



ANNALES  
HENRI LEBESGUE

---

ANTON BERNSHTEYN

---

# BOREL FRACTIONAL COLORINGS OF SCHREIER GRAPHS

COLORIAGES FRACTIONNAIRES BORÉLIENS  
DE GRAPHES DE SCHREIER

---

**ABSTRACT.** — Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable group and let  $G$  be the Schreier graph of the free part of the Bernoulli shift  $\Gamma \curvearrowright 2^\Gamma$  (with respect to some finite subset  $F \subseteq \Gamma$ ). We show that the Borel fractional chromatic number of  $G$  is equal to 1 over the measurable independence number of  $G$ . As a consequence, we asymptotically determine the Borel fractional chromatic number of  $G$  when  $\Gamma$  is the free group, answering a question of Meehan.

**RÉSUMÉ.** — Soit  $\Gamma$  un groupe dénombrable. Considérons  $G$  le graphe de Schreier de la partie libre du décalage de Bernoulli  $\Gamma \curvearrowright 2^\Gamma$  (par rapport à un ensemble fini  $F \subseteq \Gamma$ ). Nous montrons que le nombre chromatique fractionnaire borélien de  $G$  est égal à 1 sur le nombre d'indépendance mesurable de  $G$ . Comme conséquence, nous déterminons l'asymptotique du nombre chromatique fractionnaire borélien de  $G$  lorsque  $\Gamma$  est le groupe libre, ce qui répond à une question de Meehan.

## 1. Definitions and results

All graphs in this paper are undirected and simple. Recall that for a graph  $G$ , a subset  $I \subseteq V(G)$  is  $G$ -independent if no two vertices in  $I$  are adjacent in  $G$ . The chromatic number of  $G$ , denoted by  $\chi(G)$ , is the least  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that there exist

---

*Keywords:* fractional coloring, Borel sets, Borel combinatorics, Schreier graph, group action, symbolic dynamics.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 03E15, 05C15, 37B10.

*DOI:* <https://doi.org/10.5802/ahl.145>

(\*) This research is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-2045412.

$G$ -independent sets  $I_1, \dots, I_\ell$  whose union is  $V(G)$ . (If no such  $\ell$  exists, we set  $\chi(G) := \infty$ .) The sequence  $I_1, \dots, I_\ell$  is called an  $\ell$ -coloring of  $G$ , where we think of the vertices in  $I_i$  as being assigned the color  $i$ .

Fractional coloring is a well-studied relaxation of graph coloring. For an introduction to this topic, see the book [SU97] by Scheinerman and Ullman. Given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $k$ -fold chromatic number of  $G$ , denoted by  $\chi^k(G)$ , is the least  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that there are  $G$ -independent sets  $I_1, \dots, I_\ell$  which cover every vertex of  $G$  at least  $k$  times (such a sequence  $I_1, \dots, I_\ell$  is called a  $k$ -fold  $\ell$ -coloring). Note that the sets  $I_1, \dots, I_\ell$  need not be distinct. In particular, if  $I_1, \dots, I_{\chi(G)}$  is a  $\chi(G)$ -coloring of  $G$ , then, by repeating each set  $k$  times, we obtain a  $k$ -fold  $k\chi(G)$ -coloring, which shows that

$$\chi^k(G) \leq k\chi(G) \quad \text{for all } k.$$

This inequality can be strict; for example, the 5-cycle  $C_5$  satisfies  $\chi(C_5) = 3$  but  $\chi^2(C_5) = 5$ . It is therefore natural to define the fractional chromatic number  $\chi^*(G)$  of  $G$  by the formula

$$\chi^*(G) := \inf_{k \geq 1} \frac{\chi^k(G)}{k}.$$

In this note we investigate fractional colorings from the standpoint of Borel combinatorics. For a general overview of Borel combinatorics, see the surveys [KM20] by Keechris and Marks and [Pik21] by Pikhurko. The study of fractional colorings in this setting was initiated by Meehan [Mee18]; see also [KM20, § 8.6]. We say that a graph  $G$  is *Borel* if  $V(G)$  is a standard Borel space and  $E(G)$  is a Borel subset of  $V(G) \times V(G)$ . The Borel chromatic number  $\chi_B(G)$  of  $G$  is the least  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that there exist Borel  $G$ -independent sets  $I_1, \dots, I_\ell$  whose union is  $V(G)$ . The Borel  $k$ -fold chromatic number  $\chi_B^k(G)$  is defined analogously, and the Borel fractional chromatic number  $\chi_B^*(G)$  is

$$\chi_B^*(G) := \inf_{k \geq 1} \frac{\chi_B^k(G)}{k}.$$

A particularly important class of Borel graphs are Schreier graphs of group actions. Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable group with identity element  $\mathbf{1}$  and let  $F \subseteq \Gamma$  be a finite subset. The Cayley graph  $G(\Gamma, F)$  of  $\Gamma$  is the graph with vertex set  $\Gamma$  in which two distinct group elements  $\gamma, \delta$  are adjacent if and only if  $\gamma = \sigma\delta$  for some  $\sigma \in F \cup F^{-1}$ . This definition can be extended as follows. Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a Borel action of  $\Gamma$  on a standard Borel space  $X$ . The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is *free* if

$$\gamma \cdot x \neq x \quad \text{for all } x \in X \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{1} \neq \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

The Schreier graph  $G(X, F)$  of an action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is the graph with vertex set  $X$  in which two distinct points  $x, y \in X$  are adjacent if and only if  $y = \sigma \cdot x$  for some  $\sigma \in F \cup F^{-1}$ . Note that the Cayley graph  $G(\Gamma, F)$  is a special case of this construction corresponding to the left multiplication action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Gamma$ . More generally, when the action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is free,  $G(X, F)$  is obtained by putting a copy of the Cayley graph  $G(\Gamma, F)$  onto each orbit.

A crucial example of a Borel action is the (Bernoulli) shift  $\Gamma \curvearrowright 2^\Gamma$ , given by the formula

$$(\gamma \cdot x)(\delta) := x(\delta\gamma) \quad \text{for all } x: \Gamma \rightarrow 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma.$$

We use  $\beta$  to denote the “coin flip” probability measure on  $2^\Gamma$ , obtained as the product of countably many copies of the uniform probability measure on  $2 = \{0, 1\}$ . Note that  $\beta$  is invariant under the shift action. The *free part* of  $2^\Gamma$ , denoted by  $\text{Free}(2^\Gamma)$ , is the set of all points  $x \in 2^\Gamma$  with trivial stabilizer. In other words,  $\text{Free}(2^\Gamma)$  is the largest subspace of  $2^\Gamma$  on which the shift action is free. It is easy to see that the shift action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright 2^\Gamma$  is free  $\beta$ -almost everywhere, i.e.,  $\beta(\text{Free}(2^\Gamma)) = 1$ .

Let  $G$  be a Borel graph and let  $\mu$  be a probability (Borel) measure on  $V(G)$ . The  $\mu$ -independence number of  $G$  is the quantity  $\alpha_\mu(G) := \sup_I \mu(I)$ , where the supremum is taken over all  $\mu$ -measurable  $G$ -independent subsets  $I \subseteq V(G)$ . Note that if  $I_1, \dots, I_\ell$  is a Borel  $k$ -fold  $\ell$ -coloring of  $G$ , then

$$\ell \alpha_\mu(G) \geq \mu(I_1) + \dots + \mu(I_\ell) \geq k,$$

which implies  $\chi_B^*(G) \geq 1/\alpha_\mu(G)$ . Our main result is a matching upper bound for Schreier graphs:

**THEOREM 1.1.** — *Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable group and let  $F \subseteq \Gamma$  be a finite set. If  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is a free Borel action on a standard Borel space, then*

$$(1.1) \quad \chi_B^*(G(X, F)) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_\beta(G(\text{Free}(2^\Gamma), F))}.$$

In particular,

$$(1.2) \quad \chi_B^*(G(\text{Free}(2^\Gamma), F)) = \frac{1}{\alpha_\beta(G(\text{Free}(2^\Gamma), F))}.$$

While (1.2) is a special case of (1.1), it is possible to deduce (1.1) from (1.2) using a theorem of Seward and Tucker–Drob [STD16], which asserts that every free Borel action of  $\Gamma$  admits a Borel  $\Gamma$ -equivariant map to  $\text{Free}(2^\Gamma)$ . Nevertheless, we will give a simple direct proof of (1.1) in § 2.

An interesting feature of Theorem 1.1 is that it establishes a precise relationship between a Borel parameter  $\chi_B^*$  and a measurable parameter  $\alpha_\beta$ . We find this somewhat surprising, since ignoring sets of measure 0 usually significantly reduces the difficulty of problems in Borel combinatorics. For instance, given a Borel graph  $G$  and a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $V(G)$ , one can consider the  $\mu$ -measurable chromatic number  $\chi_\mu(G)$ , i.e., the least  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that there exist  $\mu$ -measurable  $G$ -independent sets  $I_1, \dots, I_\ell$  whose union is  $V(G)$ . By definition,  $\chi_\mu(G) \leq \chi_B(G)$ , and it is often the case that this inequality is strict—see [KM20, § 6] for a number of examples. By contrast, as an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 we obtain the opposite inequality  $\chi_B^*(G) \leq \chi_\beta(G)$ , where  $G$  is the Schreier graph of the free part of the shift:

**COROLLARY 1.2.** — *Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable group and let  $F \subseteq \Gamma$  be a finite set. Set  $G := G(\text{Free}(2^\Gamma), F)$ . Then  $\chi_B^*(G) \leq \chi_\beta(G)$ .*

*Proof.* — Follows from Theorem 1.1 and the inequality  $\alpha_\beta(G) \geq 1/\chi_\beta(G)$ . □

As a concrete application of Theorem 1.1, consider the free group case. For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\mathbb{F}_n$  be the free group of rank  $n$  generated freely by elements  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  and let  $G_n$  denote the Schreier graph of the free part of the shift action  $\mathbb{F}_n \curvearrowright 2^{\mathbb{F}_n}$  with respect to the set  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ . Then every connected component of  $G_n$  is an (infinite)

$2n$ -regular tree. In particular, the chromatic number of  $G_n$  is 2. On the other hand, Marks [Mar16] proved that  $\chi_B(G_n) = 2n + 1$ . Meehan inquired where between these two extremes the Borel fractional chromatic number of  $G_n$  lies:

QUESTION 1.3 ([Mee18, Question 4.6.3]; see also [KM20, Problem 8.17]). — *What is the Borel fractional chromatic number of  $G_n$ ? Is it always equal to 2?*

Using Theorem 1.1 together with some known results we asymptotically determine  $\chi_B^*(G_n)$  (and, in particular, give a negative answer to the second part of Question 1.3):

COROLLARY 1.4. — *For all  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\chi_B^*(G_n) = (2 + o(1)) \frac{n}{\log n},$$

where  $o(1)$  denotes a function of  $n$  that approaches 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

In other words, the Borel fractional chromatic number of  $G_n$  is less than its ordinary Borel chromatic number roughly by a factor of  $\log n$ . We present the derivation of Corollary 1.4 in § 3.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We shall use the following theorem of Kechris, Solecki, and Todorcevic:

THEOREM 2.1 (Kechris–Solecki–Todorcevic [KST99, Proposition 4.6]). — *If  $G$  is a Borel graph of finite maximum degree  $d$ , then  $\chi_B(G) \leq d + 1$ .*

Fix a countable group  $\Gamma$  and a finite subset  $F \subseteq \Gamma$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\mathbf{1} \notin F$ . Say that a set  $I \subseteq 2^\Gamma$  is *independent* if  $I \cap (\sigma \cdot I) = \emptyset$  for all  $\sigma \in F$  (when  $I \subseteq \text{Free}(2^\Gamma)$ , this exactly means that  $I$  is  $G(\text{Free}(2^\Gamma), F)$ -independent). For brevity, let

$$\alpha_\beta := \alpha_\beta \left( G \left( \text{Free} \left( 2^\Gamma \right), F \right) \right).$$

LEMMA 2.2. — *For every  $\alpha < \alpha_\beta$ , there is a clopen independent set  $I \subseteq 2^\Gamma$  such that  $\beta(I) \geq \alpha$ .*

*Proof.* — Let  $J \subseteq \text{Free}(2^\Gamma)$  be a  $\beta$ -measurable independent set with  $\beta(J) > \alpha$ . Since  $\beta$  is regular [Kec95, Theorem 17.10] and  $2^\Gamma$  is zero-dimensional, there is a clopen set  $C \subseteq 2^\Gamma$  with

$$\mu(J \Delta C) \leq \frac{\beta(J) - \alpha}{|F| + 1}.$$

Set  $I := C \setminus \bigcup_{\sigma \in F} (\sigma \cdot C)$ . By construction,  $I$  is clopen and independent. Moreover, if  $x \in J \setminus I$ , then either  $x \in J \setminus C$  or  $x \in (\sigma \cdot C) \setminus (\sigma \cdot J)$  for some  $\sigma \in F$ . Therefore,

$$\beta(I) \geq \beta(J) - (|F| + 1)\beta(J \Delta C) \geq \alpha. \quad \square$$

Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a free Borel action on a standard Borel space. Fix an arbitrary clopen independent set  $I \subseteq 2^\Gamma$ . We will prove that  $\chi_B^*(G(X, F)) \leq 1/\beta(I)$ , which yields Theorem 1.1 by Lemma 2.2. Since  $I$  is clopen, there exist finite sets  $D \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $\Phi \subseteq 2^D$  such that

$$I = \left\{ x \in 2^\Gamma : x|_D \in \Phi \right\},$$

where  $x|_D$  denotes the restriction of  $x$  to  $D$ . Note that

$$\beta(I) = \frac{|\Phi|}{2^{|D|}}.$$

Let  $N := |DD^{-1}|$  and consider the graph  $H := G(X, DD^{-1})$ . Every vertex in  $H$  has precisely  $N - 1$  neighbors (we are subtracting 1 to account for the fact that a vertex is not adjacent to itself). By Theorem 2.1, this implies that  $\chi_B(H) \leq N$ . In other words, we may fix a Borel function  $f: X \rightarrow N$  such that  $f(u) \neq f(v)$  whenever  $u, v \in X$  are distinct points satisfying  $v \in DD^{-1} \cdot u$ . This implies that for each  $x \in X$ , the restriction of  $f$  to the set  $D \cdot x$  is injective. Now, to each mapping  $\varphi: N \rightarrow 2$ , we associate a Borel  $\Gamma$ -equivariant function  $\pi_\varphi: X \rightarrow 2^\Gamma$  as follows:

$$\pi_\varphi(x)(\gamma) := (\varphi \circ f)(\gamma \cdot x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X \quad \text{and } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Let  $I_\varphi := \pi_\varphi^{-1}(I)$ . Since  $\pi_\varphi$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant,  $I_\varphi$  is  $G(X, F)$ -independent. Consider any  $x \in X$  and let

$$S_x := \{f(\gamma \cdot x) : \gamma \in D\}.$$

By the choice of  $f$ ,  $S_x$  is a subset of  $N$  of size  $|D|$ . Whether or not  $x$  is in  $I_\varphi$  is determined by the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $S_x$ ; furthermore, there are exactly  $|\Phi|$  such restrictions that put  $x$  in  $I_\varphi$ . Thus, the number of mappings  $\varphi: N \rightarrow 2$  for which  $x \in I_\varphi$  is

$$|\Phi|2^{N-|D|} = \beta(I)2^N.$$

Since this holds for all  $x \in X$ , we conclude that the sets  $I_\varphi$  cover every point in  $X$  exactly  $\beta(I)2^N$  times. Therefore,  $\chi_B^*(G(X, F)) \leq 1/\beta(I)$ , as desired.

### 3. Proof of Corollary 1.4

Thanks to Theorem 1.1, in order to establish Corollary 1.4 it is enough to verify that

$$\alpha_\beta(G_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right) \frac{\log n}{n}.$$

There are a number of known constructions that witness the lower bound

$$\alpha_\beta(G_n) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right) \frac{\log n}{n};$$

see, e.g., [LW07] by Lauer and Wormald and [GG10] by Gamarnik and Goldberg. Moreover, by [Ber19, Corollary 1.2], even the inequality  $\chi_\beta(G_n) \leq (2 + o(1))n/\log n$  holds. For the upper bound

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha_\beta(G_n) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right) \frac{\log n}{n},$$

we shall use a theorem of Rahman and Virág [RV17], which says that the largest density of a factor of i.i.d. independent set in the  $d$ -regular tree is at most  $(1 + o(1)) \log d/d$ . In the remainder of this section we describe their result and explain how it implies the desired upper bound on  $\alpha_\beta(G_n)$ .

Fix an integer  $n \geq 1$ . For our purposes, it will be somewhat more convenient to work on the space  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{F}_n}$  instead of  $2^{\mathbb{F}_n}$ , where  $[0, 1]$  is the unit interval equipped with

the usual Lebesgue probability measure. The product measure on  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{F}_n}$  is denoted by  $\lambda$ . Let  $H_n$  be the Schreier graph of the shift action  $\mathbb{F}_n \curvearrowright [0, 1]^{\mathbb{F}_n}$  corresponding to the standard generating set of  $\mathbb{F}_n$ . We remark that, by a theorem of Abért and Weiss [AW13] (see also [KM20, Theorem 6.46]),  $\alpha_\beta(G_n) = \alpha_\lambda(H_n)$ , so it does not really matter whether we are working with  $G_n$  or  $H_n$ .

Set  $d := 2n$  and let  $\mathbb{T}_d$  denote the Cayley graph of the free group  $\mathbb{F}_n$  with respect to the standard generating set. In other words,  $\mathbb{T}_d$  is an (infinite)  $d$ -regular tree. We view  $\mathbb{T}_d$  as a *rooted* tree, whose root is the vertex  $\mathbf{1}$ , i.e., the identity element of  $\mathbb{F}_n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the automorphism group of  $\mathbb{T}_d$ , i.e., the set of all bijections  $\mathfrak{A}: \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_n$  that preserve the edges of  $\mathbb{T}_d$ , and let  $\mathfrak{A}_\bullet \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$  be the subgroup comprising the root-preserving automorphisms, i.e., those  $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathfrak{A}$  that map  $\mathbf{1}$  to  $\mathbf{1}$ . The space  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{F}_n}$  is equipped with a natural right action  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{F}_n} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{A}$ . Namely, for  $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $x \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{F}_n}$ , the result of acting by  $\mathfrak{A}$  on  $x$  is the function  $x \cdot \mathfrak{A}: \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  given by

$$(x \cdot \mathfrak{A})(\delta) := x(\mathfrak{A}(\delta)) \quad \text{for all } \delta \in \mathbb{F}_n.$$

For each  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n$ , there is a corresponding automorphism  $\mathfrak{A}_\gamma \in \mathfrak{A}$  sending every group element  $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_n$  to  $\delta\gamma$ . The mapping  $\mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}: \gamma \mapsto \mathfrak{A}_\gamma$  is an antihomomorphism of groups, that is, we have

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\gamma\sigma} = \mathfrak{A}_\sigma \circ \mathfrak{A}_\gamma \quad \text{for all } \gamma, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_n,$$

where  $\circ$  denotes composition. In particular,  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\gamma : \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n\}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{A}$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_n$ . The right action  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{F}_n} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{A}$  and the left action  $\mathbb{F}_n \curvearrowright [0, 1]^{\mathbb{F}_n}$  are related by the formula

$$x \cdot \mathfrak{A}_\gamma = \gamma \cdot x \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{F}_n}.$$

A set  $X \subseteq [0, 1]^{\mathbb{F}_n}$  is called  $\mathfrak{A}_\bullet$ -invariant if  $x \cdot \mathfrak{A} \in X$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathfrak{A}_\bullet$ . The Rahman–Virág theorem can now be stated as follows:

**THEOREM 3.1** (Rahman–Virág [RV17, Theorem 2.1]). — *If  $I \subseteq [0, 1]^{\mathbb{F}_n}$  is an  $\mathfrak{A}_\bullet$ -invariant  $\lambda$ -measurable  $H_n$ -independent set, then*

$$\lambda(I) \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{\log d}{d} = \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right) \frac{\log n}{n}.$$

Theorem 3.1 is almost the result we want, except that we need an upper bound on the measure of *every* (not necessarily  $\mathfrak{A}_\bullet$ -invariant)  $\lambda$ -measurable  $H_n$ -independent set  $I$ . To remove the  $\mathfrak{A}_\bullet$ -invariance assumption, we use the following consequence of Theorem 3.1:

**COROLLARY 3.2.** — *There exists a Borel graph  $Q$  with a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $V(Q)$  such that:*

- every connected component of  $Q$  is a  $d$ -regular tree; and
- $\alpha_\mu(Q) \leq (1/2 + o(1)) \log n/n$ .

*Proof.* — We use a construction that was studied by Conley, Kechris, and Tucker–Drob in [CKTD13]. Let  $\Omega$  be the set of all points  $x \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{F}_n}$  such that  $x \cdot \mathfrak{A} \neq x$  for every non-identity automorphism  $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Let us make a couple observations about  $\Omega$ . Notice that, by definition, the set  $\Omega$  is invariant under the action  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{F}_n} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{A}$ ; in particular, it is invariant under the shift action  $\mathbb{F}_n \curvearrowright [0, 1]^{\mathbb{F}_n}$ . Furthermore, the

induced action of  $\mathbb{F}_n$  on  $\Omega$  is free (indeed, even the action  $\Omega \circlearrowleft \mathfrak{A}$  is free). Since every injective mapping  $\mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  belongs to  $\Omega$ , we conclude that  $\lambda(\Omega) = 1$ . Now consider the quotient space  $V := \Omega/\mathfrak{A}_\bullet$ . As the group  $\mathfrak{A}_\bullet$  is compact, the space  $V$  is standard Borel [CKTD13, paragraph preceding Lemma 7.8]. Let  $\mu$  be the push-forward of  $\lambda$  under the quotient map  $\Omega \rightarrow V$ , and let  $Q$  be the graph with vertex set  $V$  in which two vertices  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$  are adjacent if and only if there are representatives  $x \in \mathbf{x}$  and  $y \in \mathbf{y}$  that are adjacent in  $H_n$ . Conley, Kechris, and Tucker–Drob [CKTD13, Lemma 7.9] (see also [Tho20, Proposition 1.9]) showed that every connected component of  $Q$  is a  $d$ -regular tree. Furthermore, by construction, a set  $I \subseteq V$  is  $Q$ -independent if and only if its preimage under the quotient map is  $H_n$ -independent. Since the quotient map establishes a one-to-one correspondence between subsets of  $V$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_\bullet$ -invariant subsets of  $\Omega$ , Theorem 3.1 is equivalent to the assertion that  $\alpha_\mu(Q) \leq (1/2 + o(1)) \log n/n$ , as desired.  $\square$

In view of Corollary 3.2, the following lemma completes the proof of (3.1):

**LEMMA 3.3.** — *Let  $Q$  be a Borel graph in which every connected component is a  $d$ -regular tree and let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $V(Q)$ . Then  $\alpha_\mu(Q) \geq \alpha_\beta(G_n)$ .*

In the case when  $Q$  is the Schreier graph of a free measure-preserving action of  $\mathbb{F}_n$ , the conclusion of Lemma 3.3 follows from the Abért–Weiss theorem [AW13]. To handle the general case, we rely on a strengthening of a recent result of Tóth [Tót21] due to Grebík [Gre22], which, roughly, asserts that every  $d$ -regular Borel graph is “approximately” induced by an action of  $\mathbb{F}_n$ .

To state this result precisely, we introduce the following terminology. A *Borel partial action*  $\mathbf{p}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_n$  on a standard Borel space  $X$ , in symbols  $\mathbf{p}: \mathbb{F}_n \curvearrowright^* X$ , is a sequence of Borel partial injections  $p_1, \dots, p_n: X \dashrightarrow X$ . Given a Borel graph  $Q$ , we say that a Borel partial action  $\mathbf{p}: \mathbb{F}_n \curvearrowright^* V(Q)$  is a *partial Schreier decoration* of  $Q$  if  $p_i(x)$  is adjacent to  $x$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $x \in \text{dom}(p_i)$ . If  $\mathbf{p}$  is a partial Schreier decoration of a graph  $Q$ , then we let  $C(Q, \mathbf{p})$  be the set of all vertices  $x \in V(Q)$  such that  $x$  belongs to both the domain and the image of every  $p_i$  and the neighborhood of  $x$  in  $Q$  is equal to the set  $\{p_1(x), \dots, p_n(x), p_1^{-1}(x), \dots, p_n^{-1}(x)\}$ . A *Schreier decoration* of  $Q$  is a partial Schreier decoration  $\mathbf{p}$  such that  $C(Q, \mathbf{p}) = V(Q)$ . It is easy to see that  $Q$  admits a Schreier decoration if and only if it is the Schreier graph of a Borel action of  $\mathbb{F}_n$ .

Now we can state Grebík’s result:

**THEOREM 3.4** (Grebík [Gre22, Theorem 0.2(III)]). — *Let  $Q$  be a  $d$ -regular Borel graph and let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $V(Q)$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $Q$  admits a partial Schreier decoration  $\mathbf{p}$  such that  $\mu(C(Q, \mathbf{p})) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ .*

With Theorem 3.4 in hand, we are ready to establish Lemma 3.3.

*Proof of Lemma 3.3.* — Recall that we denote the generators of  $\mathbb{F}_n$  by  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ . Let  $Q$  be a Borel graph in which every connected component is a  $d$ -regular tree and let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $V(Q)$ . Thanks to Lemma 2.2, it suffices to show that  $\alpha_\mu(Q) \geq \beta(I)$  for every clopen independent set  $I \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{F}_n}$ , where, as in § 2, we say that  $I$  is independent if  $I \cap (\sigma_i \cdot I) = \emptyset$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Fix a clopen independent set  $I \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{F}_n}$ . Since  $I$  is clopen, we can write

$$I = \{x \in 2^{\mathbb{F}_n} : x|_D \in \Phi\},$$

where  $D \subset \mathbb{F}_n$  and  $\Phi \subseteq 2^D$  are finite sets. Furthermore, we may assume without loss of generality that  $D = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n : |\gamma| \leq k\}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $|\gamma|$  denotes the word norm of  $\gamma$ . For a vertex  $x \in V(Q)$ , we let  $N^k(x)$  be the set of all vertices that are joined to  $x$  by a path of length at most  $k$ . Since every connected component of  $Q$  is a  $d$ -regular tree, we have  $|N^k(x)| = |D|$  for all  $x \in V(Q)$ . This allows us to define a probability measure  $\mu_k$  on  $V(Q)$  via

$$\mu_k(A) := \int \frac{|A \cap N^k(x)|}{|D|} d\mu(x) \quad \text{for all Borel } A \subseteq V(Q).$$

We have now prepared the ground for an application of Theorem 3.4. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $\mathbf{p}$  be a partial Schreier decoration of  $Q$  such that

$$\mu_k(C(Q, \mathbf{p})) \geq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{|D|},$$

which exists by Theorem 3.4. Let  $C_k$  be the set of all  $x \in V(Q)$  such that  $N^k(x) \subseteq C(Q, \mathbf{p})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{|D|} &\leq \mu_k(C(Q, \mathbf{p})) \\ &= \int \frac{|C(Q, \mathbf{p}) \cap N^k(x)|}{|D|} d\mu(x) \leq \mu(C_k) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{|D|}\right) (1 - \mu(C_k)) \\ &= \frac{1}{|D|} \mu(C_k) + 1 - \frac{1}{|D|}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\mu(C_k) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ . The importance of the set  $C_k$  lies in the fact that for each  $x \in C_k$  and  $\gamma \in D$ , there is a natural way to define the notation  $\gamma \cdot x$ . Namely, we write  $\gamma$  as a reduced word:

$$\gamma = \sigma_{i_1}^{s_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_\ell}^{s_\ell},$$

where  $0 \leq \ell \leq k$ , each index  $i_j$  is between 1 and  $n$ , and each  $s_j$  is 1 or  $-1$ . Since  $N^k(x) \subseteq C(Q, \mathbf{p})$ , there is a unique sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_\ell$  of vertices with

$$x_0 = x \quad \text{and} \quad x_j = p_{i_j}^{s_j}(x_{j-1}) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq \ell.$$

We then set  $\gamma \cdot x := x_\ell$ . Note that we have  $N^k(x) = \{\gamma \cdot x : \gamma \in D\}$ .

The remainder of the argument utilizes a construction similar to the one in the proof of Theorem 1.1 given in § 2. Consider the graph  $R$  with the same vertex set as  $Q$  in which two distinct vertices are adjacent if and only if they are joined by a path of length at most  $2k$  in  $Q$ . Since every connected component of  $Q$  is a  $d$ -regular tree, each vertex in  $R$  has the same finite number of neighbors, so, by Theorem 2.1, the Borel chromatic number  $\chi_B(R)$  is finite. Let  $N := \chi_B(R)$  and fix a Borel function  $f: V(Q) \rightarrow N$  such that  $f(u) \neq f(v)$  whenever  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent in  $R$ . Then



for each  $x \in V(Q)$ , the restriction of  $f$  to the set  $N^k(x)$  is injective. Now, to each mapping  $\varphi: N \rightarrow 2$ , we associate function  $\pi_\varphi: C_k \rightarrow 2^D$  as follows:

$$\pi_\varphi(x)(\gamma) := (\varphi \circ f)(\gamma \cdot x) \quad \text{for all } x \in C_k \text{ and } \gamma \in D.$$

Let  $I_\varphi := \{x \in C_k : \pi_\varphi(x) \in \Phi\}$ . The independence of  $I$  implies that the set  $I_\varphi$  is  $Q$ -independent. We will show that for some choice of  $\varphi: N \rightarrow 2$ ,  $\mu(I_\varphi) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\beta(I)$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, this yields the desired bound  $\alpha_\mu(Q) \geq \beta(I)$  and completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Consider any  $x \in C_k$  and let

$$S_x := \{f(\gamma \cdot x) : \gamma \in D\}.$$

Since  $f$  is injective on  $N^k(x)$ ,  $S_x$  is a subset of  $N$  of size  $|D|$ . Whether or not  $x$  is in  $I_\varphi$  is determined by the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $S_x$ ; furthermore, there are exactly  $|\Phi|$  such restrictions that put  $x$  in  $I_\varphi$ . Thus, the number of mappings  $\varphi: N \rightarrow 2$  for which  $x \in I_\varphi$  is

$$|\Phi|2^{N-|D|} = \beta(I)2^N.$$

Since this holds for all  $x \in C_k$ , we conclude that

$$\sum_{\varphi: N \rightarrow 2} \mu(I_\varphi) \geq \mu(C_k)\beta(I)2^N \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\beta(I)2^N,$$

where the second inequality uses that  $\mu(C_k) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ . In other words, the average value of  $\mu(I_\varphi)$  over all  $\varphi: N \rightarrow 2$  is at least  $(1 - \varepsilon)\beta(I)$ . Thus, the maximum is at least  $(1 - \varepsilon)\beta(I)$  as well, and the proof is complete.  $\square$

### Acknowledgement

I am grateful to the anonymous referees for carefully reading this paper and providing helpful feedback.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [AW13] Miklós Abért and Benjamin Weiss, *Bernoulli actions are weakly contained in any free action*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst. **33** (2013), no. 2, 323–333.  $\uparrow$ 1156, 1157
- [Ber19] Anton Bernshteyn, *Measurable versions of the Lovász Local Lemma and measurable graph colorings*, Adv. Math. **353** (2019), 153–223.  $\uparrow$ 1155
- [CKTD13] Clinton T. Conley, Alexander S. Kechris, and Robin D. Tucker-Drob, *Ultraproducts of measure preserving actions and graph combinatorics*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst. **33** (2013), no. 2, 334–374.  $\uparrow$ 1156, 1157
- [GG10] David Gamarnik and David A. Goldberg, *Randomized greedy algorithms for independent sets and matchings in regular graphs: Exact results and finite girth corrections*, Comb. Probab. Comput. **19** (2010), no. 1, 61–85.  $\uparrow$ 1155
- [Gre22] Jan Grebík, *Approximate Schreier decorations and approximate König’s line coloring Theorem*, Ann. Henri Lebesgue **5** (2022), 303–315.  $\uparrow$ 1157
- [Kec95] Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer, 1995.  $\uparrow$ 1154
- [KM20] Alexander S. Kechris and Andrew S. Marks, *Descriptive Graph Combinatorics*, <http://www.math.caltech.edu/~kechris/papers/combinatorics20book.pdf> (preprint), 2020.  $\uparrow$ 1152, 1153, 1154, 1156

- [KST99] Alexander S. Kechris, Sławomir Solecki, and Stevo B. Todorćević, *Borel chromatic numbers*, *Adv. Math.* **141** (1999), no. 1, 1–44. ↑1154
- [LW07] J. Lauer and N. Wormald, *Large independent sets in regular graphs of large girth*, *J. Comb. Theory* **97** (2007), 999–1009. ↑1155
- [Mar16] Andrew S. Marks, *A determinacy approach to Borel combinatorics*, *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **29** (2016), no. 2, 579–600. ↑1154
- [Mee18] C. Meehan, *Definable combinatorics of graphs and equivalence relations*, Ph.D. thesis, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA, 2018, <https://resolver.caltech.edu/caltechthesis:06012018-160828760>. ↑1152, 1154
- [Pik21] Oleg Pikhurko, *Borel combinatorics of locally finite graphs*, *Surveys in combinatorics 2021* (K.K. Dabrowski et al., eds.), London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 470, Cambridge University Press, 2021, pp. 267–319. ↑1152
- [RV17] Mustazee Rahman and Bálint Virág, *Local algorithms for independent sets are half-optimal*, *Ann. Probab.* **45** (2017), no. 3, 1543–1577. ↑1155, 1156
- [STD16] Brandon Seward and Robin D. Tucker-Drob, *Borel structurability on the 2-shift of a countable group*, *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **167** (2016), no. 1, 1–21. ↑1153
- [SU97] Edward R. Scheinerman and Daniel H. Ullman, *Fractional Graph Theory. A rational approach to the theory of graphs*, John Wiley & Sons, 1997, with a foreword by Claude Berge. ↑1152
- [Tho20] Riley Thornton, *Factor of i.i.d. processes and Cayley diagrams*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.14604v1> (preprint), 2020. ↑1157
- [Tót21] Lázló M. Tóth, *Invariant Schreier decorations of unimodular random networks*, *Ann. Henri Lebesgue* **4** (2021), 1705–1726. ↑1157

Manuscript received on 5th September 2021,  
accepted on 22nd February 2022.

Recommended by Editors S. Gouézel and D. Aldous.  
Published under license CC BY 4.0.



eISSN: 2644–9463

This journal is a member of Centre Mersenne.



Anton BERNSHTEYN  
School of Mathematics, Georgia  
Institute of Technology,  
Atlanta, GA, USA  
bahtoh@gatech.edu