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## POLYNOMIAL GROWTH AND SUBGROUPS OF Out $\left(F_{N}\right)$ CROISSANCE POLYNOMIALE ET SOUS-GROUPES DE $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$

Abstract. - This paper, which is the last of a series of three papers, studies dynamical properties of elements of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$, the outer automorphism group of a nonabelian free group $F_{N}$. We prove that, for every subgroup $H$ of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$, there exists an element $\phi \in H$ such that, for every element $g$ of $F_{N}$, the conjugacy class $[g]$ has polynomial growth under iteration of $\phi$ if and only if $[g]$ has polynomial growth under iteration of every element of $H$.

RÉSUMÉ. - Dans cet article, nous étudions des propriétés dynamiques des éléments de $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$, le groupe des automorphismes extérieurs d'un groupe non abélien libre $F_{N}$ de rang $N \geqslant 2$. Nous montrons que, pour tout sous-groupe $H$ de $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$, il existe un élément $\phi \in H$, appelé dynamiquement générique, qui capture la croissance polynomiale de $H$ au sens suivant. La classe de conjugaison d'un élément $g \in F_{N}$ est à croissance polynomiale sous itération de tous les éléments de $H$ si, et seulement si, la classe de conjugaison de $g$ est à croissance polynomiale sous itération de $\phi$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $N \geqslant 2$. This paper, which is the last of a series of three papers [Gue21, Gue22b], studies the exponential growth of elements in $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$. An outer automorphism

[^0]$\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ is exponentially growing if there exist a conjugacy class $[g] \subseteq F_{N}$, a free basis $\mathfrak{B}$ of $F_{N}$ and a constant $K>0$ such that, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we have
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{\mathfrak{B}}\left(\phi^{m}([g])\right) \geqslant e^{K m}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\ell_{\mathfrak{B}}\left(\phi^{m}([g])\right)$ denotes the length of a cyclically reduced representative of $\phi^{m}([g])$ in the basis $\mathfrak{B}$.
If $g \in F_{N}$ satisfies Equation (1.1), then $g$ is said to be exponentially growing under iteration of $\phi$. Otherwise, one can show, using for instance the technology of relative train tracks introduced by Bestvina and Handel [BH92], that $g$ has polynomial growth under iteration of $\phi$, replacing $\geqslant e^{K m}$ by $\leqslant(m+1)^{K}$ in Equation (1.1) (see also [Lev09] for a complete description of all growth types that can occur under iteration of an outer automorphism $\phi$ ).
We denote by $\operatorname{Poly}(\phi)$ the set of elements of $F_{N}$ which have polynomial growth under iteration of $\phi$. If $H$ is a subgroup of $F_{N}$, we set $\operatorname{Poly}(H)=\bigcap_{\phi \in H} \operatorname{Poly}(\phi)$. Note that $\operatorname{Poly}(\phi)$ and $\operatorname{Poly}(H)$ are invariant under conjugation. In this article, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. - Let $N \geqslant 2$ and let $H$ be a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$. There exists $\phi \in H$ such that $\operatorname{Poly}(\phi)=\operatorname{Poly}(H)$.
In other words, there exists an element of $H$ which encaptures all the exponential growth of $H$ : there exists $\phi \in H$ such that if $g \in F_{N}$ has exponential growth for some element of $H$, then $g$ has exponential growth for $\phi$.
Theorem 1.1 has analogues in other contexts. For instance, one has a similar result in the context of the mapping class group of a closed, connected, orientable surface $S$ equipped with a hyperbolic structure. Indeed, a consequence of the NielsenThurston classification (see for instance [FM11, Theorem 13.2]) and the work of Thurston [FLP79, Proposition 9.21] is that the growth of the length of the geodesic representative of the homotopy class of an essential closed curve under iteration of an element of $\operatorname{Mod}(S)$ is either exponential or linear. Moreover, linear growth comes from twists about essential curves while exponential growth comes from pseudoAnosov homeomorphisms of subsurfaces of $S$.
In [Iva92] (see also the work of McCarthy [McC85]), Ivanov proved that, for every subgroup $H$ of $\operatorname{Mod}(S)$, up to taking a finite index subgroup of $H$, there exist finitely many homotopy classes of pairwise disjoint essential closed curves $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}$ elementwise fixed by $H$ and such that, for every connected component $S^{\prime}$ of $S-\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} C_{i}$, the restriction $\left.H\right|_{S^{\prime}} \subseteq \operatorname{Mod}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is either the trivial group or contains a pseudo-Anosov element. One can then construct an element $f \in H$ such that the element $\left.f\right|_{S^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is a pseudo-Anosov whenever $\left.H\right|_{S^{\prime}} \subseteq \operatorname{Mod}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ contains a pseudo-Anosov element.
In the context of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$, Clay and Uyanik [CU20] proved Theorem 1.1 when $H$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{Poly}(H)=\{1\}$. Indeed, by a result of Levitt [Lev09, Proposition 1.4, Lemma 1.5], if $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ and if $\operatorname{Poly}(\phi) \neq\{1\}$, there exist a nontrivial element $g \in F_{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that $\phi^{k}([g])=[g]$. In this context, Clay and Uyanik proved that, if $H$ does not virtually preserve the conjugacy class of a nontrivial element of $F_{N}$, there exists an element $\phi \in H$ which is atoroidal: no power of $\phi$ fixes the conjugacy class of a nontrivial element of $F_{N}$.

Proof. - We now sketch the proof of Theorem 1.1. It is inspired by the proof of [CU20, Theorem A]. However, technical difficulties emerge due to the presence of elements of $F_{N}$ with polynomial growth under iteration of elements of the considered subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$. The main difficulties are dealt with in the second article of the series [Gue22b]. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$. We first consider $H$-invariant free factor systems $\mathcal{F}$ of $F_{N}$, that is, $\mathcal{F}=\left\{\left[A_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{k}\right]\right\}$, where, for every $i \in\{1 \ldots, k\}$, $\left[A_{i}\right]$ is the conjugacy class of a subgroup $A_{i}$ of $F_{N}$ and there exists a subgroup $B$ of $F_{N}$ such that $F_{N}=A_{1} * \ldots * A_{k} * B$. There exists a partial order on the set of free factor systems of $F_{N}$, where $\mathcal{F}_{1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{2}$ if for every free factor $A_{1}$ of $F_{N}$ such that $\left[A_{1}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$, there exists a free factor $A_{2}$ of $F_{N}$ such that $\left[A_{2}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{2}$ and $A_{1}$ is a subgroup of $A_{2}$. Hence we may consider a maximal $H$-invariant sequence of free factor systems

$$
\varnothing=\mathcal{F}_{0} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{k}=\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\} .
$$

The proof is now by induction on $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ : for every $i \in\{0 \ldots, k\}$, we construct an element $\phi_{i} \in H$ such that $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)$ (we define the meaning of the restrictions in Section 2.3). Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and suppose that we have constructed $\phi_{i-1}$. There are two cases to consider. If the extension $\mathcal{F}_{i-1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{i}$ is nonsporadic (see the definition in Section 2.1) then the construction of $\phi_{i}$ from $\phi_{i-1}$ follows from the works of Handel-Mosher [HM20], Guirardel-Horbez [GH22] and Clay-Uyanik [CU18].
If the extension $\mathcal{F}_{i-1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{i}$ is sporadic, the construction of $\phi_{i}$ relies on the action of $H$ on some natural (compact, metrizable) space that we introduced in [Gue21]. This space is called the space of currents relative to $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}}\right)$ and it is denoted by $\mathbb{P C u r r}\left(F_{N}, \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}}\right)\right)$. It is defined as a subspace of the space of Radon measures on a natural space $\partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}}\right)\right)$, the double boundary of $F_{N}$ relative to $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}}\right)$ (see Section 2.2 for precise definitions).
In [Gue22b], we proved that the element $\phi_{i-1}$ that we have constructed acts with a North-South dynamics on the space of relative currents $\operatorname{PCurr}\left(F_{N}, \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}}\right)\right)$ : there exist two proper disjoint closed subsets of $\mathbb{P C u r r}\left(F_{N}, \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}}\right)\right)$ such that every point of $\mathbb{P} \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}}\right)\right)$ which is not contained in these subsets converges to one of the two subsets under positive or negative iteration of $\phi_{i-1}$. This North-South dynamics result allows us, applying classical ping-pong arguments similar to the one of Tits [Tit72], to construct the element $\phi_{i} \in H$ such that $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)$, which concludes the proof.

The element constructed in Theorem 1.1 is in general not unique. Indeed, when the subgroup $H$ of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ is such that $\operatorname{Poly}(H)=\{1\}$, Clay and Uyanik [CU20, Theorem B] give necessary and sufficient conditions for $H$ to contain a nonabelian free subgroup consisting in atoroidal elements.
We now outline some consequences of Theorem 1.1. The first one is a result concerning the periodic subset of a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$. From Clay and Uyanik's theorem cited above, one can ask the following question. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$. If $H$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ such that $H$ virtually fixes the conjugacy class of a nontrivial subgroup $A$ of $F_{N}$, is it true that either $H$ virtually fixes the conjugacy class of a nontrivial element $g \in F_{N}$ such that $g$ is not contained in a
conjugate of $A$, or there exists $\phi \in H$ such that the only conjugacy classes of elements of $F_{N}$ virtually fixed by $\phi$ are contained in a conjugate of $A$ ?
Unfortunately, such a result is not true. Indeed, let $F_{3}=\langle a, b, c\rangle$ be a nonabelian free group of rank 3 . Let $\phi_{a}$ (resp. $\phi_{b}$ ) be the automorphism of $F_{3}$ which fixes $a$ and $b$ and which sends $c$ to $c a$ (resp. $c$ to $c b$ ), and let $H=\left\langle\left[\phi_{a}\right],\left[\phi_{b}\right]\right\rangle \subseteq \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{3}\right)$. Then every element $\phi \in H$ has a representative which fixes $\langle a, b\rangle$ and sends $c$ to $c g_{\phi}$ with $g_{\phi} \in\langle a, b\rangle$. Thus, $\phi$ fixes the conjugacy class of $g_{\phi} c g_{\phi} c^{-1}$. However, there always exist $\phi^{\prime} \in H$, such that $\phi^{\prime}$ does not preserve the conjugacy class of $g_{\phi} c g_{\phi} c^{-1}$.
We denote by $\operatorname{Per}(H)$ the set of conjugacy classes of $F_{N}$ fixed by a power of every element of $H$. In the above example, we constructed a subgroup $H$ of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{Per}(H)$ contains the conjugacy class of a nonabelian subgroup of rank 2. This is in fact the lowest possible rank where a generalization of the theorem of Clay and Uyanik using $\operatorname{Per}(H)$ instead of $\operatorname{Poly}(H)$ cannot work, as shown by the following result, which is a consequence of Corollary 5.3 and Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}$ be nontrivial root-free elements of $F_{N}$. Let $H$ be subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ such that, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, every element of $H$ has a power which fixes the conjugacy class of $g_{i}$. Then one of the following (mutually exclusive) statements holds.
(1) There exists $g_{k+1} \in F_{N}$ such that $\left[\left\langle g_{k+1}\right\rangle\right] \notin\left\{\left[\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle\right], \ldots,\left[\left\langle g_{k}\right\rangle\right]\right\}$ and whose conjugacy class is fixed by a power of every element of $H$.
(2) There exists $\phi \in H$ such that $\operatorname{Per}(\phi)=\left\{\left[\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle\right], \ldots,\left[\left\langle g_{k}\right\rangle\right]\right\}$.

As proved by Ivanov [Iva92], Case (2) of Theorem 1.2 naturally occurs when we are working with a subgroup of a mapping class group of a compact, connected surface $S$ whose fundamental group is identified with $F_{N}$. Finally, in Corollary 5.4, we prove a characterization of subgroups of the mapping class group of such a surface $S$ using periodic conjugacy classes.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Malnormal subgroup systems of $F_{N}$

Let $N$ be an integer greater than 1 and let $F_{N}$ be a free group of rank $N$. A subgroup system of $F_{N}$ is a finite (possibly empty) set $\mathcal{A}$ whose elements are conjugacy classes of nontrivial (that is distinct from $\{1\}$ ) finite rank subgroups of $F_{N}$. Note that a subgroup system $\mathcal{A}$ is completely determined by the set of subgroups $A$ of $F_{N}$ such that $[A] \in \mathcal{A}$.
There exists a partial order on the set of subgroup systems of $F_{N}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{1} \leqslant \mathcal{A}_{2}$ if for every subgroup $A_{1}$ of $F_{N}$ such that $\left[A_{1}\right] \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$, there exists a subgroup $A_{2}$ of $F_{N}$ such that $\left[A_{2}\right] \in \mathcal{A}_{2}$ and $A_{1}$ is a subgroup of $A_{2}$. In this case we say that $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ is an extension of $\mathcal{A}_{1}$.
The stabilizer in $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ of a subgroup system $\mathcal{A}$, denoted by $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$, is the set of all elements $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ such that $\phi(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{A}$. An element of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ is called an outer automorphism relative to $\mathcal{A}$ or a relative outer automorphism if the
context is clear. Note that $\phi$ might permute the conjugacy classes of subgroups of $F_{N}$ contained in $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ are two subgroup systems, we set $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}_{2}\right)$.
If $\mathcal{A}$ is a subgroup system of $F_{N}$, we denote by $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}^{(t)}\right)$ the subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ consisting in every element $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ such that, for every subgroup $P$ of $F_{N}$ such that $[P] \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists $\Phi \in \phi$ such that $\Phi(P)=P$ and $\left.\Phi\right|_{P}=\operatorname{id}_{P}$.

Recall that a subgroup $A$ of $F_{N}$ is malnormal if for every element $x \in F_{N}-A$, we have $x A x^{-1} \cap A=\{e\}$.

Definition 2.1 (Malnormal subgroup system, nonperipheral element). - Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a subgroup system of $F_{N}$.
(1) The subgroup system $\mathcal{A}$ is malnormal if every subgroup $A$ of $F_{N}$ such that $[A] \in \mathcal{A}$ is malnormal and, for all subgroups $A_{1}, A_{2}$ of $F_{N}$ such that $\left[A_{1}\right],\left[A_{2}\right] \in$ $\mathcal{A}$, if $A_{1} \cap A_{2}$ is nontrivial then $A_{1}=A_{2}$.
(2) An element $g \in F_{N}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-peripheral (or simply peripheral if there is no ambiguity) if it is trivial or conjugate into one of the subgroups of $\mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{A}$-nonperipheral otherwise.

An important class of examples of malnormal subgroup systems is given by the free factor systems. A free factor system of $F_{N}$ is a (possibly empty) set $\mathcal{F}$ of conjugacy classes $\left\{\left[A_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{r}\right]\right\}$ of nontrivial subgroups $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{r}$ of $F_{N}$ such that there exists a subgroup $B$ of $F_{N}$ with $F_{N}=A_{1} * \ldots * A_{r} * B$. An ascending sequence of free factor systems $\mathcal{F}_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{i}=\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\}$ of $F_{N}$ is called a filtration of $F_{N}$.

Definition 2.2 (Sporadic extension). -
(1) An extension of free factor systems $\mathcal{F}_{1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{2}=\left\{\left[A_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{k}\right]\right\}$ of $F_{N}$ is sporadic if there exists $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that, for every $j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}-$ $\{\ell\}$, we have $\left[A_{j}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$ and if one of the following holds:
(a) there exist subgroups $B_{1}, B_{2}$ of $F_{N}$ such that $\left[B_{1}\right],\left[B_{2}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$ and $A_{\ell}=$ $B_{1} * B_{2}$
(b) there exists a subgroup $B$ of $F_{N}$ such that $[B] \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$ and $A_{\ell}$ is an HNN extension of $B$ over the trivial group (thus $A_{\ell}$ is isomorphic to $B * \mathbb{Z}$ );
(c) there exists $g \in F_{N}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{2}=\mathcal{F}_{1} \cup\{[g]\}$ and $A_{\ell}=\langle g\rangle$.

Otherwise, the extension $\mathcal{F}_{1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{2}$ is nonsporadic.
(2) A free factor system $\mathcal{F}$ of $F_{N}$ is sporadic (resp. nonsporadic) if the extension $\mathcal{F} \leqslant\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\}$ is sporadic (resp. nonsporadic).

Given a free factor system $\mathcal{F}$ of $F_{N}$, a free factor of $\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ is a subgroup $A$ of $F_{N}$ such that there exists a free factor system $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ of $F_{N}$ with $[A] \in \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{F} \leqslant \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$. A free factor of $\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ is proper if it is nontrivial, not equal to $F_{N}$ and if its conjugacy class does not belong to $\mathcal{F}$.
In general, we will work in a finite index subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ defined as follows. Let

$$
\operatorname{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})=\operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(H_{1}\left(F_{N}, \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}\right)\right)\right)
$$

For every $\phi \in \operatorname{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$, we have the following properties:
(1) any $\phi$-periodic conjugacy class of free factor of $F_{N}$ is fixed by $\phi[\mathrm{HM} 20$, Theorem II.3.1];
(2) any $\phi$-periodic conjugacy class of elements of $F_{N}$ is fixed by $\phi[$ HM20, Theorem II.4.1].
Another class of examples of malnormal subgroup systems is the following one. Let $g \in F_{N}$ and let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a free basis of $F_{N}$. The length of the conjugacy class of $g$ with respect to $\mathfrak{B}$ is

$$
\ell_{\mathfrak{B}}([g])=\min _{h \in[g]} \ell_{\mathfrak{B}}(h),
$$

where $\ell_{\mathfrak{B}}(h)$ is the word length of $h$ with respect to the basis $\mathfrak{B}$. An outer automorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ is exponentially growing if there exists $g \in F_{N}$ such that the length of the conjugacy class $[g]$ of $g$ in $F_{N}$ with respect to some basis of $F_{N}$ grows exponentially fast under positive iteration of $\phi$. One can show that if $g$ is exponentially growing with respect to some free basis of $F_{N}$, then it is exponentially growing for every free basis of $F_{N}$.
If $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ is not exponentially growing, one can show, using for instance the technology of train tracks due to Bestvina and Handel [BH92], that for every $g \in F_{N}$, the conjugacy class $[g]$ has polynomial growth under positive iteration of $\phi$. In this case, we say that $\phi$ is polynomially growing. For an automorphism $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{N}\right)$, we say that $\alpha$ is exponentially growing if there exists $g \in F_{N}$ such that the word length of $[g]$ grows exponentially fast under iteration of $[\alpha] \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$. Otherwise, $\alpha$ is polynomially growing. The polynomial subgroup of $\alpha$ is the subgroup of $F_{N}$ consisting in all elements $g \in F_{N}$ whose word length grows polynomially fast under iteration of $\alpha$.
Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ be exponentially growing. A subgroup $P$ of $F_{N}$ is a polynomial subgroup of $\phi$ if there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and a representative $\alpha$ of $\phi^{k}$ such that $\alpha(P)=P$ and $\left.\alpha\right|_{P}$ is polynomially growing. By [Lev09, Proposition 1.4], there exist finitely many conjugacy classes $\left[H_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[H_{k}\right]$ of maximal polynomial subgroups of $\phi$. Moreover, the proof of [Lev09, Proposition 1.4] implies that the set $\mathcal{H}=\left\{\left[H_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[H_{k}\right]\right\}$ is a malnormal subgroup system (see [Gue22b, Section 2.1]). We denote this malnormal subgroup system by $\mathcal{A}(\phi)$.
Note that, if $H$ is a subgroup of $F_{N}$ such that $[H] \in \mathcal{A}(\phi)$, there exist $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and $\Phi^{-1} \in \phi^{-1}$ such that $\Phi^{-p}(H)=H$. By for instance [BFH05, Theorem 1.1], up to taking a larger $p$, the image of $\phi^{p}$ in $\operatorname{Out}(H)$ preserves a sequence $\mathcal{S}$ of free factor systems of $H$ such that every extension of the sequence is sporadic. Hence the image of $\phi^{-p}$ in $\operatorname{Out}(H)$ preserves $\mathcal{S}$. This implies that $H$ is a polynomially growing subgroup of $\phi^{-1}$. Hence we have $\mathcal{A}(\phi) \leqslant \mathcal{A}\left(\phi^{-1}\right)$. By symmetry, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}(\phi)=\mathcal{A}\left(\phi^{-1}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for every element $\psi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{A}\left(\psi \phi \psi^{-1}\right)=\psi(\mathcal{A}(\phi)) .
$$

In order to distinguish between the set of elements of $F_{N}$ which have polynomial growth under positive iteration of $\phi$ and the associated malnormal subgroup system, we will denote by $\operatorname{Poly}(\phi)$ the former. We have $\operatorname{Poly}(\phi)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\phi^{-1}\right)$ by Equation (2.1). If $H$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$, we set $\operatorname{Poly}(H)=\bigcap_{\phi \in H} \operatorname{Poly}(\phi)$.

Definition 2.3 (Atoroidal, expanding outer automorphism). - Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a malnormal subgroup system of $F_{N}$ and let $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ be a relative outer automorphism.
(1) The outer automorphism $\phi$ is atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{A}$ if, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, the element $\phi^{k}$ does not preserve the conjugacy class of any $\mathcal{A}$-nonperipheral element.
(2) The outer automorphism $\phi$ is expanding relative to $\mathcal{A}$ if $\mathcal{A}(\phi) \leqslant \mathcal{A}$.

Note that an expanding outer automorphism relative to $\mathcal{A}$ is in particular atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{A}$. When $\mathcal{A}=\varnothing$, the outer automorphism $\phi$ is expanding relative to $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if for every nontrivial element $g \in F_{N}$, the length of the conjugacy class [g] of $g$ in $F_{N}$ with respect to some basis of $F_{N}$ grows exponentially fast under iteration of $\phi$. Therefore, using for instance a result of Levitt [Lev09, Corollary 1.6], the outer automorphism $\phi$ is expanding relative to $\mathcal{A}=\varnothing$ if and only if $\phi$ is atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{A}=\varnothing$.

Let $\mathcal{A}=\left\{\left[A_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{r}\right]\right\}$ be a malnormal subgroup system and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a free factor system. Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$. By for instance [SW79, Theorem 3.14] for the action of $A_{i}$ on one of its Cayley graphs, there exist finitely many subgroups $A_{i}^{(1)}, \ldots, A_{i}^{\left(k_{i}\right)}$ of $A_{i}$ such that:
(1) for every $j \in\left\{1, \ldots, k_{i}\right\}$, there exists a subgroup $B$ of $F_{N}$ such that $[B] \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A_{i}^{(j)}=B \cap A_{i}$;
(2) for every subgroup $B$ of $F_{N}$ such that $[B] \in \mathcal{F}$ and $B \cap A_{i} \neq\{e\}$, there exists $j \in\left\{1, \ldots, k_{i}\right\}$ such that $A_{i}^{(j)}=B \cap A_{i}$;
(3) the subgroup $A_{i}^{(1)} * \ldots * A_{i}^{\left(k_{i}\right)}$ is a free factor of $A_{i}$.

Thus, one can define a new subgroup system as

$$
\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r}\left\{\left[A_{i}^{(1)}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{i}^{\left(k_{i}\right)}\right]\right\} .
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is malnormal, and since, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, the group $A_{i}^{(1)} * \ldots * A_{i}^{\left(k_{i}\right)}$ is a free factor of $A_{i}$, it follows that the subgroup system $\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}$ is a malnormal subgroup system of $F_{N}$. We call it the meet of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{A}$. If $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ then $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}\right)$.

### 2.2. Relative currents

In this section, we define the notion of currents of $F_{N}$ relative to a malnormal subgroup system $\mathcal{A}$. The section follows [Gue21, Gue22b] (see the work of Gupta [Gup17] for the particular case of free factor systems and Guirardel and Horbez [GH19] in the context of free products of groups). It can be thought of as a functional space in which densely live the $\mathcal{A}$-nonperipheral elements of $F_{N}$.

Let $\partial_{\infty} F_{N}$ be the Gromov boundary of $F_{N}$. The double boundary of $F_{N}$ is the metrisable locally compact, totally disconnected quotient topological space

$$
\partial^{2} F_{N}=\left(\partial_{\infty} F_{N} \times \partial_{\infty} F_{N} \backslash \Delta\right) / \sim,
$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation generated by the flip relation $(x, y) \sim(y, x)$ and $\Delta$ is the diagonal, endowed with the diagonal action of $F_{N}$. We denote by $\{x, y\}$ the equivalence class of $(x, y)$.
Let $T$ be the Cayley graph of $F_{N}$ with respect to a free basis $\mathfrak{B}$. The boundary of $T$ is naturally homeomorphic to $\partial_{\infty} F_{N}$ and the set $\partial^{2} F_{N}$ is then identified with the set of unoriented bi-infinite geodesics in $T$. Let $\gamma$ be a finite geodesic path in $T$. The path $\gamma$ determines a subset in $\partial^{2} F_{N}$ called the cylinder set of $\gamma$, denoted by $C(\gamma)$, which consists in all unoriented bi-infinite geodesics in $T$ that contain $\gamma$. Such cylinder sets form a basis for the topology on $\partial^{2} F_{N}$, and in this topology, the cylinder sets are both open and compact, hence closed (see for instance [Mar95, Section 5.4]). The action of $F_{N}$ on $\partial^{2} F_{N}$ has a dense orbit.

Let $A$ be a nontrivial subgroup of $F_{N}$ of finite rank. The induced $A$-equivariant inclusion $\partial_{\infty} A \hookrightarrow \partial_{\infty} F_{N}$ induces an inclusion $\partial^{2} A \hookrightarrow \partial^{2} F_{N}$. Let $\mathcal{A}=\left\{\left[A_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{r}\right]\right\}$ be a malnormal subgroup system. Let

$$
\partial^{2} \mathcal{A}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \bigcup_{g \in F_{N}} \partial^{2}\left(g A_{i} g^{-1}\right)
$$

Definition 2.4 (Relative double boundary). - Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a malnormal subgroup system. The double boundary of $F_{N}$ relative to $\mathcal{A}$ is

$$
\partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)=\partial^{2} F_{N}-\partial^{2} \mathcal{A}
$$

The double boundary of $F_{N}$ relative to a malnormal subgroup system is a subset of $\partial^{2} F_{N}$ which is invariant under the action of $F_{N}$ on $\partial^{2} F_{N}$ and inherits the subspace topology of $\partial^{2} F_{N}$.
Lemma 2.5 ([Gue21, Lemmas 2.5, 2.6, 2.7]). - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a malnormal subgroup system of $F_{N}$. The space $\partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ is an open subspace of $\partial^{2} F_{N}$, hence is locally compact, and the action of $F_{N}$ on $\partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ has a dense orbit.

We can now define a relative current.
Definition 2.6 (relative current). - Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a malnormal subgroup system of $F_{N}$. A relative current on $\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ is a (possibly zero) $F_{N}$-invariant nonnegative Radon measure $\mu$ on $\partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$.
The set $\operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ of all relative currents on $\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ is equipped with the weak-* topology: a sequence $\left(\mu_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)^{\mathbb{N}}$ converges to a current $\mu \in$ $\operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ if and only if for every Borel subset $B \subseteq \partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ such that $\mu(\partial B)=0$ (where $\partial B$ is the topological boundary of $B$ ), the sequence $\left(\mu_{n}(B)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\mu(B)$.
The group $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ acts on $\operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ as follows. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ and let $\Phi$ be a representative of $\phi$. The automorphism $\Phi$ acts diagonally by homeomorphisms on $\partial^{2} F_{N}$. If $\Phi^{\prime} \in \phi$, then the action of $\Phi^{\prime}$ on $\partial^{2} F_{N}$ differs from the action of $\Phi$ by a translation by an element of $F_{N}$. Let $\mu \in \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ and let $C$ be a Borel subset of $\partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$. Then, since $\phi$ preserves $\mathcal{A}$, we see that $\Phi^{-1}(C) \in \partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$. Then we set

$$
\phi(\mu)(C)=\mu\left(\Phi^{-1}(C)\right)
$$

which is well-defined since $\mu$ is $F_{N}$-invariant.
Every conjugacy class of nonperipheral element $g \in F_{N}$ determines a relative current $\eta_{[g]}$ as follows. Suppose first that $g$ is root-free, that is there do not exist $k \geqslant 2$ and $h \in F_{N}$ such that $g=h^{k}$. Let $\gamma$ be a finite geodesic path in the Cayley graph $T$. Then $\eta_{[g]}(C(\gamma))$ is the number of axes in $T$ of conjugates of $g$ that contain the path $\gamma$. By [Gue21, Lemma 3.2], $\eta_{[g]}$ extends uniquely to a current in $\operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ which we still denote by $\eta_{[g]}$. If $g=h^{k}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $h$ root-free, we set $\eta_{[g]}=k \eta_{[h]}$. Such currents are called rational currents.
Let $\mu \in \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$. The support of $\mu$, denoted by $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$, is the support of the Borel measure $\mu$ on $\partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$. We recall that $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$ is a lamination of $\partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$, that is, a closed $F_{N}$-invariant subset of $\partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$.

In the rest of the article, rather than considering the space of relative currents itself, we will consider the set of projectivized relative currents, denoted by

$$
\mathbb{P} \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)-\{0\}\right) / \sim,
$$

where $\mu \sim \nu$ if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ such that $\mu=\lambda \nu$. The projective class of a current $\mu \in \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ will be denoted by $[\mu]$. For every $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$, the action $\phi: \mu \mapsto \phi(\mu)$ is positively linear. Therefore, the action of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ on $\operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ induces an action on $\mathbb{P} \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$. We have the following properties.
Lemma 2.7. - [Gue21, Lemma 3.3] Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a malnormal subgroup system of $F_{N}$. The space $\mathbb{P} \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ is compact.
Proposition 2.8 ([Gue21, Theorem 1.2]). - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a malnormal subgroup system of $F_{N}$. The set of projectivised rational currents associated with nonperipheral elements of $F_{N}$ is dense in $\mathbb{P C u r r}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}\right)$.

### 2.3. Currents associated with an almost atoroidal outer automorphism of $F_{N}$

Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $\mathcal{F}=\left\{\left[A_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{k}\right]\right\}$ be a free factor system of $F_{N}$. If $\phi \in$ $\mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$ preserves $\mathcal{F}$, we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}=\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{1}\right|_{A_{1}}\right], \ldots,\left[\left.\Phi_{k}\right|_{A_{k}}\right]\right) \in \prod_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{Out}\left(A_{i}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, the element $\Phi_{i}$ is a representative of $\phi$ such that $\Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)=A_{i}$. Note that the outer class of $\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}$ in $\operatorname{Out}\left(A_{i}\right)$ does not depend on the choice of $\Phi_{i}$ since $A_{i}$ is a malnormal subgroup of $F_{N}$. Hence, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, we can naturally associate to $\phi$ the outer automorphism $\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right] \in \operatorname{Out}\left(A_{i}\right)$ as in Equation (2.2), and this notation will be used from now on.

Note that, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, the element $\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]$ is expanding relative to the free factor system $\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}=\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}$, without additional assumption on $\phi$. We will say that $\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}$ is expanding relative to $\mathcal{F}$.
Let

$$
\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \bigcup_{g \in F_{N}} g \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right) g^{-1} \subseteq F_{N} .
$$

If $H$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$ which preserves $\mathcal{F}$, we set

$$
\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\bigcap_{\phi \in H} \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right) .
$$

We now define a class of outer automorphisms of $F_{N}$ which we will study in the rest of the article.

Definition 2.9 (Almost atoroidal). - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a free factor system of $F_{N}$. Let $\phi \in \mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$ be an outer automorphism preserving $\mathcal{F}$. The outer automorphism $\phi$ is almost atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}$ if $\operatorname{Poly}(\phi) \neq\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\}$ and if $\phi$ is an atoroidal outer automorphism relative to $\mathcal{F}$ whenever the extension $\mathcal{F} \leqslant\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\}$ is nonsporadic.

Note that, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a sporadic free factor system, then $\phi \in \mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}) \cap \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ is almost atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}$ if and only if $\operatorname{Poly}(\phi) \neq\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\}$. Definition 2.9 is a subcase of a larger definition of almost atoroidality studied in [Gue22b, Definition 4.3].
Let $\mathcal{F} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1}=\left\{\left[A_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{k}\right]\right\}$ be two free factor systems of $F_{N}$. Let $\phi$ be an element of $\mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}) \cap \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$. We say that $\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}$ is almost atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}$ if, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, the outer automorphism $\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]$ defined in Equation (2.2) is almost atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}$.
Let $\phi \in \mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$ be an almost atoroidal outer automorphism relative to $\mathcal{F}$. We now recall from [Gue22b] the definition and some properties of some subsets of the space $\mathbb{P} \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$ associated with $\phi$.
Definition 2.10 (Polynomially growing currents). - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a free factor system of $F_{N}$. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}) \cap \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be an almost atoroidal outer automorphism relative to $\mathcal{F}$. The space of polynomially growing currents associated with $\phi$, denoted by $K_{P G}(\phi)$, is the subspace of all currents in $\mathbb{P} \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$ whose support is contained in $\partial^{2} \mathcal{A}(\phi) \cap \partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$.

We will need the following result which gives the existence and properties of an approximation of the length function of the conjugacy class of an element of $F_{N}$ in the context of the space of currents.
Proposition 2.11 ([Gue22b, Lemma 3.27, Lemma 3.28(3)]). - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sporadic free factor system of $F_{N}$. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be an almost atoroidal outer automorphism relative to $\mathcal{F}$. There exists a continuous, positively linear function

$$
\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}}: \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

such that the following holds.
(1) There exist a basis $\mathfrak{B}$ of $F_{N}$ and a constant $C \geqslant 1$ such that, for every $\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)$-nonperipheral element $g \in F_{N}$, we have $\left\|\eta_{[g]}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and

$$
\ell_{\mathfrak{B}}([g]) \geqslant C\left\|\eta_{[g]}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}} .
$$

(2) For every $\eta \in \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$, if $\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{F}}=0$, then $\eta=0$.

Proposition 2.12 ([Gue22b, Propositions 4.4, 4.12, 5.24]). - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sporadic free factor system of $F_{N}\left(\mathcal{F}\right.$ might be equal to $\left.\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\}\right)$. Let $\phi \in \mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$ be an almost atoroidal outer automorphism relative to $\mathcal{F}$. There exist two unique proper compact $\phi$-invariant subsets $\Delta_{ \pm}(\phi)$ of $\mathbb{P} \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$ such that the following assertions hold.
(1) For every $[\mu] \in \Delta_{+}(\phi) \cup \Delta_{-}(\phi)$, the support of $\mu$ is contained in $\partial^{2} \mathcal{F}$.
(2) Let $U_{+}$be a neighborhood of $\Delta_{+}(\phi)$, let $U_{-}$be a neighborhood of $\Delta_{-}(\phi)$, let $V$ be a neighborhood of $K_{P G}(\phi)$. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that for every $n \geqslant 1$ and every $(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi))$-nonperipheral $w \in F_{N}$ such that $\eta_{[w]} \notin V$, one of the following holds

$$
\phi^{N n}\left(\eta_{[w]}\right) \in U_{+} \quad \text { or } \quad \phi^{-N n}\left(\eta_{[w]}\right) \in U_{-} .
$$

The subsets $\Delta_{+}(\phi)$ and $\Delta_{-}(\phi)$ are called the simplices of attraction and repulsion of $\phi$.
Let $\mathcal{F} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1}=\left\{\left[A_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{k}\right]\right\}$ be a sporadic extension of two free factor systems of $F_{N}$. Let $\phi$ be an element of $\operatorname{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}) \cap \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$. Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. If $\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}$ is almost atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}$, we denote by $\Delta_{ \pm}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right) \subseteq \mathbb{P} \operatorname{Curr}\left(A_{i}, \mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{A}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)\right)$ the convexes of attraction and repulsion of $\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]$. If $\psi \in \mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$ preserves the conjugacy class of $A_{i}$ and $\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge \mathcal{A}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)$, then $\Delta_{ \pm}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \psi \phi \psi^{-1}\right)=$ $\psi\left(\Delta_{ \pm}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)\right)$.

Let

$$
\widehat{\Delta}_{ \pm}(\phi)=\left\{[t \mu+(1-t) \nu] \mid t \in[0,1],[\mu] \in \Delta_{ \pm}(\phi),[\nu] \in K_{P G}(\phi),\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{F}}=\|\nu\|_{\mathcal{F}}=1\right\}
$$

be the convexes of attraction and repulsion of $\phi$. We have the following results.
Theorem 2.13. - [Gue22b, Theorem 6.4] Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sporadic free factor system of $F_{N}$. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}) \cap \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be an almost atoroidal outer automorphism relative to $\mathcal{F}$. Let $\widehat{\Delta}_{ \pm}(\phi)$ be the convexes of attraction and repulsion of $\phi$ and $\Delta_{ \pm}(\phi)$ be the simplices of attraction and repulsion of $\phi$. Let $U_{ \pm}$be open neighborhoods of $\Delta_{ \pm}(\phi)$ in $\mathbb{P C u r r}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$ and $\widehat{V}_{ \pm}$be open neighborhoods of $\widehat{\Delta}_{ \pm}(\phi)$ in $\mathbb{P} \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$. There exists $M \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that for every $n \geqslant M$, we have

$$
\phi^{ \pm n}\left(\mathbb{P} \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)-\widehat{V}_{\mp}\right) \subseteq U_{ \pm}
$$

Proposition 2.14 ([Gue22b, Corollary 6.5]). - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sporadic free factor system of $F_{N}$. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be an almost atoroidal outer automorphism relative to $\mathcal{F}$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}}: \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be the function given by Proposition 2.11.

For every open neighborhood $\widehat{V}_{-} \subseteq \mathbb{P} \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$ of $\widehat{\Delta}_{-}(\phi)$, there exists $M$ in $\mathbb{N}^{*}$ and a constant $L_{0}>0$ such that, for every current $[\mu] \in \mathbb{P} \operatorname{Curr}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge\right.$ $\mathcal{A}(\phi))-\widehat{V}_{-}$, and every $m \geqslant M$, we have

$$
\left\|\phi^{m}(\mu)\right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \geqslant 3^{m-M} L_{0}\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{F}} .
$$

## 3. Nonsporadic extensions and fully irreducible outer automorphisms

Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\left\{\left[A_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{k}\right]\right\}$ be two free factor systems of $F_{N}$ with $\mathcal{F} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1}$ such that the extension $\mathcal{F} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1}$ is nonsporadic. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$ which preserves $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1}$. We suppose that $H$ is irreducible with respect to $\mathcal{F} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1}$, that is, there does not exist a proper, nontrivial free factor system $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ of $F_{N}$ preserved by $H$ with $\mathcal{F}<\mathcal{F}^{\prime}<\mathcal{F}_{1}$.
Suppose that there exists $\phi \in H$ such that $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$. In this section, we show that there exists $\widehat{\phi} \in H$ such that $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\widehat{\phi}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right)$.
The key point is to construct fully irreducible outer automorphisms relative to $\mathcal{F}$ in $H$ in the following sense. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}\right)$. We say that $\phi$ is fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{F}$ if no power of $\phi$ preserves a proper free factor system $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ of $F_{N}$ such that $\mathcal{F}<\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$. If $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$, we say that $\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}$ is fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{F}$ (resp. atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}$ ) if, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, the outer automorphism $\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]$ defined in Equation (2.2) is fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}$ (resp. atoroidal relative to $\left.\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}\right)$.
If $H$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$, we say that $\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}$ is atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}$ if there does not exist a conjugacy class of $F_{N}$ which is $H$-invariant, $\mathcal{F}$-nonperipheral and $\mathcal{F}_{1}$-peripheral.
First, we recall some properties of fully irreducible outer automorphisms.
Proposition 3.1. - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a nonsporadic free factor system of $F_{N}$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$ which preserves $\mathcal{F}$ and such that $H$ is irreducible with respect to the extension $\mathcal{F} \leqslant\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\}$. Let $\phi \in H$ be a fully irreducible outer automorphism relative to $\mathcal{F}$.
(1) [Gue22b, Corollary 3.15] There exists at most one (up to taking inverse) conjugacy class $[g]$ of root-free $\mathcal{F}$-nonperipheral element of $F_{N}$ which has polynomial growth under iteration of $\phi$. Moreover, the conjugacy class [ $g$ ] is fixed by $\phi$.
(2) [GH22, Theorem 7.4] One of the following holds:
(a) there exists $\psi \in H$ such that $\psi$ is a fully irreducible, atoroidal outer automorphism relative to $\mathcal{F}$;
(b) if $\phi$ fixes the conjugacy class of a root-free $\mathcal{F}$-nonperipheral element $g$ of $F_{N}$, then $[g]$ is fixed by $H$.
Thus, there exists $\psi \in H$ such that $\psi$ is fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{F}$ and the conjugacy class of an $\mathcal{F}$-nonperipheral element $g \in F_{N}$ has polynomial growth under iteration of $\psi$ if and only if it has polynomial growth under iteration of every element of $H$.

Hence Proposition 3.1 suggests that, if $H$ is a subgroup of $F_{N}$ which satisfies the hypotheses in Proposition 3.1, one step in order to prove Theorem 1.1 is to construct relative fully irreducible (atoroidal) outer automorphisms in $H$. This is contained in Theorem 3.3. First we need the following lemma, whose statement is similar to an argument appearing in the proof of [CU18, Theorem 6.6] (see also [HM20, Section IV.2.1]).

Lemma 3.2. - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $H$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$. Let

$$
\varnothing=\mathcal{F}_{0}<\mathcal{F}_{1}<\ldots<\mathcal{F}_{k}=\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\}
$$

be a maximal $H$-invariant sequence of free factor systems. Let

$$
S=\left\{j \mid \text { the extension } \mathcal{F}_{j-1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{j} \text { is nonsporadic }\right\}
$$

and let $j \in S$. There exists a unique conjugacy class $\left[B_{j}\right]$ of a subgroup $B_{j}$ in $F_{N}$ such that $\left[B_{j}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{j}$ and $\left[B_{j}\right] \notin \mathcal{F}_{j-1}$.

Proof. - There exists at least one such conjugacy class since $\mathcal{F}_{j-1}<\mathcal{F}_{j}$. Suppose towards a contradiction that there exist two distinct subgroups $B_{+}$and $B_{-}$of $F_{N}$ such that $\left[B_{+}\right] \neq\left[B_{-}\right],\left[B_{+}\right],\left[B_{-}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{j}$ and $\left[B_{+}\right],\left[B_{-}\right] \notin \mathcal{F}_{j-1}$. Then

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\left[B_{-}\right]\right)=\left(\mathcal{F}_{j}-\left\{\left[B_{+}\right]\right\}\right) \cup\left(\mathcal{F}_{j-1} \wedge\left\{\left[B_{+}\right]\right\}\right)
$$

is $H$-invariant and $\mathcal{F}_{j-1}<\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\left[B_{-}\right]\right)<\mathcal{F}_{j}$, which contradicts the maximality hypothesis of the sequence of free factor systems.

Theorem 3.3. - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $H$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$. Let

$$
\varnothing=\mathcal{F}_{0}<\mathcal{F}_{1}<\ldots<\mathcal{F}_{k}=\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\}
$$

be a maximal $H$-invariant sequence of free factor systems. There exists $\phi \in H$ such that for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that the extension $\mathcal{F}_{i-1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{i}$ is nonsporadic, the element $\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}$ is fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$. Moreover, if $\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}$ is atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$, one can choose $\phi$ so that $\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}$ is atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$.

Proof. - The proof follows [CU18, Theorem 6.6] (see also [CU20, Corollary 3.4]). Let $S \subseteq\{0, \ldots, k\}$ be as in the statement of Lemma 3.2 and let $j \in S$. Let $B_{j}$ be a subgroup of $F_{N}$ given by Lemma 3.2. Let $A_{j, 1}, \ldots, A_{j, s}$ be the subgroups of $B_{j}$ with pairwise disjoint conjugacy classes such that $\mathcal{A}_{j-1}=\left\{\left[A_{j, 1}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{j, s}\right]\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{j-1}$ and $s$ is maximal for this property. Note that, for every $j \in S$, the free factor system $\mathcal{A}_{j-1}$ is a nonsporadic free factor system of $B_{j}$ by Lemma 3.2 and since the extension $\mathcal{F}_{j-1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{j}$ is nonsporadic.

By [GH22, Theorem 7.1] (see also [HM20, Theorem D] for the finitely generated case), for every $j \in S$, there exists an element $\phi \in H$ such that $\left[\left.\Phi_{j}\right|_{B_{j}}\right] \in$ $\operatorname{Out}\left(B_{j}, \mathcal{A}_{j-1}\right)$ is fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{A}_{j-1}$. By Proposition 3.1 (2), for every $j \in S$ such that $\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{j}}$ is atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}_{j-1}$, there exists $\phi \in H$ such that $\left[\left.\Phi_{j}\right|_{B_{j}}\right] \in \operatorname{Out}\left(B_{j}, \mathcal{A}_{j-1}\right)$ is fully irreducible and atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{A}_{j-1}$.
Let $S_{1}$ be the subset of $S$ consisting in every $j \in S$ such that $\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{j}}$ is atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}_{j-1}$, and let $S_{2}=S-S_{1}$. By [GH22, Theorems 4.1, 4.2] (see also [Gup18, Hor16, Man14a, Man14b]), for every $j \in S_{1}$ (resp. $j \in S_{2}$ ) there exists a Gromovhyperbolic space $X_{j}$ (the $\mathcal{Z}$-factor complex of $B_{j}$ relative to $\mathcal{A}_{j-1}$ when $j \in S_{1}$ and the free factor complex of $B_{j}$ relative to $\mathcal{A}_{j-1}$ otherwise) on which $\operatorname{Out}\left(B_{j}, \mathcal{A}_{j-1}\right)$ acts by isometries and such that $\phi_{0} \in \operatorname{Out}\left(B_{j}, \mathcal{A}_{j-1}\right)$ is a loxodromic element if and only if $\phi_{0}$ is fully irreducible atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{A}_{j-1}$ (resp. fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{A}_{j-1}$ ). The conclusion then follows from [CU18, Theorem 5.1].

## 4. Sporadic extensions and polynomial growth

Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\left\{\left[A_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{k}\right]\right\}$ be two free factor systems of $F_{N}$ with $\mathcal{F} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1}$. Suppose that the extension $\mathcal{F} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1}$ is sporadic. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}) \cap \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$.
In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we need to show that if $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$, there exists $\psi \in H$ such that $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\psi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right)$.
Let $\phi \in H$ be such that $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$. Note that, for every element $g$ of $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$, there exists a subgroup $A$ of $F_{N}$ such that $[A] \in \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)$ and $g \in A$. Conversely, for every subgroup $A$ of $F_{N}$ such that $[A] \in \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)$ and every element $g \in A$, we have $g \in \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$.
Thus $\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)$ is the natural malnormal subgroup system associated with the set $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$. Thus, we see that $H$ preserves $\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)$ and hence $H$ acts by homeomorphisms on $\mathbb{P C u r r}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$.
We first need a general statement regarding the construction of an $\mathbb{R}$-tree equipped with an action of $F_{N}$ stabilized by an exponentially growing outer automorphism.

Lemma 4.1. - Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ be an exponentially growing outer automorphism. Let $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}$ be subgroups of $F_{N}$ such that, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have $\left[B_{i}\right] \in \mathcal{A}(\phi)$.
(1) Suppose that there exist distinct $k, \ell \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $B_{k} \neq B_{\ell}$. Then there exist:
(a) a finitely generated subgroup $B$ of $F_{N}$ containing every $B_{i}$ with $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$;
(b) an $\mathbb{R}$-tree $T$ equipped with a minimal, isometric action of $B$ with trivial arc stabilizers such that, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the group $B_{i}$ is elliptic in $T$;
(c) distinct $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that the point fixed by $B_{i}$ in $T$ is distinct from the point fixed by $B_{j}$.
(2) Suppose that there exist $g \in F_{N}$ and $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $g \notin B_{k}$. Then there exist:
(a) a finitely generated subgroup $B$ of $F_{N}$ containing $g$ and every $B_{i}$ with $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$;
(b) an $\mathbb{R}$-tree $T$ equipped with a minimal, isometric action of $B$ with trivial arc stabilizers such that, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the group $B_{i}$ is elliptic in $T$;
(c) $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that the point fixed by $B_{i}$ is not fixed by $g$.

Note that, in the statement of Lemma 4.1(2), the element $g$ is not necessarily contained in $\operatorname{Poly}(\phi)$. In particular, the action of $g$ on $T$ might be loxodromic.
Proof. - We prove Assertion (1). By [Lev09, Lemma 1.2], there exists a nontrivial $\mathbb{R}$-tree $T^{\prime}$ equipped with a minimal, isometric action of $F_{N}$ with trivial arc stabilizers and such that every polynomial subgroup of $\phi$ fixes a point in $T^{\prime}$.
If there exist distinct $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $B_{i}$ fixes a point in $T^{\prime}$ distinct from the point fixed by $B_{j}$, then the tree $T=T^{\prime}$ satisfies the assertion of Lemma 4.1(1).
Suppose that there exists a point $x$ of $T^{\prime}$ fixed by every $B_{i}$ with $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. By [GaL95], there are only finitely many orbits of points in $T^{\prime}$ with nontrivial
stabilizers. In particular, up to taking a power of $\phi$, we may suppose that $\phi$ has a representative $\Phi_{x}$ which preserves $\operatorname{Stab}(x)$. Since $B_{k} \neq B_{\ell}$ and $B_{k}, B_{\ell} \subseteq \operatorname{Stab}(x)$, the automorphism $\Phi_{x} \mid \operatorname{Stab}(x)$ is exponentially growing. By [GaL95], the rank of $\operatorname{Stab}(x)$ is less than $N$. An inductive argument replacing $F_{N}$ and $\phi$ by $\operatorname{Stab}(x)$ and the outer class of $\left.\Phi_{x}\right|_{\operatorname{Stab}(x)}$ concludes the proof of Assertion (2).

The proof of Assertion (2) is identical to the one of Assertion (1) replacing the fact that $B_{k} \neq B_{\ell}$ by the fact that $g \notin B_{k}$.
Lemma 4.2. - Let $N \geqslant 3$, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sporadic free factor system of $F_{N}$ and let $H$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}) \cap \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ which is irreducible with respect to $\mathcal{F} \leqslant\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\}$. Suppose that there exists $\phi \in H$ such that $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$. If $\operatorname{Poly}(\phi) \neq \operatorname{Poly}(H)$, there exists an infinite subset $X \subseteq H$ such that for all distinct $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in X$, we have $\psi_{1}\left(K_{P G}(\phi)\right) \cap \psi_{2}\left(K_{P G}(\phi)\right)=\varnothing$.

Proof. - Let $\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)=\left\{\left[A_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{r}\right]\right\}$. Since

$$
\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Poly}(H) \subsetneq \operatorname{Poly}(\phi),
$$

we have $\mathcal{A}(\phi) \neq \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)$. By [Gue22b, Lemma 5.18(7)], one of the following holds.
(i) There exist distinct $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that, up to replacing $A_{i}$ by a conjugate, we have $\mathcal{A}(\phi)=\left(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)-\left\{\left[A_{i}\right],\left[A_{j}\right]\right\}\right) \cup\left\{\left[A_{i} * A_{j}\right]\right\}$.
(ii) There exist $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ and an element $g \in F_{N}$ such that $\mathcal{A}(\phi)=(\mathcal{F} \wedge$ $\left.\mathcal{A}(\phi)-\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}\right) \cup\left\{\left[A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right]\right\}$.
(iii) There exists $g \in F_{N}$ such that $\mathcal{A}(\phi)=\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi) \cup\{[\langle g\rangle]\}$.

By Definition 2.2, Assertion (ii) only occurs when the extension $\mathcal{F} \leqslant\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\}$ is an HNN extension over the trivial group. In particular, we have $\mathcal{F}=\{[A]\}$ for some subgroup $A$ of $F_{N}$ and, up to changing the representative of $[A]$, we have $F_{N}=A *\langle g\rangle$ and $A_{i} \subseteq A$.

Case 1. - Suppose that there exist distinct $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{A}(\phi)=\left(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)-\left\{\left[A_{i}\right],\left[A_{j}\right]\right\}\right) \cup\left\{\left[A_{i} * A_{j}\right]\right\}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Poly}(\phi) \neq \operatorname{Poly}(H)$, there exists $\psi \in H$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, the element $\psi^{n}$ does not preserve $\left[A_{i} * A_{j}\right]$ while preserving $\left[A_{i}\right]$ and $\left[A_{j}\right]$. Hence there exist a representative $\Psi$ of $\psi$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, there exists $g_{n} \in F_{N}-A_{i} * A_{j}$ such that $\Psi^{n}\left(A_{i}\right)=A_{i}$ and $\Psi^{n}\left(A_{j}\right)=g_{n} A_{j} g_{n}^{-1}$. Note that

$$
g \Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) g^{-1}=g A_{i} g^{-1} * g g_{n} A_{j} g_{n}^{-1} g^{-1}
$$

Claim 1. - For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and every $g \in F_{N}$, there exist $t=t(g, n) \in F_{N}$ and $s=s(g, n) \in\{i, j\}$ such that

$$
\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) \cap\left(g \Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) g^{-1}\right) \subseteq t A_{s} t^{-1}
$$

Proof. - Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Note that, since $g_{n} \in F_{N}-A_{i} * A_{j}$ and since $A_{i} * A_{j}$ is a malnormal subgroup of $F_{N}, A_{i} * A_{j}$ is distinct from $g g_{n}\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) g_{n}^{-1} g^{-1}$ or from $g\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) g^{-1}$. Therefore, we can apply Lemma 4.1(1) to $\phi$ and the polynomial subgroups $A_{i} * A_{j}, g g_{n}\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) g_{n}^{-1} g^{-1}$ and $g\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) g^{-1}$. Thus, there exist a subgroup $B^{\prime}$ of $F_{N}$ containing the subgroups $A_{i} * A_{j}, g g_{n}\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) g_{n}^{-1} g^{-1}$ and $g\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) g^{-1}$ and an $\mathbb{R}$-tree $T^{\prime}$ equipped with a minimal, isometric action of $B^{\prime}$ with trivial arc
stabilizers and such that the subgroups $A_{i} * A_{j}, g g_{n}\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) g_{n}^{-1} g^{-1}$ and $g\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) g^{-1}$ are elliptic but do not have a common fixed point. Let $x_{1}$ be the point in $T^{\prime}$ fixed by $A_{i} * A_{j}$, let $x_{2}$ be the point fixed by $g\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) g^{-1}$ and let $x_{3}$ be the point fixed by $g g_{n}\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) g_{n}^{-1} g^{-1}$.

Let

$$
G=g \Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) g^{-1}=g A_{i} g^{-1} * g g_{n} A_{j} g_{n}^{-1} g^{-1} .
$$

Suppose first that $x_{2}=x_{3}$. Then $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$ by hypothesis. Note that the group $G \cap\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right)$ fixes both $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. Since arc stabilizers are trivial, the intersection $G \cap\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right)$ is trivial.
Thus, we may suppose that $x_{2} \neq x_{3}$. Since arc stabilizers are trivial, by a standard ping pong argument, the points in $T^{\prime}$ fixed by elements of $G$ are in the orbits of $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$. Since arc stabilizers are trivial, and since $G$ is the free product of $g A_{i} g^{-1}$ and $g g_{n} A_{j} g_{n}^{-1} g^{-1}$, we see that $G \cap \operatorname{Stab}\left(x_{2}\right)=g A_{i} g^{-1}$ and $G \cap \operatorname{Stab}\left(x_{3}\right)=g g_{n} A_{j} g_{n}^{-1} g^{-1}$. Thus, elliptic elements in $G$ are contained in conjugates of $g A_{i} g^{-1}$ and conjugates of $g g_{n} A_{j} g_{n}^{-1} g^{-1}$. Since the intersection of $G$ with $A_{i} * A_{j}$ is elliptic, it is contained in a conjugate of $A_{i}$ or a conjugate of $A_{j}$. This proves Claim 1 .
Claim 1 implies that, for all distinct $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every element $x \in F_{N}$, there exist $t=t(x, m, n) \in F_{N}$ and $s=s(x, m, n) \in\{i, j\}$ such that

$$
\Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) \cap\left(x \Psi^{m}\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) x^{-1}\right) \subseteq t A_{s} t^{-1}
$$

By for instance [HM20, Fact I.1.2], for any subgroups $A$ and $B$ of $F_{N}$, we have the equalities $\left(\partial_{\infty} A\right) \cap\left(\partial_{\infty} B\right)=\partial_{\infty}(A \cap B)$ and $\left(\partial^{2} A\right) \cap\left(\partial^{2} B\right)=\partial^{2}(A \cap B)$. Thus, for all distinct $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $x \in F_{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial^{2}\left(\Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right)\right) \cap \partial^{2}\left(x \Psi^{m}\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) x^{-1}\right) & \\
& =\partial^{2}\left(\Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) \cap x \Psi^{m}\left(A_{i} * A_{j}\right) x^{-1}\right) \\
& \subseteq \partial^{2}\left(t A_{s} t^{-1}\right) \\
& \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in F_{N}}\left(\partial^{2}\left(y A_{i} y^{-1}\right) \cup \partial^{2}\left(y A_{j} y^{-1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition of $K_{P G}(\phi)$, we have $[\mu] \in K_{P G}(\phi)$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \subseteq \partial^{2} \mathcal{A}(\phi) \cap \partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)=\partial^{2}\left\{\left[A_{i} * A_{j}\right]\right\} \cap \partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)
$$

Moreover, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and if $[\mu] \in \psi^{n}\left(K_{P G}(\phi)\right)$, then
$\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \subseteq \partial^{2} \psi^{n}(\mathcal{A}(\phi)) \cap \partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)=\partial^{2}\left\{\left[A_{i} * g_{n} A_{j} g_{n}^{-1}\right]\right\} \cap \partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$.
Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ be distinct. Suppose towards a contradiction that

$$
\psi^{n}\left(K_{P G}(\phi)\right) \cap \psi^{m}\left(K_{P G}(\phi)\right) \neq \varnothing
$$

and let $[\mu] \in \psi^{n}\left(K_{P G}(\phi)\right) \cap \psi^{m}\left(K_{P G}(\phi)\right)$. Thus, the support of $\mu$ is contained in

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\bigcup_{x, y \in F_{N}}\left(\partial^{2}\left(x\left(A_{i} * g_{n} A_{j} g_{n}^{-1}\right) x^{-1}\right)\right) \cap\left(\partial^{2}\left(y\left(A_{i} * g_{m} A_{j} g_{m}^{-1}\right) y^{-1}\right)\right)\right) \\
\cap \partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and there exist $x, y \in F_{N}$ such that $\mu$ gives positive measure to

$$
\left(\partial^{2}\left(x\left(A_{i} * g_{n} A_{j} g_{n}^{-1}\right) x^{-1}\right) \cap \partial^{2}\left(y\left(A_{i} * g_{m} A_{j} g_{m}^{-1}\right) y^{-1}\right)\right) \cap \partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)
$$

By $F_{N}$-invariance of $\mu$, there exists $x \in F_{N}$ such that $\mu$ gives positive measure to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial^{2}\left(A_{i} * g_{n} A_{j} g_{n}^{-1}\right) \cap \partial^{2}\left(x\left(A_{i} * g_{m} A_{j} g_{m}^{-1}\right) x^{-1}\right) \cap \partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right) \\
& \subseteq \overline{\left(\bigcup_{y \in F_{N}} \partial^{2}\left(y A_{i} y^{-1}\right) \cup \partial^{2}\left(y A_{j} y^{-1}\right)\right)} \cap \partial^{2}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the last intersection is empty by the definition of the relative boundary, a contradiction.
Case 2. - Suppose that either there exist $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ and an element $g \in F_{N}$ such that $\mathcal{A}(\phi)=\left(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)-\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}\right) \cup\left\{\left[A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right]\right\}$ or there exists $g \in F_{N}$ such that $\mathcal{A}(\phi)=\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi) \cup\{[\langle g\rangle]\}$.
In order to treat both cases simultaneously, in the case that there exists $g \in F_{N}$ such that $\mathcal{A}(\phi)=\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi) \cup\{[\langle g\rangle]\}$, we fix $A_{i}=\{e\}$.

Recall that we have $\mathcal{F}=\{[A]\}$ for some subgroup $A$ of $F_{N}$ and, up to changing the representative of $[A]$, we have $F_{N}=A *\langle g\rangle$ and $A_{i} \subseteq A$. In particular, since $H$ preserves the extension $\mathcal{F} \leqslant\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\}$, for every $\psi \in H$, there exist a unique representative $\Psi_{0}$ of $\psi$ and $g_{\psi} \in A$ such that $\Psi_{0}(A)=A$ and $\Psi_{0}(g)=g g_{\psi}$.

Claim 2. - There exists $\psi \in H$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we have $g_{\psi^{n}}$ $\notin A_{i}$.
Proof. - First note that, since $H$ is irreducible with respect to $\mathcal{F} \leqslant\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\}$, the subgroup $H$ does not preserve the free factor system $\mathcal{F} \cup\{[\langle g\rangle]\}$. Thus, there exists $\psi^{\prime} \in H$ such that $g_{\psi^{\prime}} \neq 1$.
Let $S$ be the subset of $H$ consisting in every element $\psi^{\prime} \in H$ such that $g_{\psi^{\prime}} \neq 1$. Note that, since $H \subseteq \mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and every $\psi^{\prime} \in S$, we have $g_{\psi^{\prime} m} \neq 1$ as $\psi^{\prime m}$ cannot fix the conjugacy class of $g$. Hence $S$ is stable under taking powers. In particular, if $A_{i}$ is trivial, any $\psi \in S$ satisfies the assertion of Claim 2. Similarly, the complement of $S$ is stable under taking powers.

Note also that for every $\psi^{\prime} \in S$, the elements $g$ and $g_{\psi^{\prime}}$ are contained in distinct factors of $A *\langle g\rangle$.
We now claim that there exists $\theta \in S$ such that one of the following holds:
(i) for any distinct $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we have $\Theta_{0}^{n}\left(A_{i}\right) \cap \Theta_{0}^{m}\left(A_{i}\right)=\{e\}$ (this is equivalent to the fact that, for all $m \neq n$, we have $\left.\Theta_{0}^{n}\left(A_{i}\right) \neq \Theta_{0}^{m}\left(A_{i}\right)\right)$;
(ii) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we have $g_{\theta^{n}} \notin A_{i}$.

Indeed, for every element $\psi^{\prime} \in S$, the automorphism $\Psi_{0}^{\prime}$ acts naturally on the set of conjugates of $A_{i}$. If there exists $\psi^{\prime} \in S$ such that $A_{i}$ has an infinite orbit, then we may take $\theta=\psi^{\prime}$, which satisfies (i).
Thus, we may suppose that, for every element $\psi \in S$, the element $\Psi_{0}$ has a power which preserves $A_{i}$. We now construct an element $\theta \in S$ which satisfies Assertion (ii).
Since $\operatorname{Poly}(H) \neq \operatorname{Poly}(\phi)$, there exists $\psi^{\prime} \in H$ such that $A_{i} *\langle g\rangle \nsubseteq \operatorname{Poly}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)$. We distinguish between two cases, according to whether $\psi^{\prime} \in S$ or not.

If $\psi^{\prime} \in S$, up to taking a power of $\psi^{\prime}$, we have $\Psi_{0}^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right)=A_{i}$ and $A_{i} *\langle g\rangle \nsubseteq \operatorname{Poly}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)$.
Note that $A_{i}$ is then contained in the polynomial subgroup of the automorphism $\Psi_{0}^{\prime}$. As $A_{i} *\langle g\rangle \nsubseteq \operatorname{Poly}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we have $g_{\psi^{\prime n}} \notin A_{i}$. Thus, we may take $\theta=\psi^{\prime}$.

So we may suppose that $\psi^{\prime} \notin S$ and, for every $\theta^{\prime} \in S$, that $A_{i} *\langle g\rangle \subseteq \operatorname{Poly}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, there exists $\theta^{\prime} \in S$ such that $\Theta_{0}^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right)=A_{i}$ and $g_{\theta^{\prime}} \in A_{i}$. Moreover, we have $\Psi_{0}^{\prime}(g)=g$ and, since $A_{i} *\langle g\rangle \nsubseteq \operatorname{Poly}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)$, the subgroup $A_{i}$ has an infinite orbit under iteration of $\Psi_{0}^{\prime}$.

Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we have

$$
\Theta_{0}^{\prime} \Psi_{0}^{\prime n} \Theta_{0}^{\prime-1}(g)=g g_{\theta^{\prime} \psi^{\prime n} \theta^{\prime-1}}=g g_{\theta^{\prime}} \Theta_{0}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{0}^{\prime n}\left(g_{\theta^{\prime}-1}\right)\right)
$$

Since $g_{\theta^{\prime-1}} \in A_{i}$, we have $\Psi_{0}^{\prime n}\left(g_{\theta^{\prime-1}}\right) \notin A_{i}$ and $\Theta_{0}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{0}^{\prime n}\left(g_{\theta^{\prime-1}}\right)\right) \notin A_{i}$. Since $g_{\theta^{\prime}} \in A_{i}$, we have $g_{\theta^{\prime} \psi^{\prime n} \theta^{\prime-1}} \notin A_{i}$. Therefore, the element $\theta^{\prime} \psi^{\prime} \theta^{\prime-1} \in S$ satisfies Assertion (ii). Hence we may take $\theta=\theta^{\prime} \psi^{\prime} \theta^{\prime-1}$. This proves the existence of $\theta$.
Suppose first that $\theta$ satisfies Assertion (ii). Then we may set $\psi=\theta$, so that $\psi$ satisfies the assertion of Claim 2. Otherwise, $\theta$ satisfies (i) and, up to taking a power of $\theta$, we may suppose that $g_{\theta} \in A_{i}$.

We claim that $\theta^{2}$ satisfies the assertion of Claim 2. Indeed, note that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we have

$$
g_{\theta^{2 n}}=h_{0} \ldots h_{2 n-1}
$$

where, for every $j \in\{0, \ldots, 2 n-1\}$, the element $h_{j}$ is a nontrivial element of $\Theta_{0}^{j}\left(A_{i}\right)$, the fact that $h_{j}$ is nontrivial following from the fact that $\theta \in S$.

Thus, in order to show that $\theta^{2}$ satisfies the assertion of Claim 2, it suffices to show that, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Theta_{0}^{j}\left(A_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{j \in\{0, \ldots, m\}}=A_{i} * \ldots * \Theta_{0}^{m}\left(A_{i}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove Equation (4.1) by induction on $m$, the result being trivial when $m=0$.
Since $\theta$ satisfies Assertion $(i)$, for any distinct $j, k \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$, we have

$$
\Theta_{0}^{j}\left(A_{i}\right) \neq \Theta_{0}^{k}\left(A_{i}\right) .
$$

In particular, we can apply Lemma 4.1 (1) to the outer class $\left[\left.\Phi_{0}\right|_{A}\right] \in \operatorname{Out}(A)$ and the set $\left\{\Theta_{0}^{j}\left(A_{i}\right)\right\}_{j \in\{0, \ldots, m\}}$ of polynomial subgroups of $\left[\left.\Phi_{0}\right|_{A}\right]$. Thus, there exists a subgroup $B^{\prime}$ of $A$ containing $\left\{\Theta_{0}^{j}\left(A_{i}\right)\right\}_{j \in\{0, \ldots, m\}}$ and an $\mathbb{R}$-tree $T^{\prime}$ equipped with a minimal, isometric action of $B^{\prime}$ with trivial arc stabilizers, such that, for every $j \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$, the group $\Theta_{0}^{j}\left(A_{i}\right)$ fixes a point $x_{j}$ and there exist distinct $k_{1}, k_{2}$ such that $x_{k_{1}} \neq x_{k_{2}}$.

Since $T^{\prime}$ has trivial arc stabilizers, the groups $\operatorname{Stab}\left(x_{0}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{Stab}\left(x_{m}\right)$ generate their free product. Since there exist $k_{1}, k_{2}$ such that $x_{k_{1}} \neq x_{k_{2}}$, for every $\ell \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$, the group $\operatorname{Stab}\left(x_{\ell}\right)$ contains at most $m-1$ elements of the set $\left\{\Theta_{0}^{j}\left(A_{i}\right)\right\}_{j \in\{0, \ldots, m\}}$. Thus, we can apply the induction hypothesis to conclude the proof of Equation (4.1) and thus the proof of Claim 2.
Let $\psi \in H$ and $g_{\psi}$ be as in the claim. We claim that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, the conjugacy class $\left[g g_{\psi^{n}}\right]$ has exponential growth under iteration of $\phi$. Indeed, recall the construction of $\Phi_{0}$ above Claim 2. Since $g_{\phi}, g_{\psi^{n}} \in A$ and since $\Phi_{0}(A)=A$, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the element $\Phi_{0}^{m}\left(g g_{\psi^{n}}\right)$ is cyclically reduced. Hence $\left[g g_{\psi^{n}}\right]$ has exponential
growth under iteration of $\phi$ if and only if $g g_{\psi^{n}}$ has exponential growth under iteration of $\Phi_{0}$. But the polynomial subgroup of $\Phi_{0}$ is $A_{i} *\langle g\rangle$. Since $g_{\psi^{n}} \notin A_{i}$, the element $g g_{\psi^{n}}$ has exponential growth under iteration of $\Phi_{0}$. This proves the claim. In particular, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, no conjugate of $g g_{\psi^{n}}$ is contained in $A_{i} *\langle g\rangle$.

Let $\Psi \in \psi$ be such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, there exists $h_{\psi^{n}} \in A$ with $\Psi^{n}\left(A_{i}\right)=A_{i}$ and $\Psi^{n}(g)=h_{\psi^{n}} g g_{\psi^{n}} h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1}$. Note that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we have

$$
\Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right)=A_{i} * h_{\psi^{n}}\left\langle g g_{\psi^{n}}\right\rangle h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1} .
$$

Claim 3. - For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and every $a \in F_{N}$, there exists $t=t(n, a)$ such that

$$
\left(a \Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) a^{-1}\right) \cap\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) \subseteq t A_{i} t^{-1} .
$$

Proof. - Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and let $a \in F_{N}$. First note that $a h_{\psi^{n}} g g_{\psi^{n}} h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1} a^{-1} \notin a\left(A_{i} *\right.$ $\langle g\rangle) a^{-1}$. Indeed, since $F_{N}=A *\langle g\rangle$, the element $h_{\psi^{n}} g g_{\psi^{n}} h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1}$ can be written uniquely as a reduced product of elements in $A$ and elements in $\langle g\rangle$. Since $h_{\psi^{n}}, g_{\psi^{n}} \in A$, if we have $h_{\psi^{n}} g g_{\psi^{n}} h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1} \in A_{i} *\langle g\rangle$, then $h_{\psi^{n}} \in A_{i}$ and $g_{\psi^{n}} h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1} \in A_{i}$. Therefore, we have $g_{\psi^{n}} \in A_{i}$, a contradiction. Thus, we have $a h_{\psi^{n}} g g_{\psi^{n}} h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1} a^{-1} \notin a\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) a^{-1}$.

In particular, we can apply Lemma $4.1(2)$ to $\phi$, the polynomial subgroups $A_{i} *\langle g\rangle$, $a\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) a^{-1}$ and the element $a h_{\psi^{n}} g g_{\psi^{n}} h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1} a^{-1}$. This shows that there exist a subgroup $B^{\prime}$ of $F_{N}$ containing $A_{i} *\langle g\rangle, a\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) a^{-1}$ and $a h_{\psi^{n}} g g_{\psi^{n}} h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1} a^{-1}$, and an $\mathbb{R}$-tree $T^{\prime}$ equipped with a minimal, isometric action of $B^{\prime}$ with trivial arc stabilizers and such that $A_{i} *\langle g\rangle$ fixes a point $x_{1}$ in $T^{\prime}, a\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) a^{-1}$ fixes a point $x_{2}=a x_{1}$ in $T^{\prime}$ and if $x_{1}=x_{2}$, then $a h_{\psi^{n}} g g_{\psi^{n}} h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1} a^{-1}$ does not fix $x_{1}$.
Let $G=\left(a \Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) a^{-1}\right) \cap\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right)$. The group $G$ fixes $x_{1}$. Let $h \in G$. Since we have $h \in a \Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) a^{-1}$, the element $h$ can be written as a product of elements $s_{0} a_{1} b_{1} \ldots a_{k} b_{k} s_{0}^{-1}$ where the element $s_{0}$ is in $a \Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) a^{-1}$ and, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, we have $a_{i} \in a A_{i} a^{-1}$ and $b_{i} \in\left\langle a h_{\psi^{n}} g g_{\psi^{n}} h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1} a^{-1}\right\rangle$. We suppose that $a_{1} b_{1} \ldots a_{k} b_{k}$ is a cyclic reduction of $h$ when written in the free product $a A_{i} a^{-1} *$ $\left\langle a h_{\psi^{n}} g g_{\psi^{n}} h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1} a^{-1}\right\rangle$. We will prove that $h$ is a conjugate of $a_{1}$.
Suppose first that $a h_{\psi^{n}} g g_{\psi^{n}} h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1} a^{-1}$ fixes a point $x$ in $T^{\prime}$. We distinguish between two cases, according to $x$.

Suppose that $x=x_{2}$. Then $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$. Recall that

$$
a \Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) a^{-1}=a\left(A_{i} * h_{\psi^{n}}\left\langle g g_{\psi^{n}}\right\rangle h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1}\right) a^{-1} .
$$

Thus $a \Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) a^{-1}$ fixes $x_{2}$ and $h$ fixes both $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. Since $T^{\prime}$ has trivial arc stabilizers, we see that $h=e$.
Suppose now that $x \neq x_{2}$. Then the minimal tree in $T^{\prime}$ of the subgroup of $F_{N}$ generated by $\operatorname{Stab}(x)$ and $\operatorname{Stab}\left(x_{2}\right)$ is simplicial and its vertex stabilizers are conjugates of $\operatorname{Stab}(x)$ and $\operatorname{Stab}\left(x_{2}\right)$. Recall that $a \Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) a^{-1}$ is a free product with one factor fixing $x$ and the other factor fixing $x_{2}$. Thus, since arc stabilizers in $T^{\prime}$ are trivial, elliptic elements of $a \Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) a^{-1}$ are contained in conjugates of $A_{i}$ or in conjugates of $h_{\psi^{n}}\left\langle g g_{\psi^{n}}\right\rangle h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1}$. Since $h$ is elliptic in $T^{\prime}$, we see that $h$ is conjugate to either $a_{1}$ or $b_{k}$.
Recall that we proved above Claim 3 that $g g_{\psi^{n}} \notin \operatorname{Poly}(\phi)$. Thus, no conjugate of $g g_{\psi^{n}}$ is contained in $A_{i} *\langle g\rangle$. Since $h \in A_{i} *\langle g\rangle$, the element $h$ is conjugate to $a_{1}$.

Finally, suppose that $a h_{\psi^{n}} g g_{\psi^{n}} h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1} a^{-1}$ is loxodromic. Then the minimal tree in $T^{\prime}$ of $\left\langle\operatorname{Stab}\left(x_{2}\right), a h_{\psi^{n}} g g_{\psi^{n}} h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1} a^{-1}\right\rangle$ is simplicial and its vertex stabilizers are either trivial or conjugates of $\operatorname{Stab}\left(x_{2}\right)$. Note that $a \Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) a^{-1}$ is a free product with one factor, $A_{i}$, fixing $x_{2}$ and the other factor being cyclic, generated by the loxodromic element $a h_{\psi^{n}} g g_{\psi^{n}} h_{\psi^{n}}^{-1} a^{-1}$. Thus, since arc stabilizers in $T^{\prime}$ are trivial, elliptic elements of the group $a \Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) a^{-1}$ are contained in conjugates of $A_{i}$. Since $h$ fixes $x_{1}$, it is contained in a conjugate of $A_{i}$. Thus, in all cases, $h$ is contained in a conjugate of $A_{i}$.

Therefore, every element of $G$ is contained in a conjugate of $A_{i}$. Recall that $A_{i} *\langle g\rangle$ is a malnormal subgroup of $F_{N}$, so that every conjugate of $A_{i}$ intersecting $A_{i} *\langle g\rangle$ nontrivially is a conjugate of $A_{i}$ whose conjugator is in $A_{i} *\langle g\rangle$. Thus every element of $G$ fixes a point in the Bass-Serre tree $S$ of $A_{i} *\langle g\rangle$ associated with $A_{i}$. Since edge stabilizers in $S$ are trivial, this implies that the group $G$ fixes a point in $S$, hence is contained in a conjugate of $A_{i}$. This proves Claim 3.
Claim 3 implies that, for all distinct $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and every $x \in F_{N}$, there exists $t=t(m, n, x)$ such that we have

$$
\Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) \cap x \Psi^{m}\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) x^{-1} \subseteq t A_{i} t^{-1}
$$

By [HM20, Fact I.1.2], we have

$$
\partial^{2} \Psi^{n}\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) \cap \partial^{2}\left(x \Psi^{m}\left(A_{i} *\langle g\rangle\right) x^{-1}\right) \subseteq \partial^{2}\left(t A_{i} t^{-1}\right) \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{y \in F_{N}} \partial^{2}\left(y A_{i} y^{-1}\right)}
$$

The rest of the proof is then similar to the one of Case 1.
Lemma 4.3. - Let $N \geqslant 3$, let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\left\{\left[A_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{k}\right]\right\}$ be two free factor systems of $F_{N}$ with $\mathcal{F} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1}$ such that the extension $\mathcal{F} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1}$ is sporadic. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_{1}\right) \cap \mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$ such that $H$ is irreducible with respect to $\mathcal{F} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1}$. Suppose that there exists $\phi \in H$ such that $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$. Suppose that $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right) \neq \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right)$. There exists $\psi \in H$ such that for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, we have $\psi\left(K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)\right) \cap K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)=\varnothing$, where $\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]$ is defined in Equation (2.2) of Section 2.3 and

$$
\Delta_{+}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right) \cap \psi\left(\Delta_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)\right)=\Delta_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right) \cap \psi\left(\Delta_{+}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)\right)=\varnothing .
$$

Proof. - The proof follows [CU20, Lemma 5.1]. Recall that, since the extension $\mathcal{F} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1}$ is sporadic, there exists $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}-$ $\{\ell\}$, we have $\left[A_{i}\right] \in \mathcal{F}$. By Lemma 4.2 applied to the image of $H$ in $\operatorname{Out}\left(A_{\ell}\right)$ (which is contained in $\operatorname{IA}\left(A_{\ell}, \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}\right)$ ), there exists an infinite subset $X \subseteq H$ such that, for any distinct $h_{1}, h_{2} \in X$, we have

$$
h_{1}\left(K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{\ell}\right|_{A_{\ell}}\right]\right)\right) \cap h_{2}\left(K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{\ell}\right|_{A_{\ell}}\right]\right)\right)=\varnothing \text {. }
$$

We now prove that there exist $h_{1}, h_{2} \in X$ such that $h_{2}^{-1} h_{1}$ satisfies the assertion of Lemma 4.3. Note that, for any distinct $h_{1}, h_{2} \in X$, we have

$$
h_{2}^{-1} h_{1}\left(K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{\ell}\right|_{A_{\ell}}\right]\right)\right) \cap K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{\ell}\right|_{A_{\ell}}\right]\right)=\varnothing .
$$

Hence it suffices to find two distinct $h_{1}, h_{2} \in X$ such that $\psi=h_{2}^{-1} h_{1}$ satisfies the second assertion of Lemma 4.3.

Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and let $[\mu]$ be an extremal point of $\Delta_{+}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)$ or $\Delta_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)$. By [Gue22b, Lemma 4.13], the support $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$ contains the support of only finitely many projective currents $\left[\mu_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[\mu_{s}\right] \in \mathbb{P C u r r}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$ such that, for every $t \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, the support of $\mu_{t}$ is uniquely ergodic.

Let $E_{\mu}=\left\{\left[\mu_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[\mu_{s}\right]\right\}$. Let $E_{\phi}=\bigcup E_{\mu}$, where the union is taken over all $i$ in $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and extremal points of $\Delta_{+}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)$ and $\Delta_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)$. The set $E_{\phi}$ is finite by [Gue22b, Lemma 4.7].
Since the set $E_{\phi}$ is finite, up to taking an infinite subset of $X$, we may suppose that, for every $s \in E_{\phi}$, either $h_{1} s=h_{2} s$ for every $h_{1}, h_{2} \in X$ or for every distinct $h_{1}, h_{2} \in X$, we have $h_{1} s \neq h_{2} s$. Let $E_{1} \subseteq E_{\phi}$ be the subset for which the first alternative occurs and let $E_{\infty}=E_{\phi}-E_{1}$.
Let $h_{1} \in X$ and, for every $s \in E_{\infty}$, let

$$
X_{s}=\left\{h \in X \mid h_{1} s=h s^{\prime} \text { for some } s^{\prime} \in E_{\infty}\right\} .
$$

Note that $X_{s}$ is a finite set. Let $h_{2} \in X-\bigcup_{s \in E_{\infty}} X_{s}$. For every $s, s^{\prime} \in E_{\infty}$, we have $h_{1} s \neq h_{2} s^{\prime}$. If there exists $s^{\prime} \in E_{1}$ such that $h_{1} s=h_{2} s^{\prime}$, then $s=h_{1}^{-1} h_{2} s^{\prime}=s^{\prime}$, contradicting the fact that $s \in E_{\infty}$. Thus, for every $s \in E_{\infty}$, we have $h_{2}^{-1} h_{1} s \notin E_{\phi}$ and for every $s \in E_{1}$, we have $h_{2}^{-1} h_{1} s=s$. Let $\psi=h_{2}^{-1} h_{1}$. Then, for every $s \in E_{\phi}$, either $\psi(s)=s$ or $\psi(s) \notin E_{\phi}$. Moreover, by construction of $X$, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, we have $\psi\left(K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)\right) \cap K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)=\varnothing$. Thus, $\psi$ satisfies the first assertion of Lemma 4.3.
We now prove that $\psi$ satisfies the second assertion. Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, let $[\mu] \in$ $\Delta_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)$ and suppose for a contradiction that we have $\psi([\mu]) \in \Delta_{+}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)$. There exist extremal measures $\mu_{1}^{-}, \ldots, \mu_{m}^{-}$of $\Delta_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)$ and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\mu=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} \mu_{j}^{-}$. Similarly, there exist extremal measures $\mu_{1}^{+}, \ldots, \mu_{n}^{+}$of $\Delta_{+}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)$ and $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\psi(\mu)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \mu_{j}^{+}$.
Thus, we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} \psi\left(\mu_{j}^{-}\right)=\psi(\mu)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \mu_{j}^{+} .
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \operatorname{Supp}\left(\psi\left(\mu_{j}^{-}\right)\right)=\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mu_{j}^{+}\right)
$$

Let $\Lambda \subseteq \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mu_{1}^{-}\right)$be the uniquely ergodic support of a current in $E_{\phi}$. Let $\Psi$ be a representative of $\psi$ and let $\partial^{2} \Psi$ be the homeomorphism of $\partial^{2} F_{N}$ induced by $\Psi$. Since uniquely ergodic laminations are minimal, there exists $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that we have $\partial^{2} \Psi(\Lambda) \subseteq \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mu_{j}^{+}\right)$. Thus, we have $\psi\left(\left[\left.\mu_{1}^{-}\right|_{\Lambda}\right]\right)=\left[\left.\mu_{j}^{+}\right|_{\Lambda}\right]$. This contradicts the fact that $\left[\left.\mu_{1}^{-}\right|_{\Lambda}\right]$ and $\left[\left.\mu_{j}^{+}\right|_{\Lambda}\right]$ are distinct elements of $E_{\phi}$ since $\Delta_{+}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right) \cap$ $\Delta_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)=\varnothing$.
Proposition 4.4. - Let $N \geqslant 3$, let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\left\{\left[A_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{k}\right]\right\}$ be two free factor systems of $F_{N}$ with $\mathcal{F} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1}$ such that the extension $\mathcal{F} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1}$ is sporadic. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}) \cap \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$ such that $H$ is irreducible with respect to $\mathcal{F} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1}$. Suppose that there exists $\phi \in H$ such that $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$. Suppose that $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right) \neq \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right)$. There exist $\psi \in H$ and a constant $M>0$ such that, for all $m, n \geqslant M$, if $\theta=\psi \phi \psi^{-1}$, we have $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\theta^{m} \phi^{n}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right)$.

Proof. - The proof follows [CU20, Proposition 5.2]. Let $\psi \in H$ be an element given by Lemma 4.3 and let $\theta=\psi \phi \psi^{-1}$. For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, let $\Theta_{i}$ be a representative of $\theta$ such that $\Theta_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)=A_{i}$ and $\Phi_{i}$ be a representative of $\phi$ such that $\Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)=$ $A_{i}$. Note that, since for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\},\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]$ is almost atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}$, so is $\left[\left.\Theta_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]$. Moreover, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, we have $K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Theta_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)=$ $\left[\left.\Psi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\left(K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)\right)$.
Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. Let $\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}$ be the free factor system of $A_{i}$ induced by $\mathcal{F}$ : it is the free factor system of $A_{i}$ consisting in the intersection of $A_{i}$ with every subgroup $A$ of $F_{N}$ such that $[A] \in \mathcal{F}$. It is well-defined by for instance [SW79, Theorem 3.14].
Claim. - We have

$$
\widehat{\Delta}_{+}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right) \cap \psi\left(\widehat{\Delta}_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)\right)=\varnothing \text { and } \widehat{\Delta}_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right) \cap \psi\left(\widehat{\Delta}_{+}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)\right)=\varnothing \text {. }
$$

Proof. - We prove the first equality, the other one being similar. By Lemma 4.3, we have $\Delta_{+}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right) \cap \psi\left(\Delta_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)\right)=\varnothing$ and $\psi\left(K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)\right) \cap K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)=\varnothing$.
Let $[\mu] \in \widehat{\Delta}_{+}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right) \cap \psi\left(\widehat{\Delta}_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)\right)$. By definition, there exist $\left[\mu_{1}\right] \in \Delta_{+}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)$, $\left[\nu_{1}\right] \in K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right), t \in[0,1]$, and $\left[\mu_{2}\right] \in \psi\left(\Delta_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right),\left[\nu_{2}\right] \in \psi\left(K_{P G}\left(\left[\Phi_{i} \mid A_{A_{i}}\right]\right)\right)\right.$ and $s \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
[\mu]=\left[t \mu_{1}+(1-t) \nu_{1}\right]=\left[s \mu_{2}+(1-s) \nu_{2}\right] .
$$

Note that

$$
\partial^{2}\left(\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}\right) \cap \partial^{2} \mathcal{A}(\phi) \cap \partial^{2}\left(A_{i}, \mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)=\varnothing .
$$

Moreover, since $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\theta\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$. Therefore, we see that $\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)=\mathcal{F} \wedge \psi(\mathcal{A}(\phi))$. Thus, we have

$$
\partial^{2}\left(\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}\right) \cap \psi\left(\partial^{2} \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right) \cap \partial^{2}\left(A_{i}, \mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)=\varnothing \text {. }
$$

Recall that, by Proposition 2.12, the supports of the currents in

$$
\Delta_{+}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right) \cup \psi\left(\Delta_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)\right)
$$

are contained in $\partial^{2}\left(\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}\right)$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{1}\left(\partial ^ { 2 } \mathcal { A } ( \phi ) \cap \partial ^ { 2 } \left(A_{i}, \mathcal{F}\right.\right. & \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \\
& \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi))) \\
& =\mu_{1}\left(\partial^{2}\left(\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}\right) \cap \partial^{2} \mathcal{A}(\phi) \cap \partial^{2}\left(A_{i}, \mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)=\mathcal{F} \wedge \psi(\mathcal{A}(\phi))$, we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{2}\left(\partial^{2} \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right. & \left.\cap \partial^{2}\left(A_{i}, \mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)\right) \\
& =\mu_{2}\left(\partial^{2}\left(\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}\right) \cap \partial^{2} \mathcal{A}(\phi) \cap \partial^{2}\left(A_{i}, \mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)\right) \\
& =\mu_{2}\left(\partial^{2}\left(\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}\right) \cap \psi\left(\partial^{2} \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right) \cap \partial^{2}\left(A_{i}, \mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if $B$ is a measurable subset contained in $\partial^{2} \mathcal{A}(\phi) \cap \partial^{2}\left(A_{i}, \mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$ and if $s, t<1$, we have: $\mu(B)>0$ if and only if $\nu_{1}(B)>0$ if and only if $\nu_{2}(B)>0$.
By definition, the supports of currents in $K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)$ are contained in the subset $\partial^{2} \mathcal{A}(\phi) \cap \partial^{2}\left(A_{i}, \mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$ and the supports of currents in $\psi\left(K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)\right)$
are contained in $\psi\left(\partial^{2} \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right) \cap \partial^{2}\left(A_{i}, \mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$. Hence the support of $\nu_{1}$ is contained in the support of $\nu_{2}$. By definition of $\psi\left(K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)\right)$, this implies that

$$
\nu_{1} \in K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right) \cap \psi\left(K_{P G}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)\right)=\varnothing .
$$

Thus, we necessarily have $t=1$.
Thus, we have $[\mu]=\left[\mu_{1}\right]$ and the support of $\mu$ is contained in $\partial^{2}\left(\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}\right)$. Since the support of $\nu_{2}$ is contained in $\psi\left(\partial^{2} \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right) \cap \partial^{2}\left(A_{i}, \mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$ which is disjoint from $\partial^{2}\left(\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}\right)$, we also have $s=1$. This implies that $\left[\mu_{1}\right]=\left[\mu_{2}\right]$ and that $\Delta_{+}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right) \cap \psi\left(\Delta_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right)\right) \neq \varnothing$, a contradiction.
By the claim, there exist subsets $U, V, \widehat{U}, \widehat{V}$ of $\mathbb{P C u r r}\left(A_{i},\left(\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\}\right) \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$ such that:
(1) $\Delta_{+}\left(\left[A_{\hat{U}}\right], \phi\right) \subseteq U, \widehat{\Delta}_{+}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right) \subseteq \widehat{U}, \Delta_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right) \subseteq V, \widehat{\Delta}_{-}\left(\left[A_{i}\right], \phi\right) \subseteq \widehat{V}$;
(2) $U \subseteq \widehat{U}, V \subseteq \widehat{V}$ and $U \cap K_{P G}(\phi)=V \cap K_{P G}(\phi)=\varnothing$;
(3) $\widehat{U} \cap \psi(\widehat{V})=\varnothing$ and $\widehat{V} \cap \psi(\widehat{U})=\varnothing$.

Note that Assertion (2) implies that $U \subsetneq \widehat{U}$ (resp. $V \subsetneq \widehat{V}$ ) since $K_{P G}(\phi) \subseteq \widehat{U}$ (resp. $K_{P G}(\phi) \subseteq \widehat{V}$ ). Let $\mathfrak{B}$ and $C>0$ be respectively the basis of $F_{N}$ and the constant given by Proposition $2.11(1)$. Let $M_{0}(\phi)$ (resp. $M_{0}\left(\theta^{-1}\right)$ ) be the constant associated with $\phi, U$ and $\widehat{V}\left(\operatorname{resp} \theta^{-1}, \psi(V)\right.$ and $\left.\psi(\widehat{U})\right)$ given by Theorem 2.13. Let $M_{1}(\phi)$ and $L_{1}(\phi)$, (resp. $M_{1}(\theta)$ and $\left.L_{1}(\theta)\right)$ be the constants associated with $\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]$ and $\widehat{V}$ (resp. $\left[\left.\Theta_{i}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]$ and $\psi(\widehat{V})$ ) given by Proposition 2.14. Similarly, let $M_{1}\left(\phi^{-1}\right)$ and $L_{1}\left(\phi^{-1}\right),\left(\operatorname{resp} . M_{1}\left(\theta^{-1}\right)\right.$ and $\left.L_{1}\left(\theta^{-1}\right)\right)$ be the constants associated with $\left[\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{A_{i}} ^{-1}\right]$ and $\widehat{U}$ (resp. $\left[\left.\Theta_{i}\right|_{A_{i}} ^{-1}\right]$ and $\left.\psi(\widehat{U})\right)$ given by Proposition 2.14. Let

$$
M(i)=\max \left\{M_{0}(\phi), M_{0}\left(\theta^{-1}\right), M_{1}(\phi), M_{1}(\theta), M_{1}\left(\phi^{-1}\right), M_{1}\left(\theta^{-1}\right)\right\}
$$

and let

$$
L=\min \left\{L_{1}(\phi), L_{1}(\theta), L_{1}\left(\phi^{-1}\right), L_{1}\left(\theta^{-1}\right)\right\}>0 .
$$

Let $M(i)^{\prime}$ be such that $3^{M(i)^{\prime}} L^{2}>1$. Let

$$
M=\max _{i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}} M(i) \quad \text { and } \quad M^{\prime}=\max _{i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}} M(i)^{\prime}
$$

Let $m, n \geqslant M+M^{\prime}$ and let $\mu \in \operatorname{Curr}\left(A_{i}, \mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$ be a nonzero current. We will prove that $[\mu] \notin K_{P G}\left(\theta^{m} \phi^{n}\right)$. This will imply that for every element $g \in F_{N}$ such that $\eta_{[g]} \in \operatorname{Curr}\left(A_{i}, \mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)\right)$, we have $g \notin \operatorname{Poly}\left(\theta^{m} \phi^{n}\right)$. The proof is in two steps according to whether $[\mu] \in \widehat{V}$ or not.

- Suppose first that $[\mu] \notin \widehat{V}$. Then by Theorem 2.13, we have $\phi^{n}(\mu) \in U$. By Proposition 2.14, we have $\left\|\phi^{n}(\mu)\right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \geqslant 3^{n-M} L\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{F}}$. Since $U \cap \psi(\widehat{V})=\varnothing$, by Proposition 2.14, we have

$$
\left\|\theta^{m} \phi^{n}(\mu)\right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \geqslant 3^{m-M} L\left\|\phi^{n}(\mu)\right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \geqslant 3^{m+n-2 M} L^{2}\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{F}} .
$$

Note that, by Theorem 2.13 applied to $\theta$ and the open subsets $\psi(V) \psi(U), \psi(\widehat{V})$ and $\psi(\widehat{U})$, we have $\theta^{m} \phi^{n}([\mu]) \in \psi(U) \subseteq \psi(\widehat{U})$. Since $\widehat{V} \cap \psi(\widehat{U})=\varnothing$, we have
$\theta^{m} \phi^{n}([\mu]) \notin \widehat{V}$. Therefore, we can apply the same arguments replacing $\mu$ by $\theta^{m} \phi^{n}(\mu)$ and an inductive argument shows that, for every $n^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we have

$$
\left\|\left(\theta^{m} \phi^{n}\right)^{n^{\prime}}(\mu)\right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \geqslant 3^{n^{\prime}\left(m+n-2 M-M^{\prime}\right)}\left(3^{M^{\prime}} L^{2}\right)^{n^{\prime}}\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{F}} .
$$

Therefore, if $\mu$ is the current associated with an $\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)$-nonperipheral element $g \in A_{i}$ with $[\mu] \notin \widehat{V}$, for every $n^{\prime} \geqslant 1$, by Proposition 2.11 (1) we have

$$
\ell_{\mathfrak{B}}\left(\left(\theta^{m} \phi^{n}\right)^{n^{\prime}}([g])\right) \geqslant 3^{n^{\prime}\left(m+n-2 M-M^{\prime}\right)}\left(3^{M^{\prime}} L^{2}\right)^{n^{\prime}} C\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{F}} \geqslant 3^{n^{\prime}\left(m+n-2 M-M^{\prime}\right)} C
$$

Hence we have $g \notin \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left[\left.\Theta_{i}^{m} \Phi_{i}^{n}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)$.

- Suppose now that $[\mu] \in \hat{V}$. As in the first case, This implies that $[\mu] \notin \psi(\hat{U})$ and, by Theorem 2.13, that $\theta^{-m}([\mu]) \in \psi(V)$. By Proposition 2.14, we have $\left\|\theta^{-m}(\mu)\right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \geqslant$ $3^{m-M} L\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{F}}$. Since $\psi(V) \cap \widehat{U}=\varnothing$, we have $\theta^{-m}([\mu]) \notin \widehat{U}$ and

$$
\left\|\phi^{-n} \theta^{-m}(\mu)\right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \geqslant 3^{n-M} L\left\|\theta^{-m}(\mu)\right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \geqslant 3^{n+m-2 M-M^{\prime}}\left(3^{M^{\prime}} L^{2}\right)\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{F}}
$$

By Theorem 2.13, we have $\phi^{-n} \theta^{-m}(\mu) \in V$. As in the first case, since $\hat{V} \cap \psi(\widehat{U})=\varnothing$, we have $\phi^{-n} \theta^{-m}(\mu) \notin \psi(\widehat{U})$ and, for every $n^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we have

$$
\left\|\left(\phi^{-n} \theta^{-m}\right)^{n^{\prime}}(\mu)\right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \geqslant 3^{n^{\prime}\left(m+n-2 M-M^{\prime}\right)}\left(3^{M^{\prime}} L^{2}\right)^{2 n^{\prime}}\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{F}}
$$

Therefore as in the first case, replacing $\mu$ by the rational current associated with an $\mathcal{F} \wedge\left\{\left[A_{i}\right]\right\} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)$-nonperipheral element $g \in A_{i}$ with $[\mu] \in \widehat{V}$, we see that

$$
g \notin \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left[\left.\Phi_{i}^{-n} \Theta_{i}^{-m}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left[\left.\Theta_{i}^{m} \Phi_{i}^{n}\right|_{A_{i}}\right]\right) .
$$

Therefore, $\left.\theta^{m} \phi^{n}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}$ is expanding relative to $\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}(\phi)$. Thus, we have

$$
\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\theta^{m} \phi^{n}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right) .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\theta^{m} \phi^{n}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right)$, we have in fact $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\theta^{m} \phi^{n}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right)$. This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.4.

Proposition 4.5. - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $H$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$. Let

$$
\varnothing=\mathcal{F}_{0}<\mathcal{F}_{1}<\ldots<\mathcal{F}_{k}=\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\}
$$

be a maximal $H$-invariant sequence of free factor systems. Let $2 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}_{i-1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{i}$ is sporadic. Suppose that there exists $\phi \in H$ such that
(a) $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}}\right)$;
(b) for every $j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, if the extension $\mathcal{F}_{j-1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{j}$ is nonsporadic, then $\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{j}}$ is fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{F}_{j-1}$ and if $\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{j}}$ is atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}_{j-1}$, so is $\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{j}}$.
Then there exists $\hat{\phi} \in H$ such that:
(1) $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\widehat{\phi}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)$;
(2) for every $j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, if the extension $\mathcal{F}_{j-1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{j}$ is nonsporadic, then $\left.\widehat{\phi}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{j}}$ is fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{F}_{j-1}$ and if $\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{j}}$ is atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}_{j-1}$, so is $\left.\widehat{\phi}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{j}}$.

Proof. - The proof follows [CU20, Proposition 5.3]. If $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)$, we may take $\widehat{\phi}=\phi$.

Otherwise, by Proposition 4.4, there exist $\psi \in H$ and a constant $M>0$ such that, for every $m, n \geqslant M$, if $\theta=\psi \phi \psi^{-1}$, we have $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\theta^{m} \phi^{n}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)$. Therefore, for every $m, n \geqslant M$, the element $\widehat{\phi}=\theta^{m} \phi^{n}$ satisfies (1).

It remains to show that there exist $m, n \geqslant M$ such that $\theta^{m} \phi^{n}$ satisfies (2). Let

$$
S=\left\{j \mid \text { the extension } \mathcal{F}_{j-1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{j} \text { is nonsporadic }\right\}
$$

and let $j \in S$.
Let $X_{j}$ be the Gromov hyperbolic space equipped with an isometric action of $H$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.3. Then $\psi \in H$ is a loxodromic element of $X_{j}$ for every $j \in S$ if and only if $\psi$ satisfies Hypothesis (b) of Proposition 4.5. In particular, the elements $\phi$ and $\theta$ are loxodromic elements of $X_{j}$.

Recall that two loxodromic isometries of a Gromov-hyperbolic space $X$ are independent if their fixed point sets in $\partial_{\infty} X$ are disjoint and are dependent otherwise. Let $I \subseteq S$ be the subset of indices where for every $j \in I$, the elements $\phi$ and $\theta$ are independent and let $D=S-I$. By standard ping pong arguments (see for instance [CU18, Proposition 4.2, Theorem 3.1]), there exist constants $m, n_{0} \geqslant M$ such that for every $n \geqslant n_{0}$ and every $j \in I$, the element $\theta^{m} \phi^{n}$ acts loxodromically on $X_{j}$. By [CU18, Proposition 3.4], there exists $n \geqslant n_{0}$ such that, for every $j \in D$ and every $j \in I$, the element $\theta^{m} \phi^{n}$ acts loxodromically on $X_{j}$.
Thus, for every $j \in S$ and every $n \geqslant n_{0}$, the element $\theta^{m} \phi^{n}$ satisfies Hypothesis (b). This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.5.

## 5. Proof of the main result and applications

We are now ready to complete the proof of our main theorem.
Theorem 5.1. - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $H$ be a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$. There exists $\phi \in H$ such that $\operatorname{Poly}(\phi)=\operatorname{Poly}(H)$.
Proof. - Since $\mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$ is a finite index subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ and since for every $\psi \in H$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we have $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\psi^{k}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}(\psi)$, we see that

$$
\operatorname{Poly}(H)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(H \cap \mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})\right)
$$

Hence we may suppose that $H$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$.
Let

$$
\varnothing=\mathcal{F}_{0}<\mathcal{F}_{1}<\ldots<\mathcal{F}_{k}=\left\{\left[F_{N}\right]\right\}
$$

be a maximal $H$-invariant sequence of free factor systems. By Theorem 3.3, there exists $\phi \in H$ such that for every $j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that the extension $\mathcal{F}_{j-1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{j}$ is nonsporadic, the element $\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{j}}$ is fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{F}_{j-1}$ and if $\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{j}}$ is atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}_{j-1}$, so is $\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{j-1}}$.
We now prove by induction on $i \in\{0, \ldots, k\}$ that for every $i \in\{0, \ldots, k\}$, there exists $\phi_{i} \in H$ such that
(a) $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)$;
(b) for every $j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that the extension $\mathcal{F}_{j-1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{j}$ is nonsporadic, the element $\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{j}}$ is fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{F}_{j-1}$ and if $\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{j}}$ is atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}_{j-1}$, so is $\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{j-1}}$.
For the base case $i=0$, we set $\phi_{0}=\phi$.
Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and suppose that $\phi_{i-1} \in H$ has been constructed. We distinguish between two cases, according to the nature of the extension $\mathcal{F}_{i-1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{i}$.
Suppose first that the extension $\mathcal{F}_{i-1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{i}$ is nonsporadic. We set $\phi_{i}=\phi_{i-1}$. We claim that $\phi_{i}$ satisfies the hypotheses. Indeed, it clearly satisfies (b).
For (a), since $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi_{i-1}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}}\right)$, it suffices to show that for every element $g \in F_{N}$ which is $\mathcal{F}_{i}$-peripheral but $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$-nonperipheral, if $g \in \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)$, then $g \in \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)$.
Note that, if $\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}$ is atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$, by Proposition 3.1(1), we have $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}}\right)$. Hence we have $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)$. So we may suppose that $\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}$ is not atoroidal relative to $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$.
Let $g \in \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)$ be an element which is $\mathcal{F}_{i}$-peripheral but $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$-nonperipheral. By Proposition 3.1 (1), there exists at most one (up to taking inverse) $h \in F_{N}$ such that $g \in\langle h\rangle$ and $[h]$ is fixed by $\phi_{i}$. By Proposition $3.1(2 \mathrm{~b})$, the conjugacy class of [ $h$ ] is fixed by $H$. Hence the conjugacy class of $[g]$ is fixed by $H$ and $g \in \operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)$.
Suppose now that $\mathcal{F}_{i-1} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{i}$ is a sporadic extension. If $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi_{i-1}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)$, we set $\phi_{i}=\phi_{i-1}$. Then $\phi_{i}$ satisfies (a) and (b). Suppose that $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.\phi_{i-1}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right) \neq$ $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\left.H\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}\right)$. By Proposition 4.5, there exists $\widehat{\phi}_{i-1} \in H$ such that $\widehat{\phi}_{i-1}$ satisfies (a) and (b). Then we set $\phi_{i}=\widehat{\phi}_{i-1}$. This completes the induction argument. In particular, we have $\operatorname{Poly}\left(\phi_{m}\right)=\operatorname{Poly}(H)$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 5.1.
We now give some applications of Theorem 5.1. The first one is a straightforward consequence using the fact that for every $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$, there exists a natural malnormal subgroup system associated with $\operatorname{Poly}(\phi)$.

Corollary 5.2. - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $H$ be a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{Poly}(H) \neq\{1\}$. There exist nontrivial maximal subgroups $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}$ of $F_{N}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Poly}(H)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \bigcup_{g \in F_{N}} g A_{i} g^{-1}
$$

and $\mathcal{A}=\left\{\left[A_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[A_{k}\right]\right\}$ is a malnormal subgroup system.
If $H$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{Poly}(H) \neq\{1\}$, we denote by $\mathcal{A}(H)$ the malnormal subgroup system given by Corollary 5.2. If $\operatorname{Poly}(H)=\{1\}$, we set $\mathcal{A}(H)=\varnothing$.
The following result is a generalization of [CU20, Theorem A] regarding fixed conjugacy classes. For a subgroup system $\mathcal{A}$ of $F_{N}$, recall the definition of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \mathcal{A}^{(t)}\right)$ above Definition 2.1. If $\phi \in \operatorname{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$, we denote by $\operatorname{Fix}(\phi)$ the set of conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups $P$ of $F_{N}$ such that $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N},\{[P]\}^{(t)}\right)$. Note that, if $P$ is a subgroup of $F_{N}$ such that $[P] \in \operatorname{Fix}(\phi)$, then $P \subseteq \operatorname{Poly}(\phi)$. Moreover, by $[\operatorname{Lev} 09$, Lemma 1.5], if $\operatorname{Poly}(\phi) \neq\{1\}$, the set $\operatorname{Fix}(\phi)$ is nonempty. If $H$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$, we denote by $\operatorname{Fix}(H)$ the set of conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups $P$ of $F_{N}$ such that $H \subseteq \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N},\{[P]\}^{(t)}\right)$. The following result is a
corollary of the existence of the malnormal subgroup system $\mathcal{A}(H)$ associated with a subgroup $H$ of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ constructed in Corollary 5.2.

Corollary 5.3. - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $H$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$. One of the following (mutually exclusive) statements holds.
(1) There exist a (possibly empty) finite set $\mathcal{C}$ of conjugacy classes of maximal cyclic subgroups of $F_{N}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Fix}(H)=\mathcal{A}(H)=\mathcal{C}
$$

(2) There exists a nonabelian free subgroup $P$ of $F_{N}$ such that

$$
H \subseteq \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N},\{[P]\}^{(t)}\right) .
$$

Proof. - First assume that $H$ is finitely generated. Suppose that (1) does not hold. Let $\mathcal{A}(H)=\left\{\left[P_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[P_{\ell}\right]\right\}$, where for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}, P_{i}$ is a malnormal subgroup of $F_{N}$. Note that, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, since $P_{i}$ is malnormal, we have a natural homomorphism $H \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}\left(P_{i}\right)$ whose image, denoted by $\left.H\right|_{P_{i}}$, is contained in the set of polynomially growing outer automorphisms of $P_{i}$.
Note that, since Assertion (1) does not hold, there exists $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ such that the rank of $P_{i}$ is at least equal to 2 . From now on we focus on this $P_{i}$ and the subgroup $\left.H\right|_{P_{i}}$ of $\operatorname{Out}\left(P_{i}\right)$.
Since $H$ is finitely generated, up to taking a finite index subgroup of $H$, we can apply the Kolchin theorem for $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$ (see [BFH05, Theorem 1.1]): there exists a $\left.H\right|_{P_{i}}$-invariant sequence of free factor systems of $P_{i}$

$$
\varnothing=\mathcal{F}_{0}^{(i)}<\mathcal{F}_{1}^{(i)}<\ldots<\mathcal{F}_{k_{i}}^{(i)}=\left\{\left[P_{i}\right]\right\}
$$

such that, for every $j \in\left\{1, \ldots, k_{i}\right\}$, the extension $\mathcal{F}_{j-1}^{(i)} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{j}^{(i)}$ is sporadic.
Since, for every $j \in\left\{1, \ldots, k_{i}\right\}$, the extension $\mathcal{F}_{j-1}^{(i)} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{j}^{(i)}$ is sporadic, we have $k_{i} \geqslant 2$.
Let $j_{0}$ be the maximal integer such that $\mathcal{F}_{j_{0}-1}^{(i)}$ consists only in conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups of $P_{i}$. The existence of $j_{0}$ follows from the following facts. First, we have $\mathcal{F}_{k_{i}}^{(i)}=\left\{\left[P_{i}\right]\right\}$ with $P_{i}$ a nonabelian free subgroup. Moreover, since the extension $\varnothing \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{1}^{(i)}$ is sporadic, the free factor system $\mathcal{F}_{1}^{(i)}$ consists in the conjugacy class of a cyclic subgroup of $P_{i}$.
Since the extension $\mathcal{F}_{j_{0}-1}^{(i)} \leqslant \mathcal{F}_{j_{0}}^{(i)}$ is sporadic, by maximality of $j_{0}$, there exists a subgroup $U_{j_{0}}$ of $P_{i}$ such that $\left[U_{j_{0}}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{j_{0}}^{(i)}$ and one of the following holds:
(a) there exist two subgroups $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ of $P_{i}$ such that $\operatorname{rank}\left(B_{1}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(B_{2}\right)=1$, $\left[B_{1}\right],\left[B_{2}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{j_{0}-1}$ and $U_{j_{0}}=B_{1} * B_{2}$;
(b) there exists a subgroup $B$ of $P_{i} \operatorname{such}$ that $\operatorname{rank}(B)=1,[B] \in \mathcal{F}_{j_{0}-1}$ and $U_{j_{0}}$ is an HNN extension of $B$ over the trivial group.
If Case (a) occurs, then $H$ acts as the identity on $U_{j_{0}}$ since $\operatorname{rank}\left(U_{j_{0}}\right)=2$ and since every element of $H$ fixes elementwise a set of conjugacy classes of generators of $U_{j_{0}}$ (recall that the abelianization homomorphism $F_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ induces an isomorphism $\left.\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{2}\right) \simeq \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)$. Hence Assertion (2) holds.
If Case (b) occurs, let $b$ be a generator of $B$ and let $t \in U_{j_{0}}$ be such that $U_{j_{0}}=$ $\langle b\rangle *\langle t\rangle$. Then, since $H \subseteq \mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$, for every element $\psi$ of $H$, there exist $\Psi \in \psi$
and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\Psi(b)=b$ and $\Psi(t)=t b^{k}$. In particular, for every $\psi \in H$, the automorphism $\Psi$ fixes the group generated by $b$ and $t b t^{-1}$ and Assertion (2) holds. This concludes the proof when $H$ is finitely generated.

Suppose now that $H$ is not finitely generated and let $\left(H_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of finitely generated subgroups of $H$ such that $H=\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} H_{m}$. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $H_{m} \subseteq \operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \operatorname{Fix}\left(H_{m}\right)^{(t)}\right)$ and for every $m_{1}, m_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_{1} \leqslant m_{2}$, we have $\operatorname{Fix}\left(H_{m_{2}}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Fix}\left(H_{m_{1}}\right)$. By [GL15, Theorem 1.5], there exists $N \in$ $\mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $m \geqslant N$, we have $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \operatorname{Fix}\left(H_{m}\right)^{(t)}\right)=\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}, \operatorname{Fix}\left(H_{N}\right)^{(t)}\right)$. In particular, we have $\operatorname{Fix}\left(H_{N}\right)=\operatorname{Fix}(H)$. The result now follows from the finitely generated case.
The following result might be folklore as it is a consequence of the JSJ decomposition of $F_{N}$ relative to a cyclic subgroup not contained in any free factor, but we did not find a precise statement in the literature. If $S$ is a compact, connected surface, we denote by $\operatorname{Mod}(S)$ the group of homotopy classes of homeomorphisms that preserve the boundary of $S$.
Corollary 5.4. - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $H$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$. The following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $\mathcal{A}(H)=\{[\langle g\rangle]\}$, where $g$ is an element of $F_{N}$ not contained in a proper free factor of $F_{N}$;
(2) there exist a connected, compact surface $S$ with exactly one boundary component and an identification of $\pi_{1}(S)$ with $F_{N}$ such that $H$ is identified with a subgroup of $\operatorname{Mod}(S)$ and $H$ contains a pseudo-Anosov element.
Proof. - The implication $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ is well known and a proof can be found for instance in [Gue22a, Corollary 7.5.4]. Suppose that (1) holds. Let $\phi \in H$ be an element given by Theorem 5.1. Then $\mathcal{A}(\phi)=\mathcal{A}(H)=\{[\langle g\rangle]\}$. In particular, since $H \subseteq \mathrm{IA}_{N}(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$, the conjugacy class of $g$ is fixed by every element of $H$. Let $f: G \rightarrow G$ be a CT map representing a power of $\phi$ (see the definition in [FH11, Definition 4.7]).
Claim. - The graph $G$ consists in a single stratum and this stratum is an EG stratum.

Proof. - Let $H_{r}$ be the highest stratum in $G$. Note that, since $g$ is not contained in any proper free factor of $F_{N}$, the reduced circuit $\gamma_{g}$ in $G$ representing the conjugacy class of $g$ has height $r$ and is fixed by $f$.
We now prove that $H_{r}$ is an EG stratum. Indeed, $H_{r}$ is either a zero stratum, an EG stratum or an NEG stratum. The stratum $H_{r}$ cannot be a zero stratum by [FH11, Definition 4.7 (6)]. Moreover, $H_{r}$ cannot be a NEG stratum as otherwise by [CU20, Proposition 4.1], since $\gamma_{g}$ has height $r$, the element $g$ would be a basis element of $F_{N}$, contradicting the fact that $g$ is not contained in any proper free factor of $F_{N}$. Hence $H_{r}$ is an EG stratum.
By [HM20, Fact I.2.3], the stratum $H_{r}$ is a geometric stratum in the sense of [HM20, Definition I.2.1]. By [HM20, Proposition I.2.18], the element $\phi$ fixes elementwise a finite set $\mathcal{C}=\left\{[g],\left[c_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[c_{k}\right]\right\}$ of conjugacy classes of elements of $F_{N}$. Since $G$ is connected, by the definition of a geometric stratum and by [HM20, Proposition I.2.18(5)], the stratum $H_{r}$ is glued on $G_{r-1}$ along closed paths in $G_{r-1}$ whose
associated reduced circuits represent the conjugacy classes $\left[c_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[c_{k}\right]$. Thus, we have $k \geqslant 1$ whenever $G_{r-1}$ is not reduced to a point. This implies that $\mathcal{C}=\{[g]\}$ if and only if $G_{r-1}$ is reduced to a point, that is, if and only if $G$ consists in the single stratum $H_{r}$.
By the claim and [HM20, Fact I.2.3] (see also [BH92, Theorem 4.1]), the outer automorphism $\phi$ is geometric: there exist a connected, compact surface $S$ with exactly one boundary component and an identification of $\pi_{1}(S)$ with $F_{N}$ such that $\phi$ is identified with a pseudo-Anosov element of $\operatorname{Mod}(S)$. Moreover, the conjugacy class [g] is identified with the conjugacy class in $\pi_{1}(S)$ of the element associated with the homotopy class of the boundary component of $S$. Since $[g]$ is fixed by every element of $H$, by the Dehn-Nielsen-Baer theorem (see for instance [FM11, Theorem 8.8] and [ZVC80, Theorem 5.6.2] for the orientable case and [Fuj02, Section 3] for the nonorientable case), the group $H$ is identified with a subgroup of $\operatorname{Mod}(S)$.
We finally state a proposition, whose proof can be found in [Gue22a, Proposition 7.5.6] in a more general setting, which allows us to compute the malnormal subgroup system $\mathcal{A}(H)$ associated with some subgroups $H$ of $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N}\right)$. The definitions and properties associated with JSJ decompositions of $F_{N}$ can be found for instance in [GL17], especially [GL17, Definitions 2.14, 5.13].
Proposition 5.5 ([Gue22a, Proposition 7.5.6]). - Let $N \geqslant 3$ and let $P$ be a finitely generated subgroup of $F_{N}$ such that $F_{N}$ is one-ended relative to $P$. Let $T$ be the JSJ tree of $F_{N}$ over cyclic groups relative to P. Suppose that $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N},\{[P]\}^{(t)}\right)$ is infinite. Every subgroup $Q$ of $F_{N}$ such that $[Q] \in \mathcal{A}\left(\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{N},\{[P]\}^{(t)}\right)\right)$ is either generated by stabilizers of some rigid vertices of $T$ or is an extended boundary subgroup of the stabilizer of some flexible vertex of $T$.
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