rank-1 local system  $\mathcal{E}_{C_2}$ (resp.  $\mathcal{E}_{C_2^-}$ ) on  $C_2^-$  (resp.  $\mathcal{E}_{C_2^-}$ ) trivialized by the double cover of  $C_2$  (resp.  $C_2^-$ ). The following table describes these perverse sheaves by showing how they decompose in the Grothendieck group into standard sheaves on SL<sub>2</sub>, and also gives the values of the trace of Frobenius on the 7 conjugacy classes in SL<sub>2</sub>( $\mathbf{F}_3$ ).

Perverse	Standard	Trac	ce of Fr	obeniu	s value	s at conj	ugacy o	classes
Sheaf	Sheaves	$\left(\begin{array}{c}1&0\\0&1\end{array}\right)$	$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{array}\right)$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&2\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$\left(\begin{array}{c}2&1\\0&2\end{array}\right)$	$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{array}\right)$
$\mathcal{IC}\left(1_{C_{3}} ight)$	$1_{\mathrm{SL}_2}[3]$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
$\left  \mathcal{IC} \left( 1_{C_2}  ight)  ight $	$1_{C_2}[2] \oplus 1_{\{1\}}[2]$	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
$\mathcal{IC}\left(\mathcal{E}_{C_{2}} ight)$	$\mathcal{E}_{C_2}[2]$	0	0	0	$\sqrt{-3}$	$-\sqrt{-3}$	0	0
$\left  \mathcal{IC} \left( 1_{C_{2}^{-}} \right) \right $	$1_{C_2^-}[2] \oplus 1_{\{2\}}[2]$	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
$\left  \mathcal{IC} \left( \mathcal{E}_{C_2^-} \right) \right $	$\mathcal{E}_{C_2^-}[2]$	0	0	0	0	0	$\sqrt{-3}$	$-\sqrt{-3}$
$\mathcal{IC}\left(1_{\{1\}}\right)$	$1_{\{1\}}[0]$	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\left  \mathcal{IC} \left( 1_{\{2\}} \right) \right $	$1_{\{2\}}[0]$	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

Using the trace of Frobenius values, we find that

$$-\mathcal{IC}(\mathbf{1}_{C_3}) - \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{IC}(\mathbf{1}_{C_2}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{IC}(\mathcal{E}_{C_2}) - \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{IC}\left(\mathbf{1}_{C_2^-}\right) \\ - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{IC}\left(\mathcal{E}_{C_2^-}\right) + \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{IC}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{1\}}\right) + \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{IC}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{2\}}\right)$$

geometrizes  $\chi$ . Note that all seven character sheaves appear in this geometrization, even though we start just with a character; in particular, the geometrization of the character  $\chi$  given by this method is not a local system.

We remark that for p > 3 and  $G = SL_2$ , we have  $G_{der}(k) = G(k)_{der}$ . In this case, therefore, any character of G(k) may be geometrized by a local system using Theorem 3.12, so Lusztig's character sheaves are not required.

#### 4.3. Pushforward along the Lang isogeny

For connected, commutative groups, the standard method for geometrizing a character  $\chi$  is to use the Lang isogeny  $L: G \to G$ , defined by  $L(y) = y^{-1} \operatorname{Fr}_G(y)$ . The pushforward  $L_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_G$  of the constant sheaf decomposes into a direct sum of rank 1 local systems according to characters of the automorphism group G(k) of the cover L, and the local system  $\mathcal{L}$  associated to  $\chi^{-1}$  will have  $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{L}) = \chi$ .

If G is nonabelian or disconnected, a similar strategy sometimes succeeds in geometrizing characters  $\chi : G(k) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}$ . Define  $\tilde{L} : G \to G$  by  $\tilde{L}(y) = \operatorname{Fr}_{G}(y)y^{-1}$ . The fibers of L are right cosets of G(k) and the fibers of  $\tilde{L}$  are left cosets. Suppose that  $(\mathbf{L.1}) \ G(k) \subset \operatorname{im}(\tilde{L}) \cap \operatorname{im}(L)$  (which will hold if G is connected).

Then we may associate to each  $\chi$  a function  $\tilde{\chi} : G(k) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}$  as follows. If  $z \in G(k)$ , write  $z = \tilde{L}(y)$  and define  $\tilde{\chi}(z) = \chi(L(y))$ . Note that  $\tilde{\chi}$  is well defined since changing y to ya for  $a \in G(k)$  has the effect of conjugating L(y) by  $a^{-1}$ , which has no effect on the value  $\chi(L(y))$ . In order to obtain a local system with trace  $\chi$ , we must also assume that

(L.2)  $\tilde{\chi}$  is also a character.

PROPOSITION 4.1. — Let G be a smooth group scheme over k and assume (L.1) and (L.2). Let  $\mathcal{L} = (L_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_G)_{\tilde{\chi}^{-1}}$ . Then  $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{L}) = \chi$ . *Proof.* — The stalk of  $\mathcal{L}$  at  $x \in G(k)$  is

$$\mathcal{L}_x = \left\{ s : L^{-1}(x) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell : s(ay) = \widetilde{\chi}^{-1}(a)s(y) \text{ for all } a \in G(k), y \in L^{-1}(x) \right\}.$$

For any  $y \in L^{-1}(x)$ , note that  $\operatorname{Fr}_{G}^{-1}(y) = yx^{-1}$  and  $\widetilde{L}(y) = yxy^{-1} \in G(k)$ . Frobenius acts on  $s \in \mathcal{L}_x$  by

$$(Fr_S)(y) = s\left(Fr_G^{-1}(y)\right) = \tilde{\chi}^{-1}\left(yx^{-1}y^{-1}\right)s(y) = \tilde{\chi}\left(\tilde{L}(y)\right)s(y) = \chi(x)s(y),$$
 proving the claim.  $\Box$ 

thus proving the claim.

Note that the resulting sheaf is a local system, but is not necessarily conjugationequivariant because the Lang map is not a homomorphism when G is nonabelian.

This method of geometrization also applies to the example considered above. Let  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$  over  $\mathbf{F}_3$  and let  $\chi : G(k) \to \mu_3 \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}$  be a non-trivial character. In this case,  $\tilde{\chi} = \chi^{-1}$ . Since G is connected and  $\tilde{\chi}$  is a character, Proposition 4.1 produces a local system  $\mathcal{L}$  on G which geometrizes  $\chi$ . This  $\mathcal{L}$  is not conjugation-equivariant.

The same method of geometrization applies to  $G = PGL_2$  when k has odd characteristic. In this case,  $G_{der} = G$ , but  $G(k)_{der} = PSL_2(k)$  has index 2 in G(k). For the non-trivial character  $\chi$  of G(k),  $\tilde{\chi} = \chi$ , so again we may use Proposition 4.1 to geometrize  $\chi$  as a local system.

## 5. Application to type theory for *p*-adic groups

We now show how to use Theorem 3.12 to geometrize Yu type data and how to geometrize types for supercuspidal representations of tamely ramified *p*-adic groups.

## 5.1. Quasicharacters of smooth group schemes over certain Henselian traits

Recall that R is the ring of integers of a local field with finite residue field k. The maximal ideal of R will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let G be a smooth group scheme over R. Here we shall use [BGA18] for the definition and fundamental properties of the Greenberg transform. Let G be the Greenberg transform of  $\underline{G}$ ; then G is a group scheme over k and there is a canonical isomorphism

$$G(k) = \underline{G}(R).$$

Let  $\varphi : \underline{G}(R) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}$  be a quasicharacter. By the continuity of  $\varphi$  there is some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and a factorization



The least such  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  is called the *level* of  $\varphi$ . For any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $R_r := R/\mathfrak{p}^{r+1}$ and set  $G_r := \operatorname{Gr}_r^R(\underline{G})$ , the Greenberg transform of  $\underline{G} \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(R)} \operatorname{Spec}(R_r)$ . Then  $G_r$  is a smooth group scheme over k and  $G_r(k) = \underline{G}(R_r)$ .

PROPOSITION 5.1. — Let  $\underline{G}$  be a smooth group scheme over R. Let  $\varphi : \underline{G}(R) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}$  be a quasicharacter and let r be the level of  $\varphi$ . If  $\varphi_r : G_r(k) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}$  is trivial on  $G_{r, \operatorname{der}}(k)$  then there is a commutative character sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_r \in \mathcal{CCS}(G_r)$  such that

$$t_{\mathcal{L}_r} = \varphi_r$$

*Proof.* — This is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.12.

With  $\varphi$  as above, note that if r' is any integer greater or equal to the level r of  $\varphi$ , then the pullback of  $\mathcal{L}_r$  along  $G_{r'} \to G_r$  is a linear character sheaf on  $G_r$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{L}_{r'}$  is conjugation-equivariant in the sense of Section 4.1.

Recall that the full Greenberg transform  $G := \operatorname{Gr}^{R}(\underline{G})$  is a group scheme over k such that  $G(k) = \underline{G}(R)$ ; it comes equipped with a morphism  $G \to G_r$ . The Weil sheaf on G obtained from  $\mathcal{L}_r$  by pullback along the morphism of group schemes  $G \to G_r$  is a quasicharacter sheaf on G, in the sense of [CR18, Definition 4.2], such that

$$t_{\mathcal{L}} = \varphi$$

## 5.2. Jacobi theory over finite fields

For use below, we recall some facts about the Heisenberg–Weil representation.

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over a finite field k equipped with a symplectic paring  $\langle , \rangle : V \times V \to Z$ , where Z is a one-dimensional vector space over k. Let  $V^{\sharp}$  be the Heisenberg group determined by  $(Z, \langle , \rangle)$  [GH07, § 1.1]. Let Sp(V) be the symplectic group determined by the symplectic pairing  $\langle , \rangle$ ; this group acts on  $V^{\sharp}$ . The group Sp(V)  $\ltimes V^{\sharp}$  is called the Jacobi group. From the construction above, it is clear that the Jacobi group may be viewed as the k-points of an algebraic group over k; we will refer to that algebraic group as the Jacobi group.

Let  $\psi: Z \to \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\times}$  be an additive character and let  $\omega_{\psi}$  be the Heisenberg representation on  $V^{\sharp}$  with central character  $\psi$  [GH07, § 1.1]. The Heisenberg representation determines a representation  $\pi_{\psi}$  of Sp(V) with the same representation space as  $\omega_{\psi}$ and with the defining property: for each  $g \in \text{Sp}(V)$ ,  $\pi_{\psi}(g)$  determines an isomorphism of representations  $\omega_{\psi}^{g} \to \omega_{\psi}$ . Let  $W_{\psi} = \pi_{\psi} \ltimes \omega_{\psi}$  be the Heisenberg–Weil representation of the Jacobi group Sp(V)  $\ltimes V^{\sharp}$  given by  $\omega_{\psi}$  and  $\pi_{\psi}$  [GH07, § 2.2].

By [GH07, Theorem 3.2.2.1] (see also [GH11, Theorem 4.5]), there is a Frobeniusstable conjugation-equivariant perverse sheaf  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi}$  on  $\mathrm{Sp}(V) \ltimes V^{\sharp}$  such that

(5.1) 
$$t_{\mathcal{K}_{\psi}} = \operatorname{Tr}(W_{\psi}).$$

In particular, this geometrization uses  $\mathsf{D}^{b}_{c,\,\mathrm{Sp}(V)\ltimes V^{\sharp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(V)\ltimes V^{\sharp}; \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ , as recalled in Section 4.2, to geometrize  $\mathrm{Tr}(W_{\psi})$ .

#### 5.3. Yu's types and integral models

A Yu type datum ( ${}^{\circ}K^{i}, {}^{\circ}\rho^{0}, \varphi^{i}, d$ ) consists of the following:

(Y0) a sequence of compact groups  ${}^{\circ}K^0 \subseteq {}^{\circ}K^1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq {}^{\circ}K^d = {}^{\circ}K;$ 

(Y1) a continuous representation  $^{\circ}\rho^{0}$  of  $^{\circ}K^{0}$ ;

(Y2) quasicharacters  $\varphi^i : {}^{\circ}K^i \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ , for  $i = 0, \ldots d$ .

The representation  ${}^{\circ}\rho^{0}$  and the quasicharacters  $(\varphi^{0}, \ldots, \varphi^{d})$  enjoy certain properties which allow Yu to construct a sequence of types  $({}^{\circ}K^{i}, {}^{\circ}\rho_{i})$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, d$ . In order to prepare for the construction of the geometric types of Theorem 5.2 we review some further detail here. In Table 5.1 we explain how to convert the constructions appearing in this section into the notation of [Yu01].

First, Yu introduces

(Y3) compact groups  $J_i \subset {}^{\circ}K$ , for  $i = 0, \ldots d$ , such that  ${}^{\circ}K^i = J_0 \cdots J_i$  and, for  $i = 0, \ldots d-1$ , a natural action of  ${}^{\circ}K^i$  on  $J_{i+1}$  defining the groups  ${}^{\circ}K^i \ltimes J_{i+1}$ .

$$(5.2) \qquad 1 \longrightarrow J_{i+1} \longrightarrow {}^{\circ}K^{i} \cap J_{i+1} \longrightarrow {}^{\circ}K^{i} \mapsto J_{i+1} \longrightarrow {}^{\circ}K^{i} \longrightarrow 1$$

$$\downarrow^{\pi_{i+1}} {}^{\circ}K^{i+1} \qquad \downarrow_{1}$$

Next, Yu defines a group homomorphism (in fact, a quotient)  $J_{i+1} \to V_{i+1}$  where  $V_{i+1}$  is a finite abelian group, the latter also given the structure of a k-vector space. The vector space  $V_{i+1}$  is then equipped with a symplectic pairing  $\langle , \rangle_{i+1} : V_{i+1} \times V_{i+1} \to Z_{i+1}$ , where  $Z_{i+1}$  is a one-dimensional vector space over k, itself equipped with an additive character  $\psi_{i+1} : Z_{i+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ . This, in turn, is used to define a map  $J_{i+1} \to V_{i+1}^{\sharp}$ , where  $V_{i+1}^{\sharp}$  is the Heisenberg group determined by  $V_{i+1}, Z_{i+1}, \langle , \rangle_{i+1}$  and  $\psi_{i+1}$ , as in Section 5.2. In fact, the quotient  $J_{i+1} \to V_{i+1}^{\sharp}$  factors through a quotient  $J_{i+1} \to H_{i+1}$  and an isomorphism  $j_{i+1} : H_{i+1} \to V_{i+1}^{\sharp}$ , where  $H_{i+1}$  is a Heisenberg p-group in the sense of [Yu01]. Finally, Yu constructs a group homomorphism  $f_{i+1} : {}^{\circ}K^i \to \operatorname{Sp}(V_{i+1})$  such that the pair  $(f_{i+1}, j_{i+1})$  is a symplectic action of  ${}^{\circ}K^i$  on  $H_{i+1}$  in the sense of [Yu01]. Taken together, this defines

(Y4) a group homomorphism  $h_{i+1}$ :  ${}^{\circ}K^i \ltimes J_{i+1} \to \operatorname{Sp}(V_{i+1}) \ltimes V_{i+1}^{\sharp}$  making the following diagram commute.

$$1 \longrightarrow J_{i+1} \longrightarrow {}^{\circ}K^{i} \ltimes J_{i+1} \xrightarrow{p_{i}} {}^{\circ}K^{i} \longrightarrow 1$$
$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{h_{i+1}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{f_{i}}$$
$$1 \longrightarrow V_{i+1}^{\sharp} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sp}(V_{i+1}) \ltimes V_{i+1}^{\sharp} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sp}(V_{i+1}) \longrightarrow 1$$

We can now recall how Yu uses all this to construct the types ( ${}^{\circ}K^{i}$ ,  ${}^{\circ}\rho_{i}$ ); see [Yu01, § 4, 15]. The representations  ${}^{\circ}\rho_{i}$  are defined recursively. For the base case i = 0, set  ${}^{\circ}\rho_{0} := {}^{\circ}\rho^{0} \otimes \varphi^{0}$ ; see (**Y1**) above. Now fix *i*. Let  $W_{i+1}$  be the Heisenberg–Weil

this name	In Kong Vn Construction of tame	[Vn01]
tins paper	Ju-Kang Tu, Construction of tume	
	$supercuspidal\ representations$	
$^{\circ}K^{0}$	$^{\circ}K^0 = G^0(F)_y$	[Yu01, § 15]
$^{\circ}K^{i+1}$	$^{\circ}K^{i+1} = (^{\circ}K^{0})\vec{G}^{(i+1)}(F)_{y,(0,s_{0},,s_{i})}$	[Yu01, § 15]
$^{\circ} ho^{0}$	$^{\circ} ho^{0}$	[Yu01, § 15]
$^{\circ}\rho_{i+1}$	$^{\circ} ho_{i+1}$	[Yu01, § 15]
$\varphi^i$	$\phi_i _{\circ K^i}$	[Yu01, § 3]
$J_{i+1}$	$J^{i+1} = (G^i, G^{i+1}) (F)_{y, (r_i, s_i)}$	[Yu01, § 3]
$V_{i+1}$	$J^{i+1}/J^{i+1}_{+} = (G^{i}, G^{i+1}) (F)_{y,(r_{i},s_{i})}/$	[Yu01, § 3]
	$\left(G^{i},G^{i+1} ight)\left(F ight)_{y,\left(r_{i},s_{i}^{+} ight)}$	
$V_{i+1}^{\sharp}$	$(G^{i}, G^{i+1})(F)_{y,(r_{i},s_{i})}/\ker\left(\widehat{\phi}_{i}\Big _{(G^{i},G^{i+1})(F)_{y,(r_{i},s_{i}^{+})}}\right)$	[Yu01, § 4]
$Z_{i+1}$	$\ker\left(V_{i+1}^{\sharp} \to V_{i+1}\right)$	[Yu01, § 11]
$(f_{j+1}, j_{i+1})$	(f,j)	[Yu01, §11]
$\langle , \rangle_{i+1}$	$\langle , \rangle$	[Yu01, § 11]

Table 5.1. Notation conversion chart.

representation of the Jacobi group  $\operatorname{Sp}(V_{i+1}) \ltimes V_{i+1}^{\sharp}$ , whose restriction to  $V_{i+1}^{\sharp}$  has central character  $\psi_{i+1}$ . Pull-back along  $h_{i+1}$  to form  $h_{i+1}^{*}(W_{i+1})$ , a representation of  ${}^{\circ}K^{i} \ltimes J_{i+1}$ . Write  $\operatorname{inf}({}^{\circ}\rho_{i})$  for the representation of  ${}^{\circ}K^{i} \ltimes J_{i+1}$  obtained by pulling back  ${}^{\circ}\rho_{i}$  along  ${}^{\circ}K^{i} \ltimes J_{i+1} \to {}^{\circ}K^{i}$ . Consider the representation

(5.3) 
$$^{\circ}\rho^{i+1} := h_{i+1}^{*}(W_{i+1}) \otimes \inf(^{\circ}\rho_{i})$$

of  ${}^{\circ}K^i \ltimes J_{i+1}$ . By [Yu01], the representation  ${}^{\circ}\rho^{i+1}$  of  ${}^{\circ}K^i \ltimes J_{i+1}$  is trivial on  ${}^{\circ}K^i \cap J_{i+1}$ so  ${}^{\circ}\rho^{i+1}$  descends to  ${}^{\circ}K^{i+1}$ . Set  ${}^{\circ}\rho_{i+1} = {}^{\circ}\rho^{i+1} \otimes \varphi^{i+1}$ . This completes the recursive definition of the Yu ( ${}^{\circ}K^i, {}^{\circ}\rho_i$ ) for  $i = 0, \ldots, d$ . By [Yu15, Proposition 10.2] there is a sequence

$$\underline{G}^0 \to \underline{G}^1 \to \cdots \to \underline{G}^d = \underline{G}$$

of morphisms of affine smooth group schemes of finite type over R such that, on R-points it gives the sequence  ${}^{\circ}K^{0} \subseteq {}^{\circ}K^{1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq {}^{\circ}K^{d}$  above. Indeed, this is the main result of [Yu15].

As explained in [Yu15, § 10.4], there is morphism of affine smooth group schemes of finite type over R

$$\underline{J}^i \to \underline{G},$$

for each  $i = 0, \ldots d$ , such that  $\underline{J}^i(R) = J_i$  as a subgroup of G and such that the image of the R-points under the multiplication map  $\underline{J}^0 \times \cdots \times \underline{J}^i \to \underline{G}$  is  ${}^{\circ}K^i$ , for  $i = 0, \ldots, d$ . There is a natural action of  $\underline{G}^i$  on  $\underline{J}^{i+1}$  in the category of smooth affine group schemes over R so that the group scheme

Commutative character sheaves and geometric types

$$\underline{G}^{i} \ltimes \underline{J}^{i+1}$$
 gives  $\left(\underline{G}^{i} \ltimes \underline{J}^{i+1}\right)(R) = {}^{\circ}K^{i} \ltimes J_{i+1}.$ 

Write  $\underline{J}_k^{i+1}$  for the special fibre  $\underline{J}^{i+1} \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(R)} \operatorname{Spec}(k)$  of  $\underline{J}^{i+1}$ . The vector space  $V_{i+1}$  may realized as the k-points on a variety  $V^{i+1}$  over k, where  $V^{i+1}$ , appears as a quotient  $\underline{J}_k^{i+1} \to V^{i+1}$  of algebraic groups over k. Then the quotient  $J_{i+1} \to V_{i+1}$  is realized as the composition

$$\underline{J}^{i+1}(R) \to \underline{J}^{i+1}(k) = \underline{J}^{i+1}_k(k) \to V^{i+1}(k) = V_{i+1}$$

Likewise, the Heisenberg *p*-group  $H_{i+1}$ , appearing in Section 5.3, may be realized as a quotient of algebraic groups, and  $\underline{J}_k^{i+1} \to H^{i+1}$  as the composition

$$\underline{J}^{i+1}(R) \to \underline{J}^{i+1}(k) = \underline{J}^{i+1}_k(k) \to H^{i+1}_k(k) = H_{i+1}.$$

Finally, the group homomorphism  $f_i : J_0 \cdots J_i \to \operatorname{Sp}(V_{i+1})$  may be made geometric in much the same way. Writing  $\underline{G}_k^i$  for the special fibre  $\underline{G}^i \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(R)} \operatorname{Spec}(k)$  of  $\underline{G}^i$ , and writing  $\underline{G}_k^{i, \operatorname{red}}$  for the reductive quotient of  $\underline{G}_k^i$ , there is a quotient of algebraic groups  $\underline{G}_k^{i, \operatorname{red}} \to W_k^{i+1}$  so that  $f_i : J_0 \cdots J_i \to \operatorname{Sp}(V_{i+1})$  is realized as the composition

$$\underline{G}^{i}(R) \to \underline{G}^{i}(k) = \underline{G}^{i}_{k}(k) \to \underline{G}^{i, \operatorname{red}}_{k}(k) \to W^{i+1}_{k}(k) = \operatorname{Sp}(V_{i+1}).$$

With all this, we may revisit the quotients appearing in Section 5.3:

where the last two rows are now understood as forming a diagram in the category of algebraic groups over k. This realizes the Jacobi group  $\operatorname{Sp}(V_{i+1}) \ltimes V_{i+1}^{\sharp}$  as a quotient of the special fibre of the smooth group scheme  $\underline{G}^i \ltimes \underline{J}^{i+1}$  over R.

We may now revisit the ingredients in the construction of the representation  $\rho$  of  $\underline{G}(R)$  along the lines indicated by Yu and recalled in Section 5.3.

- (M0) The compact groups  ${}^{\circ}K^{i}$  appearing in (Y0) have been replaced by the smooth group schemes  $\underline{G}^{i}$ .
- (M1) The continuous representation  ${}^{\circ}\rho^{0}$  of  ${}^{\circ}K^{0}$  appearing in (Y1) may be interpreted as a representation of  $\underline{G}^{0}(R)$  obtained by inflation along  $\underline{G}^{0}(R) \rightarrow \underline{G}^{0}(k)$  from a representation  $\varrho_{0}$  of  $\underline{G}^{0}(k) = \underline{G}^{0}_{k}(k)$ . In fact,  $\varrho_{0}$  is itself obtained by pulling back a representation  $\varrho_{0}^{\text{red}}$ ; this is a consequence of [Yu15, § 10.5 (i)].
- (M2) The quasicharacters  $\varphi^i$  appearing in (Y2) are now quasicharacters of  $\underline{G}^i(R)$ , for  $i = 0, \ldots, d$ . In fact, if  $r_i$  is the level of  $\varphi^i$ , as it appears in Section 5.1, then  $\varphi^i$  is obtained by pulling back a quasicharacter  $\varphi_i := \varphi_{r_i}^i$  of the smooth group scheme  $G_i := G_{r_i}^i := \operatorname{Gr}_{r_i}^R(\underline{G}^i)$  along  $G^i \to G_i$ .

(M3) Diagram (5.2) in (Y3) is now replaced by the following diagram of smooth group schemes over R.

$$(5.4) \qquad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ \underline{G}^{i} \times_{\underline{G}} \underline{J}^{i+1} \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \longrightarrow \underline{J}^{i+1} \longrightarrow \underline{G}^{i} \ltimes \underline{J}^{i+1} \longrightarrow \underline{G}^{i} \longrightarrow 1 \\ \downarrow \\ \underline{G}^{i+1} \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array}$$

(M4) The representation  $h_{i+1}^*(W_{i+1})$  appearing in (Y4) is now obtained by pulling back a representation along

$$\left(\underline{G}^{i}\ltimes\underline{J}^{i+1}\right)(R)\to\left(\underline{G}^{i}\ltimes\underline{J}^{i+1}\right)(k).$$

Let  $w_{i+1}$  be that representation of  $(\underline{G}^i \ltimes \underline{J}^{i+1})(k) = (\underline{G}^i_k \ltimes \underline{J}^{i+1}_k)(k)$ . Then  $w_{i+1}$  is itself obtained by pulling back the representation  $W_{i+1}$  along the k-points of the quotient

$$\underline{G}_k^i \ltimes \underline{J}_k^{i+1} \to \operatorname{Sp}(V_{i+1}) \ltimes V_{i+1}^\sharp.$$

This brings us back to the point made in [Yu15, § 10.5] as quoted in the Introduction to this paper.

### 5.4. Geometrization of characters of certain types

We may now give the main result of Section 5, Theorem 5.2. Since Yu's theory refers to complex representations, and since our geometrization uses  $\ell$ -adic sheaves, we grit our teeth and fix an isomorphism  $\mathbb{C} \approx \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ .

As we recalled in Section 5.3, a Yu type datum consists of compact groups  ${}^{\circ}K^{i}$ , a representation  ${}^{\circ}\rho^{0}$  of  ${}^{\circ}K^{0}$  and quasicharacters  $\varphi^{i}$  of  ${}^{\circ}K^{i}$ , for  $i = 0, \ldots, d$ ; see (**Y0**), (**Y1**) and (**Y2**). In Section 5.3 we also saw how a Yu type datum determines smooth group schemes  $\underline{G}^{i}$ , a representation  $\varrho_{0}^{\text{red}}$  of the reductive quotient  $(\underline{G}^{0})_{k}^{\text{red}}$  of the special fibre  $\underline{G}_{k}^{0}$  of  $\underline{G}^{0}$ , and quasicharacters  $\varphi_{i}$  of the group of k-rational points on the level- $r_{i}$  Greenberg transform  $G_{i}$  of  $\underline{G}^{i}$ ; see (**M0**), (**M1**) and (**M2**). Theorem 5.2 places the following conditions on the Yu type datum:

(H1) for each  $i = 0, \ldots, d$ , either

- (a)  $G_i$  is reductive, or
- (b) the quasicharacter  $\varphi_i$  of  $G_i$  is trivial on  $G_{i, der}(k)$ .

Hypothesis (**H1a**) may be used to geometrize each quasicharacter  $\varphi_i$  inside the Grothendieck group  $\mathsf{K}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}\mathsf{D}_{c,G_i}^b(G_i; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ . Alternatively, Hypothesis (**H1b**) allows us to use Proposition 5.1 to geometrize each quasicharacter  $\varphi_i$  using local systems on  $G_i$ , or more precisely, using commutative character sheaves on  $G_i$ . Using Sections 4.1 and 4.2 we see that, in both cases, the resulting geometrization may be interpreted as an element in  $\mathsf{K}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}\mathsf{D}_{c,G_i}^b(G_i; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ . Note that when Hypothesis (**H1b**) applies, it provides a considerably simpler geometrization than when Hypothesis (**H1a**) applies.

We give examples illustrating Hypothesis (H1b): first, an example of a quasicharacter that does not vanish on  $G_{i, der}(k)$ , and then a class of Yu data where it is always satisfied. Let  $G = SL_2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_2$ . Then the hyperspecial maximal compact  ${}^{\circ}K^0$ reduces to  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^{d+1}\mathbb{Z})$  at depth d. The derived subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  has index 2, while it has index 4 in positive depth. There is therefore a character  $\varphi^0$  of K of order 4 and depth 1 factoring through the quotient of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$  by its derived subgroup. Taking the trivial representation for  ${}^{\circ}\rho^0$  gives a datum not satisfying (H1b). Note that this datum does not satisfy (H1a) either since the depth 1 Greenberg transform of the hyperspecial  ${}^{\circ}K^0$  is not reductive.

In contrast, there is a broad class of representations that automatically satisfy (**H1b**). Recall that a representation is *toral* ([Adl98] and [Kal19, § 6]) if it arises from a Yu datum of the form  $(S \subset G, {}^{\circ}\rho^{0} = 1, (\varphi^{d-1}, 1))$  where S is an elliptic maximal torus and  $\varphi^{d-1}$  is G-generic character of S(F) of positive depth. Since S is abelian, it has trivial derived subgroup and thus (**H1b**) is satisfied. Toral representations include epipelagic representations [RY14] and the representations considered by Reeder in [Ree08].

THEOREM 5.2. — Let  $({}^{\circ}K^{i}, {}^{\circ}\rho^{0}, \varphi^{i}, d)$  be a Yu type datum that satisfies Hypotheses (H1), and let  ${}^{\circ}\rho_{i}$  be the representation of  ${}^{\circ}K^{i}$  constructed from it in Section 5.3. Then for each  $i = 0, \ldots, d$  there is an element  $\mathcal{F}_{i} \in \mathsf{K}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}}\mathsf{D}^{b}_{c,G^{i}}(G^{i}; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$  such that

$$t_{\mathcal{F}_i} = \operatorname{Tr}(\circ \rho_i)$$

*Proof.* — Recall that  $G^i(k) = \underline{G}^i(R) = {}^{\circ}K^i$ , canonically. Let  $r_i$  be the level of  $\varphi^i$  as defined in Section 5.1. Set  $r = \max\{r_i \mid i = 0, \ldots, d\}$ .

By [Lus04, Theorem 21.21], there is  $A \in \mathsf{K}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}}\mathsf{D}^{b}_{c, (\underline{G}^{0})^{\mathrm{red}}_{L^{*}}}((\underline{G}^{0})^{\mathrm{red}}_{k}; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$  such that

$$t_A = \operatorname{Tr} \varrho_0^{\operatorname{red}}.$$

Let  $A^0 \in \mathsf{K}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}\mathsf{D}^b_{c,(\underline{G}^0)_k}((\underline{G}^0)_k; \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  be obtained by pullback along the quotient  $(\underline{G}^0)_k \to (\underline{G}^0)^{\mathrm{red}}_k$ . Then

$$t_{A^0} = \operatorname{Tr} \varrho_0.$$

The special fibre  $(\underline{G}^0)_k$  of the smooth group scheme  $\underline{G}^0$  is itself a smooth group scheme, and may be identified with the Greenberg transform  $Q^0 = \operatorname{Gr}_0^R(\underline{G}^0)$  [CR18, § 4.3]. With  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  as above, let  $A_r^0$  be the equivariant Weil sheaf on the algebraic group  $G_r^i$  obtained by pull-back from  $A^0$  along the affine morphism  $G_r^i \to Q^0$ . Factor



Observe that  $\operatorname{Tr}({}^{\circ}\rho^{0})_{r}$  may be recovered from  $A_{r}^{0}$ :

$$t_{A_r^0} = \operatorname{Tr}({}^{\circ}\rho^0)_r$$

Consider the Jacobi group  $\operatorname{Sp}(V_{i+1}) \ltimes V_{i+1}^{\sharp}$  and the Heisenberg–Weil representation  $W_{i+1}$  appearing in Section 5.3. Let  $\mathcal{K}^{i+1}$  be the conjugation equivariant Weil sheaf on the Jacobi group, recalled in Section 5.2, such that

$$t_{\mathcal{K}^{i+1}} = \operatorname{Tr}(W_{i+1}).$$

Recall from Section 5.3 that  $\operatorname{Sp}(V_{i+1}) \ltimes V_{i+1}^{\sharp}$  is a quotient of the special fibre of the smooth group scheme  $\underline{G}^i \ltimes \underline{J}^{i+1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}_0^{i+1}$  be the Weil sheaf on the special fibre of  $\underline{G}^i \ltimes \underline{J}^{i+1}$  obtained from  $W_{i+1}$  by pullback. Let  $\mathcal{K}_r^{i+1}$  be the equivariant Weil sheaf on  $\operatorname{Gr}_r^R(\underline{G}^i \ltimes \underline{J}^{i+1})$  obtained from  $\mathcal{K}_0^{i+1}$  by pullback along the affine morphism  $\operatorname{Gr}_r^R(\underline{G}^i \ltimes \underline{J}^{i+1}) \to \operatorname{Gr}_0^R(\underline{G}^i \ltimes \underline{J}^{i+1})$ .

We now define  $\mathcal{A}_r^i \in \mathsf{K}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathsf{D}_{c, G_r^i}^b$  for  $i = 0, \ldots, d$  recursively, following the construction of the representations  ${}^{\circ}\rho^i$ , as reviewed in Section 5.3. First, set  $\mathcal{A}_r^0 = \mathcal{A}_r^0$  and note that (5.5) commutes with  $\operatorname{Tr}({}^{\circ}\rho^0)_r$  replaced by  $t_{\mathcal{A}_r^0}$ . Using Hypothesis (**H1**), let  $\mathcal{L}_i$ be the geometrization of the quasicharacter  $\varphi_i := \varphi_{r_i}^i$  appearing in (**M2**). If (**H1a**) applies, then  $\mathcal{L}_i \in \mathsf{K}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \mathsf{D}_{c, G_i}^b(G_i; \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ , using [Lus04, Theorem 21.21]; if (**H1b**) applies, then  $\mathcal{L}_i \in \mathcal{CCS}(G_i)$ , using Proposition 5.1. In either case,  $\mathcal{L}_i \in \mathsf{K}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \mathsf{D}_{c, G_i}^b(G_i; \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  and

$$t_{\mathcal{L}_i} = \varphi_i.$$

For each *i*, let  $\mathcal{L}_r^i$  be the pull-back of  $\mathcal{L}_i$  along  $G_r^i \to G_i$ ; then  $\mathcal{L}_r^i$  is a linear character sheaf and

$$t_{\mathcal{L}_{-}^{i}} = \varphi_{r}^{i}.$$

Now, suppose  $\mathcal{A}_r^i$  on  $G_r^i$  is defined such that



ANNALES HENRI LEBESGUE

commutes. Applying the Greenberg functor  $\operatorname{Gr}_r^R$  to (5.4) gives

$$(5.6) \qquad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ G_r^i \times_{G_r} J_r^{i+1} \\ \downarrow \\ I \longrightarrow J_r^{i+1} \longrightarrow G_r^i \ltimes J_r^{i+1} \xrightarrow{p_r^i} G_r^i \longrightarrow 1 \\ \downarrow \pi_r^{i+1} \\ G_r^{i+1} \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array}$$

where  $J_r^{i+1} := \operatorname{Gr}_r^R(\underline{J}^{i+1})$  and  $G_r^i := \operatorname{Gr}_r^R(\underline{G}^i)$ . By [BGA18, Proposition 14.2], the sequences are exact. Consider  $\mathcal{B}_r^{i+1} \in \mathsf{K}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}\mathsf{D}^b_{c,\,G_r^i \ltimes J_r^{i+1}}(G_r^i \ltimes J_r^{i+1}; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_{r}^{i+1} := \mathcal{K}_{r}^{i+1} \otimes \left(p_{r}^{i}\right)^{*} \left(\mathcal{A}_{r}^{i} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{r}^{i}\right).$$

Comparing with (5.3), we see that  $t_{\mathcal{B}_r^{i+1}}$  is precisely the function obtained by factoring the character of  ${}^{\circ}\rho^{i+1}$  through  $(\underline{G}^i \ltimes \underline{J}^{i+1})(R) \to (\underline{G}^i \ltimes \underline{J}^{i+1})(R_r)$  using the canonical identification  $(G_r^i \times_{G_r} J_r^{i+1})(k) = (\underline{G}^i \ltimes \underline{J}^{i+1})(R_r)$ . In particular,  $t_{\mathcal{B}_r^{i+1}}$  is constant on  $(G_r^i \times_{G_r} J_r^{i+1})(k)$ , taking the value dim  ${}^{\circ}\rho^{i+1}$ . With reference to the morphism  $\pi_r^{i+1}: G_r^i \ltimes J_r^{i+1} \to G_r^{i+1}$  from (5.6), define

$$\mathcal{C}_r^{i+1} := \left(\pi_r^{i+1}\right)_! \left(\mathcal{B}_r^{i+1}\right).$$

Then  $\mathcal{C}_r^{i+1} \in \mathsf{K}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \mathsf{D}^b_{c, G_r^{i+1}}(G_r^{i+1}; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  and

$$t_{\mathcal{C}_r^{i+1}}(x) = \sum_{y \in (\pi_r^{i+1})^{-1}(x)} t_{\mathcal{B}_r^{i+1}}(y).$$

Since  $t_{\mathcal{B}_r^{i+1}}$  is constant on  $(G_r^i \times_{G_r} J_r^{i+1})(k)$ , it follows that

$$t_{\mathcal{C}_r^{i+1}} = n t_{\mathcal{B}_r^{i+1}}$$

on  $G_r^{i+1}(k)$  with n equal to the product of  $\#(G_r^i \times_{G_r} J_r^{i+1})(k)$  and dim  ${}^{\circ}\rho^{i+1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_r^{i+1}$  be the element of  $\mathsf{K}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}\mathsf{D}^b_{c,G_r^{i+1}}(G_r^{i+1};\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  given by  $\mathcal{A}_r^{i+1} = \frac{1}{n}\mathcal{C}_r^{i+1}$ . This completes the inductive definition of  $\mathcal{A}_r^i$  so that the following diagram commutes.



TOME 4 (2021)

Now set  $\mathcal{F}_r^i = \mathcal{A}_r^i \otimes \mathcal{L}_r^i$ , for  $i = 0, \ldots, d$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_r^i \in \mathsf{K}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}\mathsf{D}^b_{c, G^i_r}(G^i_r; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  such that



commutes. Define  $\mathcal{F}^i \in \mathsf{K}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}\mathsf{D}^b_{c,G^i}(G^i; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  by pulling back  $\mathcal{F}^i_r$  along  $G^i \to G^i_r$ . Then

$$t_{\mathcal{F}^i} = \mathrm{Tr}(\,{}^{\circ}\rho_i),$$

as desired.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [Adl98] Jeffrey D. Adler, Refined anisotropic K-types and supercuspidal representations, Pac. J. Math. 185 (1998), no. 1, 1–32. ↑1415
- [Ber70] Jean-Étienne Bertin, Exposé VI<sub>B</sub> : Généralités sur les préschémas en groupes, Propriétés générales des schémas en groupes. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie, Schémas en groupes I (SGA3) (Michel Demazure and Alexander Grothendieck, eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 151, Springer, 1970, pp. 318–410. ↑1396
- [BGA18] Alessandra Bertapelle and Cristian D. González-Avilés, The Greenberg functor revisited, Eur. J. Math. 4 (2018), no. 4, 1340–1389. ↑1409, 1417
- [BK98] Colin J. Bushnell and Philip C. Kutzko, Smooth representations of reductive p-adic groups: structure theory via types, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 77 (1998), no. 3, 582–634. ↑1390
- [BL94] Joseph Bernstein and Valery Lunts, Equivariant sheaves and functors, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1578, Springer, 1994. ↑1399, 1407
- [CR18] Clifton Cunningham and David Roe, From the function-sheaf dictionary to quasicharacters of p-adic tori, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 17 (2018), no. 1, 1–37. ↑1391, 1392, 1393, 1394, 1395, 1396, 1400, 1402, 1403, 1404, 1405, 1410, 1415
- [Del77] Pierre Deligne, Cohomologie étale. Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA 4 1/2 par P. Deligne, avec la collaboration de J.F. Boutot, A. Grothendieck, L. Illusie et J. L. Verdier, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 569, Springer, 1977. ↑1391
- [Del80] \_\_\_\_\_, *La conjecture de Weil. II*, Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. (1980), no. 52, 137–252. ↑1393
- [FGI<sup>+</sup>05] Barbara Fantechi, Lothar Göttsche, Luc Illusie, Kleimand Steven L., Nitin Nitsure, and Angelo Vistoli, *Fundamental algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 123, American Mathematical Society, 2005. ↑1399
- [Fin18] Jessica Fintzen, Types for tame p-adic groups, http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04198, 2018. ↑1390
- [Fin19] \_\_\_\_\_, On the construction of tame supercuspidal representations, http://arxiv.org/ abs/1908.09819, 2019. ↑1390
- [Gab70] Pierre Gabriel, Exposé VI<sub>A</sub>: Généralités sur les groupes algébriques, Propriétés générales des schémas en groupes. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie, Schémas en groupes I (SGA3) (Michel Demazure and Alexander Grothendieck, eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 151, Springer, 1970, pp. 287–317. ↑1396, 1399

- [GH07] Shamgar Gurevich and Ronny Hadani, The geometric Weil representation, Sel. Math., New Ser. 13 (2007), no. 3, 465–481. ↑1392, 1410
- [GH11] \_\_\_\_\_, The categorical Weil representation, http://arxiv.org/abs/1108.0351, 2011. ^1410
- [Gro67] Alexandre Grothendieck, Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV. étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. IV, Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. (1967), no. 32, 1–361, rédigé avec la collaboration de Jean Dieudonné. ↑1393
- [Hak18] Jeffrey Hakim, Constructing tame supercuspidal representations, Represent. Theory 22 (2018), 45–86. ↑1392
- [Kal19] Tasho Kaletha, Regular supercuspidal representations, J. Am. Math. Soc. 32 (2019), 1071–1170. ↑1392, 1415
- [Kam09] Masoud Kamgarpour, Stacky abelianization of algebraic groups, Transform. Groups 14 (2009), no. 4, 825–846. <sup>1392</sup>, 1394
- [Kim07] Ju-Lee Kim, Supercuspidal representations: an exhaustion theorem, J. Am. Math. Soc. 20 (2007), no. 2, 273–320. ↑1390
- [Lus85] George Lusztig, Character sheaves. I, Adv. Math. 56 (1985), 193–237. ↑1392
- [Lus86] \_\_\_\_\_, Character sheaves. V, Adv. Math. 61 (1986), no. 2, 103–155. <sup>1407</sup>
- [Lus04] \_\_\_\_\_, Character sheaves on disconnected groups. IV, Represent. Theory 8 (2004), 145–178. ↑1407, 1415, 1416
- [Ree08] Mark Reeder, Supercuspidal L-packets of positive depth and twisted Coxeter elements, J. Reine Angew. Math. 620 (2008), 1–33. ↑1415
- [RY14] Mark Reeder and Jiu-Kang Yu, Epipelagic representations and invariant theory, J. Am. Math. Soc. 27 (2014), no. 2, 437–477. ↑1415
- [Yu01] Jiu-Kang Yu, Construction of tame supercuspidal representations, J. Am. Math. Soc. 14 (2001), no. 3, 579–622. ↑1390, 1411, 1412
- [Yu15] \_\_\_\_\_, Smooth models associated to concave functions in Bruhat-Tits theory, Autour des schémas en groupes – École d'Été franco-asiatique de géométrie algébrique et de théorie des nombres. Volume III, Panoramas et Synthèses, vol. 47, Société Mathématique de France, 2015, http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/PanoramasSyntheses/2016/47/ html/smf\_pano-synth\_47\_227-258.php, pp. 227-258. ↑1390, 1392, 1412, 1413, 1414

Manuscript received on 3rd July 2019, revised on 20th October 2020, accepted on 6th January 2021.

Recommended by Editor B. Edixhoven. Published under license CC BY 4.0.



This journal is a member of Centre Mersenne.



Clifton CUNNINGHAM Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive Northwest, Calgary, AB, (Canada), T2N 1N4 cunning@math.ucalgary.ca David ROE Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave, Cambridge, MA, (United States), 02139 roed@mit.edu